

Chapter 13

Hepatobiliary Agents

Primary Author

Victoria Chernyak Montefiore Medical Center

Contributing Authors

Kathryn J. Fowler UC San Diego Jay P. Heiken Claude B. Sirlin UC San Diego

Illustrators & figure contributors

Victoria Chernyak Montefiore Medical Center Claude B. Sirlin UC San Diego

Editors

Victoria Chernyak Montefiore Medical Center Claude B. Sirlin UC San Diego



Table of Contents

		Pages
Introduction		<u>13-1</u>
Background	Concepts about HBA and other liver-specific agents	<u>13-2</u>
Phases of enhancement with gadoxetate	Vascular phases	<u>13-3</u>
	Transitional Phase	<u>13-5</u>
	Hepatobiliary Phase	<u>13-6</u>
	Adequate	<u>13-8</u>
	Suboptimal	<u>13-9</u>
Effect on Major features	APHE	<u>13-13</u>
	"Washout"	<u>13-15</u>
	"Capsule"	<u>13-20</u>
Gadoxetate-specific imaging features		<u>13-12</u>
Possible advantages		<u>13-22</u>
Pitfalls and practical considerations		<u>13-29</u>
Pitfalls associated with HBP		<u>13-32</u>
HBP hypointense nodule without APHE		<u>13-35</u>
Technical Tips		<u>13-37</u>
FAQs		<u>13-38</u>
References		<u>13-40</u>



Hepatobiliary Agents

Introduction

Hepatobiliary agents (HBA) are gadolinium-based intravenous contrast agents with sufficient hepatobiliary excretion to enable hepatobiliary phase (HBP) imaging in addition to dynamic postcontrast phases.

Two agents have sufficient hepatobiliary excretion to be considered HBA:

- Gadoxetate disodium or Gd-EOB-DTPA, a.k.a. gadoxetic acid (Eovist®, Primovist®, Bayer Healthcare)
 - Hepatobiliary excretion: approximately 50% administered dose.
 - Window for HBP imaging: approximately 10 minutes several hours (typically 15-20 minutes)
- Gadobenate dimeglumine (Multihance®, Bracco)
 - Hepatobiliary excretion: approximately 5% of the administered dose.
 - Window for HBP imaging: approximately 1-3 hours (typically 1 hour)

This chapter focuses on gadoxetate disodium.

- Gadoxetate disodium is a more widely used HBA for HCC imaging. It has higher hepatobiliary excretion, a relatively short delay, and has been widely studied in the setting of HCC imaging.
- By comparison, the relatively long delay, low hepatobiliary excretion, and relative paucity of literature evaluating gadobenate compared to gadoxetate in the setting of background liver dysfunction make it a less frequently used HBA for HCC imaging.

Other liver-specific agents are not considered HBA by LI-RADS:

- Mangafodipir trisodium is a manganese based contrast agent that is taken up by hepatocytes and excreted into the bile. It is no longer commercially available.
- Superparamagnetic iron oxides are taken by Kupffer cells of the liver, not hepatocytes. The T1 shortening of these agents is due iron, not gadolinium.

The enhancement of liver, observations, and other tissue in the HBP reflects many biological factors.

Key biological factors: presence and density of hepatocytes, the expression and function of uptake and excretion transporters, the patency of the biliary system, the relative volume of the interstitial compartment, and renal function (affects renal clearance rate). Other factors include hepatic steatosis and iron overload, which may affect the intensity of liver on MR images.

The presence or absence of expression of transporters for HBAs and the resultant HBP enhancement properties provide important diagnostic information for characterizing hepatic observations. See <u>page 13-2</u>.



Hepatobiliary Agents

Molecular transporters

Gadoxetate disodium uptake and excretion is mediated by three molecular transporters:

- Organic anion transporting polypeptide (OATP) B1/B3, located on the sinusoidal surface membrane of hepatocytes, mediates uptake by hepatocytes.
 - This transporter is unique to hepatocytes.
 - Only liver parenchyma and liver lesions composed of functional hepatocytes take up gadoxetate and enhance on HBP images
 - Organs other than liver, lesions lacking functional hepatocytes, and lesions composed of cells other than hepatocytes do not take up gadoxetate and do not enhance on HBP images
- Multidrug-resistance-associated protein (MRP)-2, located on the canalicular surface, mediates excretion into the biliary system.
- MRP-3, located on the sinusoidal surface membrane, mediates excretion back into the sinusoidal space.





Phases of Enhancement

Phases of enhancement with gadoxetate disodium



Gadoxetate disodium initially distributes in and enhances the vascular and interstitial (extracellular) spaces, similar to extracellular agents during the AP and early PVP.

In normal liver, uptake of gadoxetate by hepatocytes begins as early as the first pass through the hepatic circulation and parenchymal enhancement due to hepatocyte uptake may be visible as early as the PVP.

Following the PVP, contrast agent is progressively taken up by hepatocytes and excreted into the biliary system. This results in progressively increasing enhancement of the liver parenchyma and rapid clearance from the vascular/interstitial space by both renal and hepatobiliary excretion.

