Birds Tree Consultancy

Consulting Arborist AQF5 • Horticultural Consultancy • Project Management • Resistograph Testing



ARBORICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide - Canterbury NSW

REVISION E

1st of September 2021

Prepared for Systems Connect

Prepared by

Birds Tree Consultancy Glenn Bird Grad Cert Arboriculture Uni Melb (AQF8) Dip. Hort (Arboriculture) (AQF5) PO Box 6048 DURAL NSW 2158 PH 0438 892 634 glenn@birdstrees.com.au www.birdstrees.com.au ABN 31 105 006 657



Executive Summary

This Arboricultural Development Impact Assessment Report has been commissioned by Systems Connect to report on trees within the site of Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide site at Canterbury NSW. It has been commissioned to outline the health, condition and stability of these trees as well as their viability for retention within the context of the proposed development. The scope of this report includes all trees within areas that may be impacted by the proposed development.

The Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 28 and 29 are encroached by the proposed construction and required earthworks and cranage by a total or major encroachment as defined by *AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*. These trees will not be viable to be retained and will be required to be removed due to the proposed development.

The canopies of Trees 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 will be impacted by the required cranage and construction access to the site. The extent of impact is such that Trees 22, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 are not viable to be retained. Trees 24, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 will require crown reduction pruning to provide crown clearance in accordance with 8.0 and *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*.

Trees 13 and 14 are viable to be retained however the proposed new fence is located within the TPZ of these two trees. The base of the fence has a 200mm deep concrete plinth. The excavation for this plinth is to be excavated by hand with not roots greater than 20mm damaged. These two trees will remain valid to be retained on this basis.

Tree 27 is an environmental pest species and is recommended for removal.

All other trees are viable to be retained and are to be protected as defined below.

Tree no.	Species	Recommendations	Comments
1.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
2.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
3.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
4.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
5.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
6.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
7.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
8.	Pittosporum undulatum	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.

Recommendations for tree retention or removal are summarised as follows:

9.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Pittosporum undulatum		proposed development.
10.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Pittosporum undulatum		proposed development.
11.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Pittosporum undulatum		proposed development.
12.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Cinnamomum camphora		proposed development.
13.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
	Callistemon viminalis		accordance with 9.0.
14.	Catanantanan	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
	Cotoneaster spp	Damasus	accordance with 9.0.
15.	Callistamon viminalis	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
10		Demovie	proposed development.
16.	Callistemon viminalis	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
47		Pomovo	Net visble to be retained due to
17.	Callistemon viminalis	Remove	proposed development
10		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
18.	Callistemon viminalis	Remove	proposed development
10		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
19.	Callistemon viminalis	Remove	proposed development
20		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
20.	Cinnamomum camphora	i tomovo	proposed development
21		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
21.	Cinnamomum camphora		proposed development.
22.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Callistemon viminalis		proposed development.
23.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Callistemon viminalis		proposed development.
24.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
	Callistemon viminalis		accordance with 9.0.
25.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
	Pittosporum undulatum		accordance with 9.0.
26.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
	Jacaranda mimosifolia		accordance with 9.0.
27.	Ligustrum lucidum	Remove	Environmental pest species
28.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Jacaranda mimosifolia		proposed development.
29.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Callistemon viminalis		proposed development.
30.	D ¹¹	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	Pittosporum undulatum		proposed development.
31.		Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
	jucaranaa mimosifolia		proposed development.
32.	Norium alagadar	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
-	iverium oleanaer		proposed development.
33.	Callictomon viminalia	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to
		Details	proposed development.
34.		Retain	viable to be retained and protected in
	Callistamon viminalis		accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in

			accordance with 8.0 Pruning
			Specifications.
35.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
			accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0 Pruning
	Jacaranda mimosifolia		Specifications.
36.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
			accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0 Pruning
	Callistemon viminalis		Specifications.
37.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
			accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in
	Callistana an ainsinalia		accordance with 8.0 Pruning
	Callistemon viminalis		Specifications.
38.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
			accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in
	Callistana an ainsinalia		accordance with 8.0 Pruning
	Callistemon viminalis		Specifications.
39.		Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in
			accordance with 9.0. Pruning
			required for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0 Pruning
	Callistemon viminalis		Specifications.

Contents

Exe	ecutive Summary	2
Cor	ntents	5
1.0	Scope of Works	6
40	Site Analysis	7
2.1	Site	.7
2.2	Topography	. 7
2.3	Identification	.7
^{2.4}	Fristing Trees	. / ጸ
٥.0 ۸ ۸	Landecano Significanco of Troos	2
4.0	Landscape Significance	J
4.2	Methodology of Determining Landscape Significance	13
4.3	Landscape Significance of Subject Trees	13
5.0	Subject Tree Retention Value1	4
5.1 5.2	Tree Retention Value Methodology	14 17
6 0	Impact of Development	6
6.1	Tree Protection Zone	16
6.2	Structural Root Zone	16
6.3	Development Impact	18
7.0	Recommendations 2	4
8.0	Pruning Specification2	7
8.1	General	27
9.0	Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures	1
9.1 9.2	General	31 31
9.3	Site Arborist	31
9.4	Protective Fence	31
9.5 9.6	Signage	31 31
9.7	Trunk and Branch Protection	32
10.0	0 Site Management Issues3	2
10.1	Soil Compaction.	32
10.2 10.3	Site Access	33
10.4	Possible Contamination / Storage of Materials	33
11.0	0 Tree Protection Measures During Construction	n
	33	
12.0	0 Environmental / Heritage/ Legislativ	е
Cor	nsiderations	Δ
121	D Pafarancas 2	л Л
13.0		+ /
14.	U DISUMINIEN	4 r
App	pendix A Landscape Significance	5 -
Арр	pendix B Tree Retention Values	7
Арр	pendix C - Tree Inspection Data	8
Арр	pendix D Tree Location Plans3	9

