

## Evaluation Summary of Maltol for Use as a Cigarette Ingredient

Maltol is naturally occurring substance in foods and has been used as a food flavoring and flavor-enhancing ingredient for over 60 years. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) lists maltol as generally recognized as safe (GRAS) as a synthetic flavoring substance or adjuvant (21 CFR § 172.515). Maltol has been approved for use in foods by Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA),<sup>1</sup> Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA No. 2656)<sup>2</sup> and the Council of Europe (CoE No. 148).<sup>3</sup>

Maltol is slightly toxic in acute studies in animals when administered orally.<sup>4-9</sup> It is practically non-toxic dermally with no deaths observed when rabbits were treated at doses exceeding 5 g/kg.<sup>4</sup> Maltol is generally non-irritating and non-sensitizing to the skin.<sup>4,10</sup> Maltol did not produce irritation or sensitization reactions in human patch tests<sup>11</sup> and only one case report (allergic skin reaction to maltol in strawberry lip salve) was found in the literature to indicate any allergic potential.<sup>12</sup>

Absorption and metabolism studies indicate that maltol is relatively well absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract.<sup>13-16</sup> These studies also demonstrate maltol is rapidly and fairly completely absorbed in the gut and readily conjugated to the glucuronide or sulfate conjugate prior to urinary, and to a lesser extent, fecal elimination. Excretion is fairly rapid with over 64% present in the urine or feces within 24 hr.<sup>14</sup>

The findings from genetic toxicology testing suggests maltol is weakly mutagenic<sup>17-19</sup> and clastogenic<sup>20,21</sup> in bacterial and mammalian cell assays. However, repeat oral dosing in rats for three months with maltol decreased weight gain in female rats at doses of 500 and 1,000 mg/kg/day and males at 1,000 mg/kg/day. Kidney lesions described as dilated, acellular glomerular tufts and dilated corticomedullary tubules were observed in both sexes exposed to 1,000 mg/kg/day. No adverse effects were observed in either sex at 250 mg/kg/day.<sup>5</sup> In a subchronic feeding study in dogs early deaths and multiple organ pathology occurred at 500 mg/kg/day, but no adverse effects were found at 250 mg/kg/day with maltol.<sup>5</sup>

Furthermore, lifetime bioassays in multiple species with maltol or ethyl maltol have not resulted in increased tumor formation.<sup>5</sup> Dietary dosing of dogs and rats up to 200 mg/kg/day resulted in no significant increases in tumor formation in any tissue or organ. No other sign of toxicity on growth, clinical parameters or histopathological examinations of an extensive series of tissues and organs were observed in either species.<sup>5</sup> In mice, no increase in tumor incidence was found after dosing up to 400 mg/kg/day of maltol, but high dose males had degeneration of the testes and reduced liver weights.<sup>22</sup> Rats showed no effects other than a reduced weight gain at 400 mg/maltol after two years of feeding. In all of these long-term feeding studies, the no observed adverse effect level was 200 mg/kg/day.<sup>23</sup>

A three generation study reproduction study in rats demonstrated maltol is not a reproductive toxicant up to 400 mg/kg/day, with no effect on reproductive success or increase in external or gross organ abnormalities in the offspring.<sup>24</sup> Further reproductive studies in rats and dogs give no evidence of reproductive or developmental toxicity.<sup>5</sup>

Several studies have evaluated the ability of maltol to enhance aluminum absorption and retention in the bone and brain cells or to induce neurofibrillary tangles in brain cells.<sup>25-27</sup> Although the studies suggest that maltol can enhance absorption of aluminum and increased tangle formation in cultured brain cells, the toxicological significance of these findings is unknown.<sup>28</sup>

Maltol is currently used worldwide at levels below 100 ppm in selected cigarette brands manufactured and/or distributed by Philip Morris USA Inc. (PM USA) and/or Philip Morris Products SA (PMP SA). Maltol may be applied to cigarette tobacco as an additive, flavoring, or flavoring agent, and as such, maltol may be subjected to pyrolysis-type reactions when smoked. Maltol may also be applied to the filter as a flavoring material where it would not be subjected to pyrolysis temperatures.