• Visually, the liver parenchyma peaks in signal intensity by the HBP, and there is relatively little remaining contrast material within the blood vessels and other organs/tissues.

The period between the PVP and HBP is the transitional phase (TP)--the distribution of contrast *transitions* from predominantly extracellular to predominantly hepatobiliary.

Extracellular
Transitional
Hepatobiliary

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

1-2 minutes
2-5 minutes
10-30 minutes

As shown below, the phases overlap and have gradual transitions.

The timing of the phases varies between patients. Generally, TP and HBP are delayed in cirrhotic patients with reduced hepatic function.

Phases of Enhancement

Example: Phases of enhancement with ECA (top row) vs. gadoxetate (bottom row) in the same patient



Abbreviations: ECS = extracellular space; ICS: intracellular space



Transitional Phase

Transitional phase (TP)

TP is a phase after the PVP and before the HBP in which both the hepatocellular and extracellular pools of gadoxetate contribute substantially to parenchymal enhancement.

- Typically starts 2-5 min after injection and lasts until the HBP (15-20 minutes), may be delayed in cirrhotic patients with decreased liver function.
- Intrahepatic vessels and hepatic parenchyma are of similar intensity.



Example: Transitional phase with gadoxetate disodium



Signal of the intrahepatic vessels is similar to the parenchyma

TP applies only to HBA-enhanced MRI, not ECA-enhanced MRI or ECA-enhanced CT

- ECAs remain within the extracellular spaces and equilibrate between vascular/interstitium (sometimes called equilibrium phase or interstitial phase).
 - There is no transition to hepatocellular space
- A prolonged TP occurs with gadobenate, beginning tens of minutes after contrast injection, but this phase is not routinely acquired with this agent



Hepatobiliary phase

The HBP is defined by the peak of hepatic parenchymal enhancement due to transporter mediated hepatocyte uptake of contrast material. During this phase there is excretion of contrast material by hepatocytes into the biliary system. Since contrast material localizes to hepatocytes and bile ducts, the phase is called hepatobiliary.

	Hepatobiliary
0 0 0 0	0 0 0
	•
D	0 0 0 0
	10-30 minutes

Visually, during the HBP, the following characteristics are observed:

- The hepatic parenchyma reaches peak enhancement
- The hepatic parenchyma is hyperintense to the hepatic blood vessels and spleen
- · There may be excretion of contrast into the biliary system

Example: Hepatobiliary phase with gadoxetate disodium



Liver parenchyma is unequivocally brighter than intrahepatic vessels

HBP applies only to HBA-enhanced MRI, not ECA-enhanced MRI or ECA-enhanced CT

• ECAs remain in extracellular spaces and are not take up by hepatocytes or excreted in the bile.



HBP typically occurs about 20 minutes after injection of gadoxetate disodium, but may be as early as 10 minutes in normal liver or as late as 60 minutes in cirrhosis.

If obtained, HBP is typically acquired 1-3 hours after injection with gadobenate dimeglumine.

Example: Hepatobiliary phase with gadoxetate disodium achieved as early as 10 minutes



For both gadoxetate and gadobenate, the HBP is not defined primarily by the timing delay. Rather it is characterized by the enhancement of the hepatic parenchyma and clearance of contrast from the vasculature.



Hepatobiliary agents

Hepatobiliary Phase

The normal liver appears homogenously hyperintense on HBP images.

The cirrhotic liver has variable appearance on HBP images, depending on the degree of function and the visibility, size, shape, and density of parenchymal nodules and fibrotic scars.

Example: Spectrum of hepatic parenchymal appearance in HBP





Hepatitis C cirrhosis



PSC/PBC overlap syndrome



Autoimmune hepatitis





Hepatitis C cirrhosis



Wilson's disease



Alcoholic cirrhosis with poor liver function



Hepatitis B cirrhosis



HBP images may be suboptimal in some patients.

- Suboptimal HBP: hepatic parenchyma is *not* unequivocally hyperintense relative to the intrahepatic blood vessels.
- If suboptimal, interpretation of HBP intensity of liver observations (particularly if iso- or hyperintense to the background) may be unreliable.

Presence of biliary excretion *does not* mean that HBP is adequate, as excretion can be preserved despite poor hepatocyte uptake

Adequate HBP: Liver is much brighter than intrahepatic vessels



Suboptimal HBP: Liver is NOT much brighter than intrahepatic vessels

Notice biliary excretion despite suboptimal HBP

Causes of suboptimal HBP:

- Advanced cirrhosis with severe hepatic dysfunction (most common)
 - Thought to reflect reduced number of functional hepatocytes or dysfunctional cellular transport mechanisms.
- Cholestasis
- Reduced signal of liver parenchyma despite adequate uptake of HBA
 - Severe iron overload: T2* shortening causes the liver to appear dark even if there is hepatocellular uptake of HBA.
 - Severe steatosis: causes signal loss of liver parenchyma due to fat-water signal interference on out-of-phase images, fat suppression of fat-suppressed images, or both.