1.1 Background

This Arboricultural Development Impact Assessment Report has been commissioned by Systems Connect to report on trees within the site of Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide site at Canterbury NSW. It has been commissioned to outline the health, condition and stability of these trees as well as their viability for retention. It has been commissioned to outline the health, condition and stability of these trees as well as their viability for retention in the context of the proposed development. The scope of this report includes all trees within areas that may be impacted by the proposed development.

This report has been prepared in response to CSSI 8256 Conditions of Approval E4 and E5 of the Conditions of Approval, which requires that:

"The Proponent must commission an independent experienced and suitably qualified arborist, to prepare a comprehensive Tree Report(s) before removing any trees as detailed in the documents listed in Condition A1. The Tree Report may be prepared for the entire CSSI, or separate reports may be prepared for individual areas where trees are required to be removed.

The report(s) must identify the impacts of the CSSI on trees and vegetation within and adjacent to the Construction footprint. The report(s) must include:

(a) a description of the conditions of the tree(s) and its amenity and visual value;

(b) consideration of all options to avoid tree removal, including relocation of services, redesign or relocation of ancillary components (such as substations, fencing etc.) and

reduction of standard offsets to underground services; and

(c) measures to avoid the removal of trees or minimise damage to existing trees and ensure the health and stability of those trees to be protected. This includes details of any proposed canopy or root pruning, root protection zone, excavation, site controls on waste disposal, vehicular access, storage of materials and protection of public utilities."

1.2 Methods

On the 12 May 2021, Glenn Bird of Birds Tree Consultancy attended site and inspected the subject trees from the ground. There was no aerial inspection carried out. A Visual Tree Assessment was undertaken in accordance with Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) guidelines (Mattheck and Breloer, 1994). Tree heights were measured using a Nikon Forestry 550 Heightmeter. Glenn was accompanied by Systems Connect personnel including Peter Hennessy, Chris Riley, Bogdan Garwacki and Errol Pather. Input was provided regarding minimising adverse impacts on trees and other flora.

1.3 Structure

The structure of this report is as follows:

• Sections 3, 4 and 5 respond to Condition E5 (a). Section 3 provides a brief description of each tree assessed. Section 4 provides an assessment of the Landscape Significance of each tree, based on the standardised rating system developed by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists, and is a factor of the health and condition of the tree, vitality, the form of the tree, environmental, cultural, amenity and heritage value. Section 5 provides the Tree Retention Value of each tree.

• Sections 6 and 7 respond to Condition E5 (b). Options to avoid tree removal were discussed on-site during the inspection on 12 May. Section 6.1 defines Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) for each tree and assesses the encroachment of the works on the TPZs. Section 6.2 considers the likely impact on each tree and makes recommendations on which trees can be retained and which trees will need to be removed. Section 7 summarises the outcome and makes recommendations regarding revised design and construction methods that may be able to be implemented to protect trees of high retention value.

Section 8 responds to Condition E5 (c) and provides a range of tree protection measures to be implemented prior to and during construction.

4.0 Site Analysis

2.1 Site

The subject site is Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide - Canterbury NSW. The subject trees are located within or adjacent to the boundaries of this site. The site is currently an undeveloped site within the Railway Corridor in Hutton Street Hurlstone Park. Refer to Systems Connect Drawings SMCSWLWC-SYC-TCR-AT-DWG-642030 C0.04 for greater detail of the proposed development.

2.2 Topography

There is an existing embankment present at the southern boundary of the site. The site slopes from the highest point at the eastern boundary to the lowest point at the south western corner. Refer to survey for greater details of the levels.

2.3 Identification

Trees are as identified in the attached inspection forms in Appendix C and shown in Tree location Plan A01 in Appendix D. Note that the location of the subject trees has been determined from the survey provided where possible and where trees are present that have not been identified on the survey, the location of these trees has been shown based on approximation relative to survey details.

2.4 Soils

Soil material and horizons were not tested for this report.

5.0 Existing Trees

The following trees were inspected from the ground and the following items identified. Please refer also to the attached inspection data in Appendix C.

3.1. Tree 1. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate diameter at breast height (DBH) of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.2. Tree 2. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 310mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.3. Tree 3. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 250mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.4. Tree 4. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.5. Tree 5. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 260mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.6. Tree 6. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 260mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.7. Tree 7. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 250mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.8. Tree 8. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of

220mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.9. Tree 9. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 260mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.10. Tree 10. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.11. Tree 11. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.12. Tree 12. Cinnamomum camphora

This mature tree is approximately 9m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has multiple (3) co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 470mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.13. Tree 13. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 160mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.14. Tree 14. Cotoneaster spp

This mature tree is approximately 5m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 150mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.15. Tree 15. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 140mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.16. Tree 16. *Callistemon viminalis*

