



NI-RADS™ PET/CT Category Descriptors, Imaging Findings, and Management

Category	Primary Site	Neck	Imaging Findings		Management
			Primary Site	Neck	
Incomplete	0	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> New baseline study without any prior imaging available AND knowledge that prior imaging exists and will become available as comparison 		Assign score in addendum after prior imaging examinations become available
No evidence of recurrence	1	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Expected post treatment changes Non-mass-like distortion of soft tissues Low-density post-treatment mucosal edema Diffuse linear mucosal enhancement or FDG If residual nodal tissue, no FDG uptake or enhancement 		Routine surveillance
Low suspicion	2a	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focal mucosal enhancement or FDG uptake on initial post treatment scan* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mild/ mod FDG in residual nodal tissue or persistent areas of heterogenous enhancement Enlarging or new lymph node without definitive abnormal morphologic features * Any discordance between PET & CECT: enlarging lymph node but little to no FDG uptake ** 	2a: Direct visual inspection
	2b		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deep, ill-defined soft tissue, with only mild/ mod FDG if PET available Any discordance between PET & CECT: discrete CECT abnormality but little to no FDG uptake or focal FDG uptake but no CT correlate** 		2b or neck 2: Short interval follow-up (3 months) or PET if scoring on CECT alone
High suspicion	3	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discrete nodule or mass at the primary site with intense focal FDG uptake if PET available Residual nodal tissue with intense FDG New enlarged lymph node or enlarging lymph node with abnormal morphologic features*** on CECT only or focal intense FDG uptake if PET available 		Image guided or clinical biopsy if clinically indicated
Definitive recurrence	4	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pathologically proven or definite radiologic and clinical progression 		Clinical management

*Focal mucosal abnormalities have a high likelihood of being treatment related, especially on the initial post-treatment PET/CECT, so that in most cases, it is prudent to assign a “2a” and let surgeons or oncologists directly inspect. If a more mass-like or nodular mucosal abnormality develops later in the time course of surveillance, it may warrant a “3”.

**This guideline for PET and CECT discordance only applies if the original tumor was FDG avid

**Morphologically abnormal features which are definitive= new necrosis or gross extra nodal extension (ENE) as evidenced by invasion of adjacent structures

- “Residual nodal tissue” = node that was abnormal and identified on pre-treatment scan. In these cases, hypo enhancement and irregular borders are not unexpected and are likely a sign of treatment response, especially if there is no FDG uptake.
- “New or enlarging node” = node that develops DURING surveillance (not on pre-treatment scan). In these nodes, irregular borders or necrosis are definitively abnormal features.

+ If Primary tumor is unknown, then authors suggest designating “P-unknown primary”, if the primary cannot be assessed (dental artifact, motion or other technical reasons or outside FOV), then authors suggest P-x

+ NI-RADS categories designed for use after definitive/ curative treatment for H&N cancer, and therefore not designed to be used during treatment