Example: Adequate HBP



✓ Liver is unequivocally brighter than intrahepatic vessels (*)

Example: Suboptimal HBP in decompensated cirrhosis



Adequate HBP on initial MRI

✓ Liver is unequivocally brighter than intrahepatic vessels (*)

Suboptimal HBP on 3-month follow-up



X Liver is NOT unequivocally brighter than intrahepatic vessels (*)



Example: Suboptimal HBP in iron overload



Example: Suboptimal HBP in severe steatosis



Hepatic signal loss on OP compared to IP indicates steatosis

HBP



Suboptimal HBP: Liver is NOT brighter than intrahepatic vessels



Imaging Features Unique to Hepatobiliary Agents

Gadoxetate disodium (and to a lesser extent gadobenate) provides unique imaging features

Imaging features unique to gadoxetate (and gadobenate):

One LR-M feature:	• TP/HBP targetoid appearance (<i>Chapter 1, page 227</i>)
Two ancillary features favoring malignancy:	 TP hypointensity (<i>Chapter 16, page 295</i>) HBP hypointensity (<i>Chapter 16, page 300</i>)
One ancillary feature favoring benignity:	• HBP isointensity (<i>Chapter 16, page 366</i>)

In addition to its unique imaging features, gadoxetate disodium can affect the ability to characterize major features

Arterial phase hyperenhancement (APHE) (page 13-14)

• APHE may be more difficult to detect on HBA-MRI than ECA-MRI.

Washout appearance (page 13-15)

• Washout appearance may be more difficult to detect on HBA-MRI than ECA-MRI.

Capsule appearance (*page 13-20*)

• Enhancing "capsule" may be more difficult to detect on HBA-MRI than ECA-MRI.

These effects are discussed on next several pages.



Effect of Gadoxetate on Major Features

Effect of gadoxetate on major features

Arterial phase hyperenhancement (APHE)

Gadoxetate is associated with motion artifacts on AP (*page 13-30*), which may reduce reduce AP quality and visibility of APHE.

Example: Motion artifact reduces sensitivity for APHE on gadoxetate disodium enhanced MRI



APHE is not well seen on HBA-MRI compared with ECA-MRI

Example: Severe motion may degrade arterial phase completely



Extensive respiratory motion artifact may degrade AP, rendering it nondiagnostic for detection of observations or APHE



Effect of Gadoxetate on APHE

The suboptimal depiction of APHE can affect the categorization of observations.

For example: $a \ge 10$ mm observations with nonrim APHE may be LR-5 (depending on other features):



If APHE is not seen, the same observation cannot be LR-5:





Washout appearance applies only to PVP on HBA MRI

"Washout" should only be characterized on extracellular phase images.

For gadoxetate disodium enhanced MRI, PVP only can be used to characterize "washout".

• TP hypointensity is not "washout" for purposes of LI-RADS categorization.

Rationale

TP hypointensity is not specific for HCC. It can be seen in any of the following:

- HCC
- Non-HCC malignancy: iCCA, cHCC-CCA, metastases
- Some dysplastic nodules
- Some hemangiomas
- Confluent fibrosis

Allowing TP hypointensity to count as "washout" may lower the specificity for HCC. Based on current literature:

- APHE + "washout" in PVP : 93-100% specificity for HCC
- APHE + 3 min TP hypointensity: 79-95% specificity for HCC



Rationale (Cont'd)

TP hypointensity may be due to multiple factors: low uptake within an observation, progressive background liver enhancement, and/or true "washout". The idealized time-intensity curves below illustrate this concept.

Time-intensity curves





Rationale (Cont'd)

Rationale is illustrated below in a woman with a hepatocellular adenoma. Since this patient is not at risk for HCC and therefore not in the LI-RADS population, this case is used for illustrative purposes.

- · With ECA: the adenoma fades in the PVP and 3 minutes. It does not "wash out"
- With gadoxetate: the same adenoma is hypointense in the TP and HBP. The hypointensity is due to reduced OATP expression compared to liver, not to "washout"





Washout appearance may be difficult to detect on gadoxetate-enhanced MRI

Washout appearance may be more difficult to detect on gadoxetate disodium enhanced MRI than ECA-MRI due to:





Washout appearance may be difficult to detect on gadoxetate-enhanced MRI

Lack of detection of "washout" can affect the categorization of observations.

For example: a > 10 mm observation with nonrim APHE, nonperipheral "washout", and no other additional major features (i.e., no threshold growth, no enhancing "capsule") is categorized LR-5:



If "washout" is not seen, the same observation would be categorized LR-3 or LR-4:





Effect of Gadoxetate on "Capsule"

Enhancing capsule appearance may be difficult to detect on gadoxetate-enhanced MRI

Unlike "washout", which must be characterized in the portal venous phase, enhancing "capsule" may be characterized in both the PVP and TP.

Although enhancing "capsule" may be characterized on either phase, this major feature may more difficult to see with HBA-MRI than with ECA-MRI for two reasons:

- Prominent enhancement in the PVP and TP by the liver may obscure any enhancement of the "capsule".
- Reduced distribution of gadoxetate in the extracellular space of the "capsule" may lower the absolute enhancement of the "capsule".