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 200mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.17. Tree 17. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has multiple (3) co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 150mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.18. Tree 18. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 140mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.19. Tree 19. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 230mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.20. Tree 20. Cinnamomum camphora

This mature tree is approximately 9m tall with a canopy spread of 11m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 500mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.21. Tree 21. Cinnamomum camphora

This mature tree is approximately 12m tall with a canopy spread of 11m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 500mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.22. Tree 22. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple (3) co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 380mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.23. Tree 23. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 350mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.24. Tree 24. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 6m. It has multiple co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.25. Tree 25. Pittosporum undulatum

This mature tree is approximately 3m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 140mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.26. Tree 26. Jacaranda mimosifolia

This mature tree is approximately 5m tall with a canopy spread of 3m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 200mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.27. Tree 27. Ligustrum lucidum

This mature tree is approximately 5m tall with a canopy spread of 5m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 180mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.28. Tree 28. Jacaranda mimosifolia

This mature tree is approximately 10m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 340mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.29. Tree 29. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 5m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.30. Tree 30. *Pittosporum undulatum*

This mature tree is approximately 5m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 120mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

3.31. Tree 31. Jacaranda mimosifolia

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 13m. It has two co dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 480mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood. There is one long end-weighted horizontal branch extending over the construction area. Tree 31 currently has an unbalanced crown due to line clearance pruning. There is substantial epicormic growth within the crown as a result of previous line clearance pruning. The first order branch that extends north over the site is the only remaining natural growth in the crown and is to be retained.

3.32. Tree 32. Nerium oleander

This mature tree is approximately 6m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has multiple codominant trunks from the base with a DBH of 400mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.33. Tree 33. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 5m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.34. Tree 34. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.35. Tree 35. Jacaranda mimosifolia

This mature tree is approximately 10m tall with a canopy spread of 11m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 400mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and significant epicormic growth.

3.36. Tree 36. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.37. Tree 37. Callistemon viminalis

T This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.38. Tree 38. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

3.39. Tree 39. Callistemon viminalis

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has multiple co dominant trunks with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree is located in the public domain on the opposite side of Hutton Street.

4.0 Landscape Significance of Trees

4.1 Landscape Significance

The significance of a tree within the landscape is a factor of the health and condition of the tree, vitality, the form of the tree, environmental, cultural, amenity and heritage value.

4.2 Methodology of Determining Landscape Significance

For the purpose of this report, the Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) as developed by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists (IACA) has been implemented. Please refer to Appendix A for greater detail of this assessment system. This system defines Landscape Significance for individual trees as High, Medium or Low Significance.

4.3 Landscape Significance of Subject Trees

Based on our assessment of the subject trees and implementation of the IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System, the Landscape Significance of the Subject Trees was determined as shown in Table 1.

Tree no.	Species	Landscape Significance
1.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
2.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
3.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
4.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
5.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
6.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
7.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
8.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
9.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
10.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
11.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
12.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
13.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
14.	Cotoneaster spp	Low
15.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
16.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
17.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
18.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
19.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
20.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
21.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
22.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
23.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
24.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium

25.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
26.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
27.	Ligustrum lucidum	Low
28.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
29.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
30.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
31.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
32.	Nerium oleander	Medium
33.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
34.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
35.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
36.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
37.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
38.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
39.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium

Table 1 - Landscape Significance

5.0 Subject Tree Retention Value

5.1 Tree Retention Value Methodology

For the purpose of this report, the Tree Retention Values have been assessed by incorporating Landscape Significance Values as determined in 4.0 with the Useful Life Expectancy of the subject trees and assessing the retention values based on the Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix as developed by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists (IACA). Please refer to Appendix B for greater detail of this Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix. This matrix defines Landscape Significance for individual trees as High, Medium or Low Retention Value as well as Priority for Removal.

5.2 Retention Value of Subject Trees

Based on our assessment of the subject trees and implementation of the IACA Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix, the Retention Values of the Subject Trees were determined as shown in Table 2.

Tree no.	Species	Retention Value
1.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
2.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
3.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
4.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
5.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
6.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
7.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
8.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium

9.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
10.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
11.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
12.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
13.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
14.	Cotoneaster spp	Low
15.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
16.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
17.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
18.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
19.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
20.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
21.	Cinnamomum camphora	Low
22.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
23.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
24.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
25.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
26.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
27.	Ligustrum lucidum	Low
28.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
29.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
30.	Pittosporum undulatum	Medium
31.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Low
32.	Nerium oleander	Low
33.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
34.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
35.	Jacaranda mimosifolia	Medium
36.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
37.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
38.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium
39.	Callistemon viminalis	Medium

Table 2 – Tree Retention Value

6.0 Impact of Development

6.1 Tree Protection Zone

Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) have been defined for the subject trees in order to define the encroachment of the proposed development in accordance with *AS4970-2009*. The TPZs required have been taken as a circular area with a radius 12 x the diameter at breast height of the tree. This requirement is in line with Australian Standard AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites. This standard defines a maximum of 10% encroachment to be minimal encroachment. Any encroachment over 10% requires the site arborist to give consideration as to the viability of the tree due to the proposed development.

6.2 Structural Root Zone

Structural Root Zone (SRZs) are defined by AS4970-2009 as the area of root development required for the structural stability of the tree. The SRZ is required to be assessed when an encroachment greater than 10% is considered.