Purge and trap and pyrolysis studies were conducted by PM USA. The results of purge and trap studies, where maltol was heated to 100 °C, suggest that a portion of maltol would be expected to distill prior to the burning cone of the tobacco.<sup>29</sup> Additionally, pyrolysis studies conducted at higher temperatures offered further evidence that maltol would not be expected to pyrolyze extensively and would probably be delivered in the smoke relatively intact.<sup>30</sup>

Maltol was part of a PM USA testing program that was designed to evaluate the potential effects of 333 ingredients added to typical commercial blended test cigarettes on selected biological and chemical endpoints.<sup>31-34</sup> Three pairs of test cigarettes were produced, each containing different groups of ingredients. Maltol was added to two pairs at target levels of 19 ppm, 33 ppm, 58 ppm and 100 ppm. No significant effects were noted in cytotoxicity, mutagenic studies or in respiratory tract endpoints in 90-day rat inhalation studies. In addition, smoke chemistry studies from cigarettes containing a mixture of flavors including maltol did not significantly alter the smoke chemistry profile compared to control cigarettes. Based on the results of these studies, the authors concluded that these ingredients (including maltol) added to tobacco do not add significantly to the overall toxicity of cigarettes.

Currently, information is only available for tests utilizing maltol in a mixture of ingredients applied to cigarette tobacco. Studies are ongoing to address the use of maltol as a single ingredient and at higher tobacco application levels. Published studies show there is no meaningful difference in the composition or toxicity of smoke from cigarettes with added ingredients (including maltol) compared to the smoke from cigarettes without added ingredients.<sup>31-39</sup> Based on the best available data, the ingredients used in PM USA and/or PMP SA cigarettes do not increase the overall toxicity of cigarette smoke.

## References

1. JECFA (1981) 25<sup>th</sup> Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. *WHO Food Additive Series. No. 16*. Geneva, Switzerland.
2. Hall, R.L. and Oser, B.L. (1965) Recent progress in the consideration of flavoring ingredients under the Food Additives Amendment: III.GRAS Substances. *Food Tech* 253:179.
3. Council of Europe (2000) *Chemically-Defined Flavouring Substances*. Council of Europe Publishing, F-67075 Stasbourg Cedex, France. p.175.
4. Moreno, O. (1974) Report to RIFM. 26 August 1974. (As cited in Opdyke, 1975a, 1975b).
5. Gralla, E.J.; Stebbins, R.B.; Coleman, G.L. and Delahunt, C.S. (1969) Toxicity studies with ethyl maltol. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 15:604-613.
6. Dow (1947) Toxicity of palatone. (As cited in FEMA, 1979). Unpublished Work.
7. FEMA (1979). *Scientific literature review of maltol and derivatives in flavor usage. Introduction and summary, tables of data and bibliography*. NTIS # PB 296004. Flavor and Extract Manufacturer's Association, Washington, D.C.
8. Opdyke, D.L. (1975a) Monographs on fragrance raw materials. Ethyl maltol. *Food Cosmet Toxicol* 13:805-806.
9. Opdyke, D.L. (1975b) Monographs on fragrance raw materials. Maltol. *Food Cosmet Toxicol* 13:841-842.
10. Kligman, A.M. (1974) Report to RIFM, 12 September, 1974. (As cited in Opdyke, 1975).
11. Kligman, A.M. and Epstein, W. (1975) Updating the maximization test for identifying contact allergens. *Contact Dermatitis* 1:231-239.
12. Taylor, A.E.; Lever, L. and Lawrence, C.M. (1996) Allergic contact dermatitis from strawberry lipsalve. *Contact Dermatitis* 34:142-143.
13. BIBRA (1990) Toxicity Profile: Maltol. TNO BIBRA International, Inc., Surrey, UK.
14. Rennhard, H.H. (1971) The metabolism of ethyl maltol and maltol in the dog. *J Agric Food Chem* 19:152-154.
15. Barrand, M.A.; Callingham, B.A.; Dobbin, P. and Hider, R.C. (1991) Dissociation of a ferric maltol complex and its subsequent metabolism during absorption across the small intestine of the rat. *Br J Pharmacol* 102:723-729.
16. Kontoghiorghes, G.J. (1990) Chelators affecting iron absorption in mice. *Arzneimittelforschung* 40:1332-1335.