Example: Enhancing capsule appearance detected at ECA-MRI, not HBA-MRI



"Capsule" not seen



Effect of Gadoxetate on "Capsule"

The suboptimal depiction of enhancing "capsule" can affect the categorization of observations.

For example: $a \ge 20$ mm observations with nonrim APHE, enhancing "capsule", and no additional major feature (i.e., no "washout", no threshold growth) is categorized LR-5:



If "capsule" is not seen, the same observation would be categorized LR-4:





Gadoxetate disodium: possible advantages

Improved sensitivity for HCC

- Additional lesions may be detected on HBP images, including small HCCs and early HCCs, not visible on any other sequence.
- HBP images provide higher contrast-to-noise ratio than other sequences, potentially increasing lesion conspicuity. For instance, lesions detected first on HBP, may be identified in hindsight on other sequences.
- HBP lasts several minutes, enables use of different sequence parameters than dynamic images (rapid techniques are less important). As a result, images with high signal-to-noise ratio, contrast-to-noise ratio, and spatial resolution are possible.

Improved specificity

- HBP imaging can differentiate between:
 - *true lesions* with APHE (hypointense on HBP)
 - vascular *pseudolesions* such as arterioportal shunts (isointense on HBP)





Improved detection of early HCCs

- Early HCCs (eHCCs) have incomplete neoarterialization, frequently are isointense to background liver in vascular phases, therefore cannot be reliably detected with ECA imaging.
- Since OATP expression level decreases during hepatocarcinogenesis prior to complete neoarterialization, such HCCs may be visible first and potentially only on HBP images as hypointense nodules.
- Importantly, differential diagnosis for HBP hypointense nodules without APHE includes highgrade dysplastic nodules, occasional low-grade dysplastic nodules, occasional large cirrhotic nodules, and nodular areas of fibrosis, so this finding is not specific for HCC.





Example: detection of early HCC



eHCC is seen only as a 11 mm observation without APHE or WO, but with TP and HBP hypointensity

Example: detection of hypovascular HCC

ECA-MRI Pre AP PVP DP

No observation is seen on ECA-MRI



A 23 mm observation without APHE or WO is seen on TP and HBP



Example: detection of early HCC and HCC precursors

Initial gadoxetate-MRI



A 6 mm observation without APHE, with PVP WO and hypointensity on TP and HBP: categorized as LR-3 based on major features and upgraded to LR-4 based on AFs favoring malignancy

Follow-up gadoxetate-MRI in 2 years



2 years later, the observation has grown to 17 mm, and it has developed APHE. The observation is now categorized LR-5 (10-19 mm, nonrim APHE, PVP washout appearance).



Example: detection of minimally hypervascular HCC



18 mm observation is very subtle on AP and DP

ECA-MRI



18 mm observation has subtle APHE and subtle WO on DP

Gadoxetate-MRI



The contrast-to-noise ratio between the observation and the background is highest in the TP and HBP



Example: assess vascular pseudolesions



Perfusion alteration

HCC demonstrates APHE, PVP WO and TP/HBP hypointensity. Perfusion alteration can be appropriately categorized as LR-1 or LR-2 given isointensity on HBP.



Prediction of tumor differentiation

- Poorly differentiated HCCs are hypointense in the HBP (98%) more frequently than well- or moderately-differentiated HCCs (86%).
- HCCs with HBP hyperintensity are associated with molecular features of mature hepatocytes and with histologic features associated with more favorable outcomes.

Prediction of microvascular invasion (MVI)

• Peritumoral HBP hypointensity is associated with higher odds of MVI.

Improved selection for liver-directed treatments (TACE, RFA, resection)

 Prospective and retrospective observational studies demonstrate improved overall and recurrence-free survival in patients selected for treatment based on CT and HBA-MRI, compared with CT alone.

Prognostic information in liver transplantation patients

• Satellite nodules and peritumural hypointensity in the HBP of the pre-transplant MRI are independent predictors of tumor recurrence, for patients transplanted both within and outside Milan criteria

Prognostic information in liver resection patients

• Peritumoral HBP hypointensity is a predictor of post-resection recurrence



Pitfalls and Practical Considerations

Quality of arterial phase may be degraded by several factors

- Bolus timing more challenging
 - Low volume bolus results in a narrow peak of contrast and window for AP acquisition, harder to capture optimal AP.
 - Test bolus technique less desired option for timing due to potential for liver uptake, may limit accurate means of bolus timing on some scanners.

AP: HBA-MRI



AP timing is too early on Gx-MRI, and APHE is not demonstrated. Late AP with ECA-MR depicts APHE.

- Less gadolinium per dose (0.025 vs 0.1 mmol/kg)
 - · Lower dose of gadolinium may reduce peak arterial enhancement of lesions.