Tree no.	Species	TPZ Radius (m)	Encroachment (%)	SRZ Radius (m)
1.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.6	100	2.05
2.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.72	100	2.13
3.	Pittosporum undulatum	3	100	1.97
4.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.36	100	2.00
5.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.12	100	1.97
6.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.12	100	1.94
7.	Pittosporum undulatum	3	100	1.91
8.	Pittosporum undulatum	2.64	100	1.88
9.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.12	100	2.00
10.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.36	100	2.02
11.	Pittosporum undulatum	3.6	100	2.05
12.	Cinnamomum camphora	5.64	100	2.67

13.	Callistemon viminalis	2	0	1.68
14.	Cotoneaster spp	2	0	1.68
15.	Callistemon viminalis	2	100	1.68
16.	Callistemon viminalis	2.4	100	1.88
17.	Callistemon viminalis	2	100	1.68
18.	Callistemon viminalis	2	100	1.68
19.	Callistemon viminalis	2.76	100	1.85
20	Cinnamomum	6	35	2.85
20.	camphora	0	55	2.05
21.	Cinnamomum	6	40	2.76
	camphora	-		
22.	Callistemon viminalis	4.56	100	2.37
23.	Callistemon viminalis	4.2	100	2.37
24.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	30	2.25
25.	Pittosporum	2	0	1.68
	undulatum	_	-	1.00
26.	Jacaranda	2.4	0	1.85
	mimosifolia			
27.	Ligustrum lucidum	2.16	0	1.85
28.	Jacaranda	4.08	100	2.25
	mimosifolia			
29.	Callistemon viminalis	3.36	100	1.85
30.	Pittosporum	_	100	
	undulatum	2		1.79
31.	Jacaranda		100	
	mimosifolia	5.76		2.67
32.	Nerium oleander	4.8	100	2.67
33.	Callistemon viminalis	4.2	100	
34.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	30	
35.	Jacaranda		20	
	mimosifolia	4.8		
36.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	30	
37.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	20	
38.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	20	
39.	Callistemon viminalis	3.6	20	

6.3 Development Impact

6.3.1. Tree 1. *Pittosporum undulatum*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.2. Tree 2. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.3. Tree 3. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.4. Tree 4. *Pittosporum undulatum*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.5. Tree 5. *Pittosporum undulatum*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.6. Tree 6. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.7. Tree 7. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.8. Tree 8. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.9. Tree 9. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.10. Tree 10. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.11. Tree 11. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.12. Tree 12. Cinnamomum camphora

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.13. Tree 13. *Callistemon viminalis*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.14. Tree 14. Cotoneaster spp

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.15. Tree 15. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.16. Tree 16. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.17. Tree 17. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.18. Tree 18. *Callistemon viminalis*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.19. Tree 19. *Callistemon viminalis*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.20. Tree 20. Cinnamomum camphora

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 35% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone will be impacted by required earthworks required to achieve the design levels. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.21. Tree 21. Cinnamomum camphora

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 40% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone will be impacted by required earthworks required to achieve the design levels. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.22. Tree 22. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree is wholly impacted by the proposed cranage and construction transport requirements. Crown reduction pruning is not viable, and this tree is not viable to be retained.

6.3.23. Tree 23. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree is wholly impacted by the proposed cranage and construction transport requirements. Crown reduction pruning is not viable, and this tree is not viable to be retained.

6.3.24. Tree 24. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

6.3.25. Tree 25. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.26. Tree 26. Jacaranda mimosifolia

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

6.3.27. Tree 27. Ligustrum lucidum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development. The northern side of the crown of this tree may be impacted by the proposed cranage for the new building. The extent of encroachment will be less than 10% of the crown and will not impact the balance of the crown or stability of this tree. If this crown is impacted this tree will require crown reduction pruning in accordance with 8.0.

6.3.28. Tree 28. Jacaranda mimosifolia

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed cranage works. The cranage plan shows that this tree will be totally within the turning radius of the crane and will be required to be removed due to the proposed cranage works.

6.3.29. Tree 29. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed cranage works. The cranage plan shows that this tree will be totally within the turning radius of the crane and will be required to be removed due to the proposed cranage works.

6.3.30. Tree 30. Pittosporum undulatum

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree is wholly impacted by the proposed cranage and construction transport requirements. Crown reduction pruning is not viable, and this tree is not viable to be retained.

6.3.31. Tree 31. Jacaranda mimosifolia

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree is wholly impacted by the proposed cranage and construction transport requirements. Crown reduction pruning is not viable, and this tree is not viable to be retained.

6.3.32. Tree 32. Nerium oleander

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree is wholly impacted by the proposed cranage and construction transport requirements. Crown reduction pruning is not viable, and this tree is not viable to be retained.

6.3.33. Tree 33. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed cranage works. The cranage plan shows that this tree will be totally within the turning radius of the crane and will be required to be removed due to the proposed cranage works.

6.3.34. Tree 34. *Callistemon viminalis*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with *AS* 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed cranage works. The cranage plan shows that this tree will be totally within the turning radius of the crane and will be required to be removed due to the proposed cranage works.

6.3.35. Tree 35. Jacaranda mimosifolia

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

6.3.36. Tree 36. *Callistemon viminalis*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be

further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

6.3.37. Tree 37. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

6.3.38. Tree 38. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

6.3.39. Tree 39. Callistemon viminalis

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. The crown of this tree is impacted by the required cranage and is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0.

