



## **ARBORICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT**

### **Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide – Punchbowl NSW**

**REVISION B**  
**15<sup>th</sup> of April 2024**

**Prepared for**  
**Systems Connect**

#### **Prepared by**

Birds Tree Consultancy

Glenn Bird Grad Cert Uni Melb (AQF8) Dip. Hort (Arboriculture) (AQF5)

PO Box 6048 DURAL NSW 2158

PH 0438 892 634

glenn@birdstrees.com.au

www.birdstrees.com.au

ABN 31 105 006 657



## Executive Summary

This Arboricultural Development Impact Assessment Report has been commissioned by Systems Connect to report on trees within the site of Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide site at Punchbowl NSW. It has been commissioned to outline the health, condition and stability of these trees as well as their viability for retention in the context of the proposed development. The scope of this report includes all trees within areas that may be impacted by the proposed development.

The subject site is Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide - Punchbowl NSW. The subject trees are located within or adjacent to the boundaries of this site. The site is currently an undeveloped site within the Railway Corridor adjacent to the South Terrace, Punchbowl. Refer to Systems Connect Drawings SMCSWLWC-SYC-TPS-LA-DWG-640500 and 640501 for greater detail of the proposed development. The subject trees are in good health and condition with no apparent structural defects.

The subject trees are in good health and condition.

The Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of Trees 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 are encroached by the proposed construction and required earthworks by a total or major encroachment as defined by *AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*. These trees will not be viable to be retained and will be required to be removed due to the proposed development.

Tree 3 is not viable to be retained due to the impact of the development. This tree has a bark inclusion present within the primary junction. This structural defect increases the risk of failure at this point. If this tree is considered for retention, we recommend that a Risk Assessment is carried out in accordance with ISA (TRAQ) requirements to determine the risk posed by this structural defect.

Selective pruning is required to provide canopy clearance for construction crane to the canopies of Trees 1, 2, 8, 9, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25. Minor crown reduction pruning to less than 10% of the crown is required on the western side of the crown of Trees 1, 2, 8 and 9 as well as the northern side of Tree 21.

Crown reduction pruning is required to the northern side of the crown of Trees 24 and 25 to provide crane clearance for the installation of the site sheds. This crown reduction clearance will result in between 10-20% of the crown removed however as the pruning is required to lower branches, this pruning will not impact the balance of the canopy. Crown Reduction Pruning for Trees 24 and 25 is to be in accordance with 9.0 Pruning Specification.

Due to the previous line clearance pruning and the resultant unusual form of Trees 19, 20, 22 and 23, the required crown reduction pruning required to the northern side will result in greater than 50% of the canopy being reduced. This will result in poor form and unbalanced canopies for these trees. If these trees are required to undergo crown reduction pruning to this extent, we would recommend consideration of removal of these trees and replacement with suitable replacement planting.

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees.

All other trees are viable to be retained and are to be protected as defined below.

Recommendations for tree retention or removal are summarised as follows:

Tree no.	Species	Recommendations	Comments
1.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
2.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
3.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development. <b>Bark Inclusion present.</b>
4.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
5.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
6.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
7.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
8.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
9.	<i>Eucalyptus scoparia</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
10.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
11.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
12.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
13.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
14.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
15.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
16.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
17.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
18.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.

19.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
20.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
21.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
22.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
23.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
24.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
25.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.

## Contents

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Contents.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.0 Scope of Works .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>2.0 Site Analysis.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Site.....	6
2.2 Topography.....	6
2.3 Identification.....	6
2.4 Soils .....	6
<b>3.0 Existing Trees.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4.0 Landscape Significance of Trees .....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 Landscape Significance.....	10
4.2 Methodology of Determining Landscape Significance .....	10
4.3 Landscape Significance of Subject Trees .....	10
<b>5.0 Subject Tree Retention Value .....</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1 Tree Retention Value Methodology .....	11
5.2 Retention Value of Subject Trees.....	11
<b>6.0 Impact of Development.....</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1 Tree Protection Zone.....	12
6.2 Structural Root Zone .....	12
6.3 Development Impact.....	13
<b>7.0 Recommendations .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8.0 Pruning Specification .....</b>	<b>19</b>
8.1 Tree 24 .....	19
8.2 Tree 25 .....	20
<b>9.0 Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures.....</b>	<b>22</b>
9.1 General .....	22
9.2 Identification.....	22
9.3 Protective Fence.....	22
9.4 Mulching .....	23
9.5 Signage.....	23
<b>10.0 Site Management Issues.....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>11.0 Tree Protection Measures During Construction</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>12.0 Environmental / Heritage/ Legislative</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Considerations .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>13.0 References .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>14.0 Disclaimer .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Appendix A Landscape Significance.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Appendix B Tree Retention Values .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Appendix C - Tree Inspection Data.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Appendix D Tree Location Plans .....</b>	<b>31</b>

## 1.0 Scope of Works

This Arboricultural Development Impact Assessment Report has been commissioned by Systems Connect to report on trees within the site of Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide site at Punchbowl NSW. It has been commissioned to outline the health, condition and stability of these trees as well as their viability for retention in the context of the proposed development. The scope of this report includes all trees within areas that may be impacted by the proposed development.