APHE is less pronounced on gadoxetate-MRI compared with ECA-MRI



Pitfalls and Practical Considerations

Quality of arterial phase may be degraded by several factors (Cont'd)

- Respiratory motion may degrade AP image quality
 - Patients may experience transient self-limiting dyspnea (also known as transient severe motion) shortly after contrast injection, around the time of AP.
 - Affects 15-39% of gadoxetate-MRIs, compared with 3-10% of ECA-MRIs.
 - Degradation of AP images limits assessment for APHE, a feature that is required for LR-5 categorization (*Chapter 16, page 19*).
 - Cause of motion on HBA is controversial:
 - Nonallergic-like mechanism?
 - · Various studies report increased incidence with
 - Male sex
 - Higher BMI
 - · Higher dose of gadoxetate
 - · Previous episodes of transient severe motion
 - · Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease



Gx-MRI



Compared with ECA-MRI, AP with HBA-MRI is degraded by motion artifact



Pitfalls and Practical Considerations

Difficulty in visualization of enhancing "capsule" (page 13-20)

"Washout" can be assessed only on PVP (cannot be assessed on the TP) (page 13-15)

Possible lower sensitivity for TIV:

- Gadoxetate disodium tends to generate weak contrast between vessels and background liver during the AP, PVP and TP, in part because low dose reduces peak arterial enhancement of neoplastic tissue including tumor in vein.
- Early clearance of contrast from vessels may reduce conspicuity of TIV (i.e., TIV and vessels are equally hypointense)
- All imaging methods have limited sensitivity for segmental TIV. There is not yet any high-level evidence on the comparative performance of different methods for this purpose

Prolonged exam time:

• Despite protocol optimization, acquisition of HBP makes scan time longer compared to ECA-MRI.

Pitfalls associated with HBP

• 5-10% of HCCs are hyperintense on the HBP



HCC demonstrates APHE, no WO and HBP hyperintensity



Pitfalls Associated with Hepatobiliary Phase

- HBP non-specificity
 - Any lesion not composed of functioning hepatocytes may appear hypointense in HBP, including benign entities (e.g., hemangiomas, nodular or confluent areas of fibrosis, some atypical perfusion alterations) and non-HCC malignancies (e.g., ICCs, metastases).



Hemangioma



Carcinoid metastases



Cyst





Multifocal cholangiocarcinoma



Focal steatosis



Confluent fibrosis



Pitfalls Associated with Hepatobiliary Phase

Pitfalls associated with hepatic dysfunction

The transitional phase may be prolonged and the hepatobiliary phase inadequate and delayed in patients with severe hepatic dysfunction (e.g., decompensated cirrhosis).



Decompensated cirrhosis

TP 10 minutes HBP is suboptimal even at 30 minutes

All illustrated above, the HBP is not defined primarily by the timing delay. Rather it is characterized by the enhancement of the hepatic parenchyma and clearance of contrast from the vasculature.



Pitfalls Associated with Hepatobiliary Phase

Pitfalls associated with hepatic dysfunction (Cont'd)

In severe hepatic dysfunction, a malignant neoplasm such as HCC may appear isointense to liver in HBP due to diminished clearance of contrast from extracellular spaces (vascular and interstitial).

• In cases of suboptimal HBP, HBP isointensity should not be applied as an AF favoring benignity.



HCC in suboptimal HBP: 35 mm observation with APHE, WO and capsule meets criteria for LR-5. On HBP, observation is uniformly isointense to background parenchyma. Recognition that HBP is suboptimal (parenchyma is isointense to intrahepatic vessels) is important so that isointensity to parenchyma is not erroneously interpreted as AF of benignity.

In severe hepatic dysfunction, blood vessels and hemangiomas may appear isointense to liver.

- Due to diminished hepatobiliary excretion, gadoxetate is cleared slowly from the vascular space. Blood vessels and lesions with large blood volumes (e.g., hemangiomas) retain contrast material and may appear isointense to suboptimally enhanced liver.
- In cases of suboptimal HBP, HBP isointensity should not be mistaken as lesional uptake of gadoxetate, which would indicate hepatocellular origin.



Hemangioma in suboptimal HBP: On AP, PVP and TP, observation demonstrates enhancement typical of hemangioma. On HBP, observation is isointense to liver. Recognition that HBP is suboptimal (parenchyma is isointense to intrahepatic vessels) is important so that isointensity to parenchyma is not erroneously interpreted as lesional gadoxetate uptake indicating hepatocellular origin.



HBP Hypointense Nodule without APHE

HBP hypointense nodule without APHE

HBP hypointense nodules without APHE (the LI-RADS preferred term) are unique to HBA-MRI, since HBP phase imaging is not available with ECA-MRI.

A large proportion of these nodules are early HCCs and dysplastic nodules: In one retrospective study, 74% of histology-sampled HBP-hypointense nodules without APHE were HCCs and 10% were dysplastic nodules.

Biological basis:

- Neoarterialization becomes complete relatively late in hepatocarcinogenesis, as a nodule transforms from early HCC to progressed HCC.
- OATP expression begins to decline early in hepatocarcinogenesis, sometimes as early as a lowgrade dysplastic nodule stage.
- As a result of decreased OATP expression and incomplete neoarterialization, LGDN, HGDN and early HCCs may appear as HBP hypointense nodules without APHE.