7.0 Recommendations

The Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 28 and 29 are encroached by the proposed construction and required earthworks and cranage by a total or major encroachment as defined by *AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*. These trees will not be viable to be retained and will be required to be removed due to the proposed development.

Trees 13 and 14 are viable to be retained however the proposed new fence is located within the TPZ of these two trees. The base of the fence has a 200mm deep concrete plinth. The excavation for this plinth is to be excavated by hand with not roots greater than 20mm damaged. These two trees will remain valid to be retained on this basis. The canopies of Trees 22, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 will be impacted by the required cranage and construction access to the site. The extent of impact is such that Trees 22, 23, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 are not viable to be retained. Trees 24, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, and 39 will require crown reduction pruning to provide crown clearance in accordance with Pruning Specification 8.0 and *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*.

Tree 27 is an environmental pest species and is recommended for removal.

All other trees are viable to be retained and are to be protected as defined below.

Recommendations for tree retention or removal are summarised as follows:

Tree no.	Species	Recommendations	Comments
1.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
2.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
3.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
4.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
5.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
6.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
7.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.
8.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Pittosporum undulatum		due to proposed development.

9.		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Pittosporum undulatum		development.
10		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Pittosporum undulatum		development.
11		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Ditte and a second state of		due to proposed
	Pittosporum unaulatum		development.
12		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Cinnamomum camphora		due to proposed
12	ciniumonium cumpitoru	Petain	Viable to be retained and
13		Retain	protected in accordance
	Callistemon viminalis		with 9.0
14		Retain	Viable to be retained and
14			protected in accordance
	Cotoneaster spp		with 9.0.
15		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development.
16		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development.
17		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Callistone en vinsinalis		due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis	Demonstra	development.
18		Remove	duo to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development
10		Remove	Not viable to be retained
15			due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development.
20		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Cinnamomum camphora		development.
21		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Cinnamomum camphora		development.
22		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	Callistomon viminalis		due to proposed
		Demovio	development.
23		Remove	due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development
24		Retain	Viable to be retained and
24			protected in accordance
	Callistemon viminalis		with 9.0.
25		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
	Pittosporum undulatum		with 9.0.

26		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
	Jacaranda mimosifolia		with 9.0.
27		Remove	Environmental pest
	Ligustrum lucidum		species
28		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Jacaranda mimosifolia		development.
29		Remove	Not viable to be retained
			due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development.
30		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	D'III and a state of the state		due to proposed
	Pittosporum unaulatum		development.
31		Remove	Not viable to be retained
	lacaranda mimocifolia		due to proposed
22		Bomovo	development.
32		Remove	due to proposed
	Nerium oleander		development
22		Remove	Not viable to be retained
		Keniove	due to proposed
	Callistemon viminalis		development
34		Retain	Viable to be retained and
54			protected in accordance
			with 9.0. Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0
	Callistemon viminalis		Pruning Specifications.
35		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
			with 9.0. Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0
	Jacaranda mimosifolia	-	Pruning Specifications.
36		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
			with 9.0. Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
	Callistemon viminalis		Accordance with 6.0
27		Potein	Viable to be retained and
57		T Ctall	protected in accordance
			with 9.0 Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0
	Callistemon viminalis		Pruning Specifications.
38		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
			with 9.0. Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
			accordance with 8.0
	Callistemon viminalis		Pruning Specifications.

39		Retain	Viable to be retained and
			protected in accordance
			with 9.0. Pruning required
			for cranage clearance in
	Callistemon viminalis		accordance with 8.0
			Pruning Specifications.

8.0 **Pruning Specification**

8.1 General

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*. All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist.

Pruning locations are to be selected in order to minimise the amount of canopy removed to provide clearance and leave the remaining canopy balanced with even weight distribution and to maintain the natural habit for this species. Tree 31 currently has an unbalanced crown due to line clearance pruning. The first order branch that extends north over the site is the only remaining natural growth in the crown and is to be retained.

Pruning is to be restricted to the to the extent that is required to allow construction and vehicular access to the site. This pruning is not to exceed 10% of the crown of each tree and branches pruned are not to exceed 40mm diameter.

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*. All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist.

8.2 Tree 24

Tree 24 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.



Figure 1 - Pruning required to Tree 24

8.3 Tree 34

Tree 34 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.

8.4 Tree 35

Tree 35 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Selectively thin epicormic growth less than 50mm in diameter to provide crown clearance.



Figure 2 - Tree 35 Remove epicormic growth to provide crown clearance

8.5 Tree 36

Tree 36 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.



Figure 3 - Crown reduction required Tree 36

8.6 Tree 37

Tree 37 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.



Figure 4 - Trees 37, 38, 39.

8.7 Tree 38

Tree 38 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.

8.8 Tree 39

Tree 39 is required to be pruned to provide crane clearance. Reduction prune branches less than 75mm in diameter to the approximate line of the existing kerb.

9.0 Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures

9.1 General

All tree protection works shall be carried out before excavation, grading and site works commence. Tree protection works shall be inspected and approved by a Consulting Arborist meeting AQF Level 5 prior to construction works commencing.

Storage of materials, mixing of materials, vehicle parking, disposal of liquids, machinery repairs and refueling, site office and sheds, and the lighting of fires, stockpiling of soil, rubble or any debris shall not be carried out within the TPZ of existing trees. No backfilling shall occur within the TPZ of existing trees. Trees shall not be removed or lopped unless specific instruction is given in writing by the Superintendent.