17. Bjeldanes, L.F. and Chew, H. (1979) Mutagenicity of 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds: maltol, kojic acid, diacetyl and related substances. *Mut Res* 67:367-371.
18. Gava, C.; Perazzolo, M.; Zentilin, A.G.; Levis, A.G.; Corain, B.; Bombi, G.G.; Palumbo, M. and Zatta, P. (1989) Genotoxic potentiality and DNA binding properties of acetylactone, maltol and their aluminum and chromium neutral complexes. *Toxicol Environ Chem* 22:149-157.
19. Fujita, H.; Sumi, C. and Sasaki, M. (1992) Annual Report of Tokyo Metropolitan Research Laboratory of Public Health. *Mutagenicity test of food additives with Salmonella typhimurium TA97 and TA102*. Report No. 42. p.219-227.
20. Jansson, T.; Curvall, M.; Hedin, A. and Enzell, C.R. (1986) In vitro studies of biological effects of cigarette smoke condensate. II. Induction of sister-chromatid exchanges in human lymphocytes by weakly acidic, semivolatile constituents. *Mutat Res* 169:129-139.
21. Hayashi, M.; Kishi, M.; Sofuni, T. and Ishidate, M., Jr. (1988) Micronucleus tests in mice on 39 food additives and eight miscellaneous chemicals. *Food Chem Toxicol* 26:487-500.
22. Pfizer (1978b) Maltol-eighteen month mouse study. Protocol 75-009. Research Center, Pfizer, France. (As cited in BIBRA, 1990). Unpublished Work.
23. Pfizer (1980a) Maltol-six month oral toxicity study in rats. Protocol 79031. Research Center, Pfizer, France. (As cited in BIBRA, 1990). Unpublished Work.
24. Pfizer (1978a) Maltol-three generation reproduction and carcinogenicity study in rats. Protocol 74107. Research Center, Pfizer, France. (As cited in BIBRA, 1990). Unpublished Work.
25. Langui, D.; Probst, A.; Anderton, B.; Brion, J.P. and Ulrich, J. (1990) Aluminium-induced tangles in cultured rat neurones. Enhanced effect of aluminium by addition of maltol. *Acta Neuropathol (Berl)* 80:649-655.
26. Hironishi, M.; Kordek, R.; Yanagihara, R. and Garruto, R.M. (1996) Maltol (3-hydroxy-2-methyl-4-pyrone) toxicity in neuroblastoma cell lines and primary murine fetal hippocampal neuronal cultures. *Neurodegeneration* 5:325-329.
27. van Ginkel, M.F.; van der Voet, G.B.; D'Haese, P.C.; De Broe, M.E. and de Wolff, F.A. (1993) Effect of citric acid and maltol on the accumulation of aluminum in rat brain and bone. *J Lab Clin Med* 121:453-460.
28. Burdock, G.A. (2001) Safety Assessment of Maltol and Ethyl Maltol as Food Ingredients. Burdock Group, Vero Beach, FL. Unpublished Report.
29. PM USA (2001) P&T/GC/MS Analysis of Matol. Request 20010373. Scan TF081RFA.D. Unpublished Internal Report.

30. PM USA (2001) Pyrolysis GC/MS Analysis of Maltol. Request 20010373. Scan 01DS218.D. Unpublished Internal Report.
31. Carmines, E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 1: Cigarette design, testing approach, and review of results. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:77-91.
32. Roemer, E.; Tewes, F.J.; Meisgen, T.J.; Veltel, D. and Carmines, E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 3: *In vitro* genotoxicity and cytotoxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:105-111.
33. Rustemeier, K.; Stabbert, R.; Haussmann, H.J.; Roemer, E. and Carmines E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 2: Chemical composition of mainstream smoke. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:93-104.
34. Vanscheeuwijck, P.M.; Teredesai, A.; Terpstra, P.M.; Verbeek, J.; Kuhl, P.; Gerstenberg, B.; Gebel, S. and Carmines E.L. (2002) Evaluation of the potential effects of ingredients added to cigarettes. Part 4: Subchronic inhalation toxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 40:113-131.
35. Gaworski, C.L.; Dozier, M.M.; Heck, J.D.; Gerhart, J.M.; Rajendran, N.; David, R.M.; Brennecke, L.H. and Morrissey, R. (1998) Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: 13 week inhalation exposures in rats. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 10:357-381.
36. Gaworski, C.L.; Heck, J.D.; Bennett, M.B. and Wenk, M.L. (1999) Toxicologic evaluation of flavor ingredients added to cigarette tobacco: skin painting bioassay of cigarette smoke condensate in SENCAR mice. *Toxicology* 139:1-17.
37. Doull, J.; Frawley, J.P.; George, W.J.; Loomis, T.A.; Squire, R.A. and Taylor, S.L. (1994) A safety assessment of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacturing of cigarettes. Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C.
38. Doull, J.; Frawley, J.P.; George, W.J.; Loomis, T.A.; Squire, R.A. and Taylor, S.L. (1998) A safety assessment of ingredients added to tobacco in the manufacturing of cigarettes. Covington and Burling, Washington, D.C.
39. Baker, R.R.; Massey, E.D. and Smith, G. (2004) An overview of the effects of tobacco ingredients on smoke chemistry and toxicity. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 42S:S53-S83.