HBP hypointense nodule without APHE



Hepatobiliary agents

HBP Hypointense Nodule without APHE





HBP hypointense nodules without APHE are at high risk of becoming HCC:

- Meta-analysis of HBP-hypointense nodules without APHE reported pooled cumulative incidence hypervascularization rates of 18% at 1 year, 25% at 2 years, and 30% at 3 years.
- Of progressed HCCs, 29-44% were visible as HBP hypointense nodules without APHE on prior imaging.
- 3- and 5-year recurrence-free survival rates improve if HBP-hypointense nodules without APHE are treated at time of HCC resection.

HBP hypointense nodules without APHE are markers of increased risk of HCC development elsewhere in liver:

- Cumulative 3-year rate of HCC development anywhere in liver (not progression of nodule) may be as high as 22%, compared to 6% in patients with no nodules.
- Recurrence rates elsewhere in liver following RFA may be higher in patients with HBP hypointense nodules without APHE compared with patients who lack such nodules.



Technical Tips

Technical tips



• In cirrhosis with severe hepatic dysfunction, increasing delay for HBP imaging to 30 minutes or more for gadoxetate *may* improve parenchymal enhancement and clearance from vasculature.



HBP quality is better at 40 minutes than 20 minutes

- However, LI-RADS does not recommend routine delay of HBP timing to 30-40 minutes in cirrhosis.
- Delaying the acquisition does not consistently achieve adequate HBP imaging quality.



Increasing the delay from 20 to 30 to 40 minutes results in minimal improvement in image quality. HBP remains inadequate at 40 minutes

- If there is reduced parenchymal signal due to iron overload consider using minimum possible echo time.
- If there is reduced parenchymal signal due to steatosis consider using an in-phase echo time and avoiding fat suppression.
- Consider multiple AP acquisition, dilution of gadoxetate bolus with normal saline, and reduction in injection rate from 2 to 1 ml/second to decrease the incidence of transient motion artifact.
- When AP is nondiagnostic, repeat examination (CT or ECA-MRI) may demonstrate APHE.
- Consider ECA-MRI if gadoxetate-MRI is equivocal for "washout".



Frequently Asked Questions

When does portal venous phase end and transitional begin?

The progression from the PVP to the TP is gradual, without a defined demarcation point, and depends on various patient-related factors. In general, the transitional phase is a time range in which the blood vessels are approximately isointense to liver. In most patients with preserved liver function, the TP occurs about 2-5 min after injection of gadoxetate although it may be delayed and prolonged in patients with hepatic dysfunction.

Is there a TP with gadobenate dimeglumine (Multihance)?

A prolonged TP does occur with gadobenate dimeglumine, as the contrast agent slowly transitions between being mainly extracellular and mainly hepatocellular. However, uptake of gadobenate by hepatocytes is low and slow, the timing of the TP with gadobenate is variable, and imaging during the TP is not routinely performed with this agent.

How do I gauge if liver enhancement is adequate during the hepatobiliary phase (HBP)?

Liver enhancement during the HBP is adequate if the parenchyma is unequivocally hyperintense relative to hepatic blood vessels. It is suboptimal otherwise. The mechanism for suboptimal HBP enhancement is not well understood but probably reflects reduced number of functional hepatocytes, reduced function of cellular transporters, or competition for transporters. Pitfall: enhancement of the bile ducts does not indicate adequate liver enhancement. See <u>page 13-11</u>.

If HBP is suboptimal, should I delay the acquisition or increase the flip angle?

Delaying the HBP acquisition may improve image quality in cirrhotic livers with diminished function, but has unknown impact on diagnostic accuracy. Increasing the flip angle improves lesion-to-liver contrast-to-noise ratio for metastases in normal livers, but has not been studied in the setting of cirrhosis and diminished function.

If liver enhancement during the HBP is suboptimal, how do I characterize observations that are hypointense, isointense, or hyperintense relative to liver?

If an observation is hypointense in the hepatobiliary phase, it may be characterized as such despite suboptimal hepatobiliary phase parenchymal enhancement. However, if an observation is isointense or hyperintense, characterization of hepatobiliary phase intensity may be unreliable.



Frequently Asked Questions

Why is assessment of "washout" restricted to the PVP with gadoxetate disodium?

In retrospective studies, the combination of APHE + PVP "washout" had higher specificity for HCC (98-100%) than the combination of APHE and TP hypointensity (86-95%). Thus, restricting the definition of "washout" to the portal venous phase provides the needed high specificity of LR-5 for HCC, while relaxing the definition of "washout" to include the TP would reduce the specificity.



Bashir MR, Breault SR, Braun R, Do RK, Nelson RC, Reeder SB. Optimal timing and diagnostic adequacy of hepatocyte phase imaging with gadoxetate-enhanced liver MRI. Acad Radiol. 2014 Jun;21(6):726-32.