9.2 Identification

All trees to be protected shall be clearly identified and all TPZs surveyed.

9.3 Site Arborist

Prior to all site works commencing, a Site Arborist is to be appointed with the responsibility of implementing all Tree Protection Measures in this report as well as compliance with AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites. The Site Arborist is to hold qualifications equivalent of AQF Level 5.

9.4 **Protective Fence**

Fencing is to be erected around existing trees to be retained. In addition to this protective fencing within the site, Protective Fencing is to be installed to the full extent of the TPZs within the site. This fencing is to be erected prior to any materials being brought on site or before any site, civil works or construction works commence. The fence shall enclose a sufficient area so as to prevent damage to the TPZ as defined on Appendix D Tree Protection Plan and as defined in 5.1 above. Fence to comprise 1800mm high chain wire mesh fixed to 50mm diameter Galvanised steel posts. Panels should be securely fixed top and bottom to avoid separation. No storage of building materials, tools, paint, fuel or contaminants and the like shall occur within the fenced area.

9.5 Mulching

Install mulch to the extent of all tree protection fencing. Use a leaf mulch conforming to AS 4454 which is free of deleterious and extraneous matter such as soil, weeds, sticks and stones and consisting of a minimum of 90% recycled content compliant with AS 4454 (1999) and AS 4419 (1998). All trees marked as to be removed on the proposed development are to be chipped and reused for this purpose. Place mulch evenly and to a depth of 100mm.

9.6 Signage

Prior to works commencing, tree protection signage is to be attached to each tree protection zone, displayed in a prominent position and the sign repeated at 10 metres intervals or closer where the fence changes direction. Each sign shall contain in a clearly legible form, the following information:

Tree protection zone.

• This fence has been installed to prevent damage to the trees and their growing environment both above and below ground and access is restricted.

- No Access within Tree Protection Zone
- The name, address, and telephone number of the developer.

The name and telephone number of the Site Arborist.

9.7 Trunk and Branch Protection

Where a tree is to be retained and a Tree Protection Zone cannot be adequately established due to restricted access, the trunk and branches in the lower crown will be protected by wrapping 2 layers of hessian or carpet underfelt around the trunk and branches for a minimum of 2 m or as lower branches permit, then metal strapping secures 38x50 x2000 mm timber battens together around the trunk (do not nail or screw to the trunk or branches). The number of battens to be used is as required to encircle the trunk and the battens are to extend to the base of the tree (AS4970 2009 Protection of trees on development sites, Figure 3 Examples of Trunk, Branch and ground protection).





10.0 Site Management Issues

10.1 Soil Compaction

Plant and pedestrian traffic during the construction period will cause significant soil compaction. This will be exacerbated by increased water expected on these soils as result of adjacent construction and weather. Compaction of the soil within the TPZ will reduce the voids between soil peds or particles therefore will reduce the percolation of water and gaseous exchange capacity of the root system. No pedestrian or plant access is permissible to the TPZ.

10.2 Site Access

Sufficient access is required to enable efficient construction. It is essential to delineate access zones or corridors which will provide suitable access without damaging the existing trees to be retained or causing compaction to the root zone.

10.3 Excavation within Tree Protection Area

No excavation is to be carried out within the TPZs of retained trees without the permission and supervision of the site arborist (AQF5)

10.4 Possible Contamination / Storage of Materials

The construction site will require the use of many chemicals and materials that are possible contaminants which if not managed will pose a risk to the existing trees. These possible contaminants include fuels, herbicides, solvents and the like. A site specific Environmental Management Plan shall be provided, and this specific risk identified and addressed.

11.0 Tree Protection Measures During Construction

11.1 Maintenance of Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures

The Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures identified in 5.0 above are to be maintained in good and serviceable condition throughout the construction period.

11.2 Possible Contaminants

Do not store or otherwise place bulk materials and harmful materials under or near trees. Do not place spoil from excavations within the TPZs. Prevent wind-blown materials such as cement from harming trees. All possible contaminants are to be stored in a designated and appropriate area with secure chemical spill measures such as a bund in place.

11.3 Physical Damage

Prevent damage to tree. Do not attach stays, guys and the like to trees. No personnel, plant, machinery or materials are to be allowed within the tree protection fencing.

11.4 Compaction

No filling or compaction shall occur over tree roots zones within tree protection fenced areas. Where construction occurs close to or the TPZ of trees to be retained it shall be necessary to install protection to avoid compaction of the ground surface. This protection is to be planks supported clear of the ground fixed to scaffolding.

11.5 Trenching

No Trenching should be necessary within the TPZs or within tree protection fencing. No further trenching is to be carried out without the approval of the Site Arborist. Should any further trenching be required within the TPZs identified, this work is to be carried out by hand and under the supervision of a qualified Arborist.

11.6 Irrigation/Watering

Contractor is to ensure that soil moisture levels are adequately maintained. Apply water at an appropriate rate suitable for the species during periods of little or no rainfall.

11.7 Site Sheds / Amenities/ Storage

Site sheds, site amenities, ablutions and site storage shall be in the area clear of all TPZ. Chemicals and potential contaminants are to be stored appropriately and this storage area is to be enclosed by a chemical spill bund to prevent the potential run off of contaminants in the event of a spillage or accident.

12.0 Environmental / Heritage/ Legislative Considerations

None of the subject trees are identified as threatened species or elements of endangered ecological communities within the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.

13.0 References

Mattheck, C. Breloer, K. 1993, The Body Language of Trees: A Handbook for Failure Analysis, 12th Impression 2010 The Stationery Office. AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites: Standards Australia

14.0 Disclaimer

This Appraisal has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and Birds Tree Consultancy.

Birds Tree Consultancy accepts no responsibility for its use by other persons. The Client acknowledges that this Appraisal, and any opinions, advice or recommendations expressed or given in it, are based on the information supplied by the Client and on the data inspections, measurements and analysis carried out or obtained Birds Tree Consultancy and referred to in the Appraisal. The Client should rely on the Appraisal, and on its contents, only to that extent.

Every effort has been made in this report to include, assess and address all defects, structural weaknesses, instabilities and the like of the subject trees. All inspections were made from ground level using only visual means and no intrusive or destructive means of inspection were used. For many structural defects such as decay and inclusions, internal inspection is required by means of Resistograph or similar. No such investigation has been made in this case. Trees are living organisms and are subject to failure through a variety of causes not able to be identified by means of this inspection and report.

Appendix A Landscape Significance

IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) © (IACA 2010) ©

In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd in June 2001.

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria* and *Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined.

Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria

1. High Significance in landscape



- The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Councils significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

2. Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

3. Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
 The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen.
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound. Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.
- Hazardous/Irreversible Decline
- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

Appendix B Tree Retention Values



REFERENCES

Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, Avalon, NSW Australia, www.footprintgreen.com.au

Appendix C - Tree Inspection Data

Birds Tree Consultancy

Consulting Arborist• Project Management • Horticultural Consultancy • Landscape Management