Bashir MR, Castelli P, Davenport MS, Larson D, Marin D, Hussain HK, Jaffe TA. Respiratory motion artifact affecting hepatic arterial phase MR imaging with gadoxetate disodium is more common in patients with a prior episode of arterial phase motion associated with gadoxetate disodium. Radiology 2015; 274:141-148.

Bluemke DA, Sahani D, Amendola M, Balzer T, Breuer J, et al. Efficacy and safety of MR imaging with liver-specific contrast agent: US multicenter phase III study. Radiology. 2005 Oct;237(1):89-98.

Choi SH, Byun JH, Lim YS, Yu E, Lee SJ, Kim SY, Won HJ, Shin YM, Kim PN. Diagnostic criteria for hepatocellular carcinoma ≤3 cm with hepatocyte-specific contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. J Hepatol. 2016 May;64(5):1099-1107

Choi SH, Lee SS, Kim SY, Park SH, Park SH, Kim KM, Hong SM, Yu E, Lee MG. Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma in Patients with Cirrhosis: Differentiation from Hepatocellular Carcinoma by Using Gadoxetic Acid-enhanced MR Imaging and Dynamic CT. Radiology. 2017 Mar;282(3):771-781.

Davenport MS, Bashir MR, Pietryga JA, Weber JT, Khalatbari S, Hussain HK. Dose-toxicity relationship of gadoxetate disodium and transient severe respiratory motion artifact. AJR 2014; 203:796-802.

Davenport MS, Caoili EM, Kaza RK, Hussain HK. Matched within-patient cohort study of transient arterial phase respiratory motion-related artifact in MR imaging of the liver: gadoxetate disodium versus gadobenate dimeglumine. Radiology 2014; 272:123-131

Davenport MS, Malyarenko DI, Pang Y, Hussain HK, Chenevert TL. Effect of Gadoxetate Disodium on Arterial Phase Respiratory Waveforms Using a Quantitative Fast Fourier Transformation-Based Analysis. AJR 2017; 208:328-336.

Davenport MS, Viglianti BL, Al-Hawary MM, Caoili EM, Kaza RK, Liu PS, Maturen KE, Chenevert TL, Hussain HK. Comparison of acute transient dyspnea after intravenous administration of gadoxetate disodium and gadobenate dimeglumine: effect on arterial phase image quality. Radiology. 2013;266(2):452-61.

Dioguardi Burgio M, Picone D, Cabibbo G, Midiri M, Lagalla R, Brancatelli G. MR-imaging features of hepatocellular carcinoma capsule appearance in cirrhotic liver: comparison of gadoxetic acid and gadobenate dimeglumine. Abdom Radiol (NY). 2016;41(8):1546-54.

Doo KW, Lee CH, Choi JW, Lee J, Kim KA, et al. "Pseudo washout" sign in high-flow hepatic hemangioma on gadoxetic acid contrast-enhanced MRI mimicking hypervascular tumor. AJR 2009 Dec;193(6):W490-6.



Esterson YB, Flusberg M, Oh S, Mazzariol F, Rozenblit AM, Chernyak V. Improved parenchymal liver enhancement with extended delay on Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI in patients with parenchymal liver disease: associated clinical and imaging factors. Clin Radiol. 2015 Jul;70(7):723-9.

Hanna RF, Miloushev VZ, Tang A, Finklestone LA, Brejt SZ, Sandhu RS, Santillan CS, Wolfson T, Gamst A, Sirlin CB. Comparative 13-year meta-analysis of the sensitivity and positive predictive value of ultrasound, CT, and MRI for detecting hepatocellular carcinoma. Abdom Radiol (NY). 2016 Jan;41(1):71-90.

Hope TA, Fowler KJ, Sirlin CB, Costa EA, Yee J, et al. Hepatobiliary agents and their role in LI-RADS. Abdom Imaging. 2015 Mar;40(3):613-25.

Inoue M, Ogasawara S, Chiba T, Ooka Y, Wakamatsu T, Kobayashi K, Suzuki E, Tawada A, Yokosuka O. Presence of non-hypervascular hypointense nodules on Gadolinium-ethoxybenzyldiethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017 Apr;32(4):908-915.

Iwamoto T, Imai Y, Igura T, Kogita S, Sawai Y, Fukuda K, Yamaguchi Y, Matsumoto Y, Nakahara M, Morimoto O, Ohashi H, Fujita N, Kudo M, Takehara T. Non-Hypervascular Hypointense Hepatic Nodules during the Hepatobiliary Phase of Gadolinium-Ethoxybenzyl-Diethylenetriamine Pentaacetic Acid-Enhanced MRI as a Risk Factor of Intrahepatic Distant Recurrence after Radiofrequency Ablation of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Dig Dis. 2017;35(6):574-582.

Joo I, Lee JM, Lee DH, Jeon JH, Han JK, Choi BI. Noninvasive diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma on gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: can hypointensity on the hepatobiliary phase be used as an alternative to washout? Eur Radiol. 2015 Oct;25(10):2859-68

Kim HD, Lim YS, Han S, An J, Kim GA, Kim SY, Lee SJ, Won HJ, Byun JH. Evaluation of earlystage hepatocellular carcinoma by magnetic resonance imaging with gadoxetic acid detects additional lesions and increases overall survival. Gastroenterology. 2015 Jun;148(7):1371-82.