28-Aug-21

Inspection Data

Cante	rbury																												
									Trunk																				
					TPZ	DAB	SR7		(single, twin.										Overall								Env. &		
Tree			Spread	DBH	Radius	Radius	Radius		multiple	Trunk	Form/Crown	Branching	Crown		Branching	Pruning			Health &	Canopy			Epicormic	Pest		Life	Landcape	Retention	Notes/Com
no.	Species	Height (m)	(m)	(mm)	(m)	(mm)	(m)	Maturity	@)	lean	shape	Habit	Distribution	Stability	Structure	History	Defects	Damage	Vigour	Density	Foliage	Deadwood	Growth	Infestation	Disease	expectancy	significance	Value	ments
1	Pittosporum			200	26	220	2.05	Matura	Multiple	NUL	Normal	Normal	Palancod	Stable	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NU	Cood	Normal	Normal	< E 9/	< E 9/	No	No	15 404	Madium	Madium	
	Pittosporum		b 4	500	3.0	5 320	2.05		Multiple	INIL	Normai	Normai	Balanceu	Stable	Stable	No evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	wealum	
2	undulatum	e	5 4	310	3.72	350	2.13	8 Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Pittosporum		_	250	2	200	1 07	1 Matura	Multiple		Normal	Nerral	Deleneed	Ctable	Ctoble		NU	NU	Cood	Nerreel	Nermal	۲ ۵ (×۵۵/	No	No	15 400	Madium	Madium	
3	Pittosporum		b 4	250	3	3 290	1.97	wature	Multiple	INIL	Normai	Normai	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence		INII	G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	iviedium	iviedium	
4	undulatum	e	5 4	280	3.36	5 300	2.00) Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Pittosporum		_	200	2.42	200	1.07	N A a turna	Multiple		Normal	Namal	Dalamaad	Chable	Chable		N.:1	N.:1	Card	Namal	Nermal	.50/	·F0/	No	No	15 40.	No alizza		
5	Pittosporum		b 4	200	3.12	2 290	1.97	wature	Multiple	INIL	Normai	Normai	Balanceu	Stable	Stable	No evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	wealum	
6	undulatum	e	5 4	260	3.12	2 280	1.94	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Pittosporum		_	250	2	270	1 01	Matura	Multiple		Normal	Nerreel	Deleneed	Ctable	Ctable		NU	NU	Cood	Nerreel	Nermal	۲ ۵ ۷	×۵۵/	No	No	15 400	Madium	Madium	
/	Pittosporum		b 4	250	3	270	1.91	Iviature	Multiple	INIL	Normai	Normai	Balanceu	Stable	Stable	No evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	wealum	
8	undulatum	e	5 4	220	2.64	260	1.88	8 Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Pittosporum	(260	2 1 2	200	2 00	Matura	Multiple	NUL	Normal	Normal	Palancod	Stable	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NU	Cood	Normal	Normal	< F 9/	< E 9/	No	No	15 404	Madium	Madium	
9	Pittosporum		9 4	200	5.12	2 300	2.00		Multiple	INIL	NOTITIAI	Normai	Dalanceu	Stable	Stable	NO evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	Ivieulum	
10	undulatum	6	5 4	280	3.36	5 310	2.02	2 Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
11	Pittosporum			200	26	220	2.05	Maturo	Multiple	NU	Normal	Normal	Palancod	Stable	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NII	Good	Normal	Normal	< F.9/	~ 5 %	No	No	15 404	Modium	Modium	
			4	500	5.0	5 520	2.05		Multiple		NOTITIAI	NOTITAL	Dalaliceu	Stable	Stable	NO EVIDENCE			<u>G000</u>	Normai	Normai	<3%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Wealum	Ivieuluiti	
	Cinnamomum								(3) @															No	No				
12	camphora	9	8 8	470	5.64	4 600	2.67	/ Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Low	Low	
13	viminalis	7	7 4	160	2	2 200	1.68	8 Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
									Multiple															No	No				
14	Cotoneaster spp		5 3	150	2	2 200	1.68	8 Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Low	Low	
15	viminalis	7	7 3	140	2	2 200	1.68	8 Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Callistemon								Multiple										_					No	No				
16	viminalis	7	7 3	200	2.4	1 260	1.88	8 Mature	@ base Multiple	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Callistemon								(3) @															No	No				
17	viminalis	7	7 3	150	2	2 200	1.68	8 Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
18	Callistemon	-	7 3	140	2	200	1.68	Mature	Twin @ base	NII	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40v	Medium	Medium	
	Callistemon	,		110			1.00		Twin @			literinar	Bulanceu						0000			1370		No	No	10 10 1		inculum	
19	viminalis	7	7 4	230	2.76	5 250	1.85	Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
20	Cinnamomum	c	9 11	500	6	5 700	2.85	Mature	Multiple @ base	NII	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40v	Low	Low	
	Cinnamomum		/ 11			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2.00		Twin @			literinar	Bulanceu	otable					0000			1370		No	No	10 10 1	2011	2011	
21	camphora	12	2 11	. 500	6	650	2.76	6 Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Low	Low	
	Callistemon								(3) @															No	No				
22	viminalis	8	3 7	380	4.56	6 450	2.37	Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
22	Callistemon			250	4.2	450	2 2 7	Matura	Multiple	NUL	Normal	Normal	Palancod	Stable	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NU	Cood	Normal	Normal	< F 9/	<f.0 <="" td=""><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>15 404</td><td>Madium</td><td>Madium</td><td></td></f.0>	No	No	15 404	Madium	Madium	
	Callistemon			350	4.2	450	2.37	wature	Multiple	INIL	NOTTIAI	Normai	Balanceu	SLADIE	Stable	NO evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	Iviedium	
24	viminalis	7	7 6	300	3.6	5 400	2.25	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
25	Pittosporum			140	2	200	1 60	Matura	Single	NUL	Normal	Normal	Palancod	Stable	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NII	Good	Normal	Normal	< E 9/	~ = 0/	No	No	15 404	Modium	Modium	
25	Jacaranda			5 140	2	2 200	1.00		Single		NOTTIAL	NOTITAL	Dalaliceu	Stable	Stable	NO EVIDENCE			900u	Normai	Normai	<3%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	Wedlum	Ivieuluiti	
26	Mimosifolia	5	5 3	200	2.4	1 250	1.85	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
- -	Ligustrum		; -	190	2 16	3 250	1 95	Maturo	Single	NU	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidenco	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40	Medium	Medium	
	Jacaranda			100	2.10	, 200	1.03		Jingie				Salanceu	JUDIC								-370		No	No	y			
28	Mimosifolia	10) 7	340	4.08	3 400	2.25	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
20	Callistemon viminalis	-	7 5	280	3.36	250	1.85	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	NO evidence	NO evidence	15-40v	Medium	Medium	
	Pittosporum	,		200	0.00	, 230	1.05		Single			literina	Bulanceu	Stable					0000			1370		No	No	15 109		Incuran	
30	undulatum	5	5 7	120	2	2 230	1.79	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Jacaranda								Multiple															No	No				
31	Mimosifolia	5	3 13	480	5.76	600	2.67	Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
22	Norium cloandor			400	10	600	2 67	Matura	Multiple	NUL	Normal	Normal	Delenced	Stabla	Stable	No ovidonco	NII	NU	Cood	Normal	Normal	< F 0/	<f.0 <="" td=""><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>15 404</td><td>Madium</td><td>Madium</td><td></td></f.0>	No	No	15 404	Madium	Madium	
32	Callistemon			400	4.8	8 600	2.07	wature	Multiple	INIL	NOTTIAI	Normai	Balanceu	SLADIE	Stable	NO evidence			G000	Normai	Normai	<5%	<5%	No	No	15-40y	wealum	weatum	
33	viminalis	5	3 7	350	4.2	450	2.37	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
24	Callistemon		2 -	200	3.0	150	0.07	Maturo	Multiple	NU	Normal	Normal	Balancod	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	~5%	~5%	No	No	15-400	Medium	Madium	
54	virilialis		, /	300	3.0	, 450	2.37	wiature	Multiple		INDITIIdI	Normal	Balanceu	JIANIE	Stable	ino evidence			5000		Information	0/0	<u>_</u> _/0	evidence	evidence	т <i>Э-</i> 40у		IVICUIUIII	
	Jacaranda								(3) @															No	No				
35	Mimosifolia	10) 11	. 400	4.8	3 600	2.67	Mature	base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
36	viminalis	8	3 7	300	3.6	5 450	2.37	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
	Callistemon								Multiple															No	No				
37	Viminalis Callistemon	8	3 7	300	3.6	6 450	2.37	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
38	viminalis	8	3 7	300	3.6	5 450	2.37	Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
-	Callistemon		1			_			Multiple															No	No				
39	viminalis	8	3 7	300	3.6	5 450	2.37	/ Mature	@ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	evidence	evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	

Appendix D Tree Location Plans



Date: 1 Sept 2021 Scale : 1:300 @ A3