Kim R, Lee JM, Shin CI, Lee ES, Yoon JH, Joo I, Kim SH, Hwang I, Han JK, Choi BI. Differentiation of intrahepatic mass-forming cholangiocarcinoma from hepatocellular carcinoma on gadoxetic acidenhanced liver MR imaging. Eur Radiol. 2016 Jun;26(6):1808-17.

Kim SY, Park SH, Wu EH, Wang ZJ, Hope TA, Chang WC, Yeh BM. Transient respiratory motion artifact during arterial phase MRI with gadoxetate disodium: risk factor analyses. AJR 2015; 204:1220-1227.

Kim YK, Lin WC, Sung K, Raman SS, Margolis D, Lim Y, Gu S, Lu D. Reducing Artifacts during Arterial Phase of Gadoxetate Disodium-enhanced MR Imaging: Dilution Method versus Reduced Injection Rate. Radiology 2017; 283:429-437.

Lee DH, Lee JM, Lee JY, Kim SH, Kim JH, Yoon JH, Kim YJ, Lee JH, Yu SJ, Han JK, Choi BI. Nonhypervascular hepatobiliary phase hypointense nodules on gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI: risk of HCC recurrence after radiofrequency ablation. J Hepatol. 2015 May;62(5):1122-30.



Löwenthal D, Zeile M, Lim WY, Wybranski C, Fischbach F, et al. Detection and characterisation of focal liver lesions in colorectal carcinoma patients: comparison of diffusion-weighted and Gd-EOB-DTPA enhanced MR imaging. Eur Radiol. 2011 Apr;21(4):832-40.

McClellan TR, Motosugi U, Middleton MS, Allen BC, Jaffe TA, Miller CM, Reeder SB, Sirlin CB, Bashir MR. Intravenous Gadoxetate Disodium Administration Reduces Breath-holding Capacity in the Hepatic Arterial Phase: A Multi-Center Randomized Placebo-controlled Trial. Radiology 2017; 282:361-368.

Motosugi U, Bannas P, Bookwalter CA, Sano K, Reeder SB. An Investigation of Transient Severe Motion Related to Gadoxetic Acid-enhanced MR Imaging. Radiology 2016; 279:93-102.

Motosugi U, Ichikawa T, Sano K, Sou H, Onohara K, et al. Double-dose gadoxetic Acid-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in patients with chronic liver disease. Invest Radiol. 2011 Feb;46(2):141-5. PubMed PMID: 21139506.

Park YS, Lee CH, Yoo JL, Kim IS, Kiefer B, Woo ST, Kim KA, Park CM. Hepatic Arterial Phase in Gadoxetic Acid-Enhanced Liver Magnetic Resonance Imaging: Analysis of Respiratory Patterns and Their Effect on Image Quality. Invest Radiol 2016; 51:127-133.

Pietryga JA, Burke LM, Marin D, Jaffe TA, Bashir MR. Respiratory motion artifact affecting hepatic arterial phase imaging with gadoxetate disodium: examination recovery with a multiple arterial phase acquisition. Radiology 2014; 271:426-434

Seale MK, Catalano OA, Saini S, Hahn PF, Sahani DV. Hepatobiliary-specific MR contrast agents: role in imaging the liver and biliary tree. Radiographics. 2009 Oct;29(6):1725-48

Shim JH, Han S, Shin YM, Lee YJ, Lee SG, Kim KM, Lim YS, Lee HC. Prognostic performance of preoperative gadoxetic acid-enhanced MRI in resectable hepatocellular carcinoma. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2015 Apr;41(4):1115-23

Suh YJ, Kim MJ, Choi JY, Park YN, Park MS, et al. Differentiation of hepatic hyperintense lesions seen on gadoxetic acid-enhanced hepatobiliary phase MRI. AJR 2011 Jul;197(1):W44-52. PubMed PMID: 21700994.

Tamada T, Ito K, Higaki A, Yoshida K, Kanki A, et al. Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MR imaging: evaluation of hepatic enhancement effects in normal and cirrhotic livers. Eur J Radiol. 2011 Dec;80(3):e311-6.

Tamada T, Ito K, Yoshida K, Kanki A, Higaki A, et al. Comparison of three different injection methods for arterial phase of Gd-EOB-DTPA enhanced MR imaging of the liver. Eur J Radiol. 2011 Dec;80(3):e284-8.

Toyoda H, Kumada T, Tada T, Niinomi T, Ito T, Sone Y, Kaneoka Y, Maeda A. Non-hypervascular hypointense nodules detected by Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI are a risk factor for recurrence of HCC after hepatectomy. J Hepatol. 2013 Jun;58(6):1174-80.



Toyoda H, Kumada T, Tada T, Sone Y, Maeda A, Kaneoka Y. Non-hypervascular hypointense nodules on Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced MRI as a predictor of outcomes for early-stage HCC. Hepatol Int. 2015 Jan;9(1):84-92.