On the 26th of August 2020, Glenn Bird of Birds Tree Consultancy attended site and inspected the subject trees from the ground. There was no aerial inspection carried out. A Visual Tree Assessment was undertaken in accordance with Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) guidelines (Mattheck and Breloer, 1994). Tree heights were measured using a Nikon Forestry 550 Heightmeter.

## 2.0 Site Analysis

### 2.1 Site

The subject site is Sydney Metro City and SouthWest Line Wide - Punchbowl NSW. The subject trees are located within or adjacent to the boundaries of this site. The site is currently an undeveloped site within the Railway Corridor adjacent to the South Terrace, Punchbowl. Refer to Systems Connect Drawings SMCSWLWC-SYC-TPS-AT-DWG-645030 for greater detail of the proposed development.

### 2.2 Topography

The site is relatively flat. The area in the vicinity of all trees is flat. Refer to survey for greater detail of levels.

### 2.3 Identification

Trees are as identified in the attached inspection forms in Appendix C and shown in Tree location Plan A01 in Appendix D. Note that the location of the subject trees has been determined from the survey provided where possible and where trees are present that have not been identified on the survey, the location of these trees has been shown based on approximation relative to survey details. Tree locations should be verified on site prior to works commencing.

### 2.4 Soils

Soil material and horizons were not tested for this report.

## 3.0 Existing Trees

The following trees were inspected from the ground and the following items identified. Please refer also to the attached inspection data in Appendix A.

- 3.1. Tree 1. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 14m. It has a single trunk with a diameter at breast height (DBH) of 700mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.2. Tree 2. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 13m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 430mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.3. Tree 3. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 20m tall with a canopy spread of 11m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 640mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. There is evidence of a bark inclusion present within the primary junction.
- 3.4. Tree 4. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 19m tall with a canopy spread of 13m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 550mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.5. Tree 5. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 16m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 600mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.6. Tree 6. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 14m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 550mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.7. Tree 7. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 20m tall with a canopy spread of 16m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 820mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.8. Tree 8. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This semi-mature tree is approximately 10m tall with a canopy spread of 6m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 140mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

- 3.9. Tree 9. *Eucalyptus scoparia***  
This mature tree is approximately 20m tall with a canopy spread of 15m. It has twin co-dominant trunks from the base with an aggregate DBH of 670mm. This tree is in fair health and condition with a thinning canopy, moderate deadwood and minimal epicormic growth.
- 3.10. Tree 10. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 17m tall with a canopy spread of 9m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.11. Tree 11. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 17m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.12. Tree 12. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This semi-mature tree is approximately 5m tall with a canopy spread of 4m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 100mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.13. Tree 13. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 19m tall with a canopy spread of 9m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 350mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.14. Tree 14. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 17m tall with a canopy spread of 6m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 320mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.15. Tree 15. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 16m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.16. Tree 16. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 14m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.17. Tree 17. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 21m tall with a canopy spread of 14m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 550mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.
- 3.18. Tree 18. *Eucalyptus microcorys***  
This mature tree is approximately 14m tall with a canopy spread of 12m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 550mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.



**3.19. Tree 19. *Lophostemon confertus***

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree has been pruned for line clearance resulting in the crown being divided on either side of the powerlines and significant epicormic growth.

**3.20. Tree 20. *Lophostemon confertus***

This mature tree is approximately 9m tall with a canopy spread of 8m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 300mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree has been pruned for line clearance resulting in the crown being divided on either side of the powerlines and significant epicormic growth.

**3.21. Tree 21. *Lophostemon confertus***

This mature tree is approximately 7m tall with a canopy spread of 5m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

**3.22. Tree 22. *Lophostemon confertus***

This mature tree is approximately 8m tall with a canopy spread of 7m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 280mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree has been pruned for line clearance resulting in the crown being divided on either side of the powerlines and significant epicormic growth.

**3.23. Tree 23. *Lophostemon confertus***

This mature tree is approximately 9m tall with a canopy spread of 9m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 320mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth. This tree has been pruned for line clearance resulting in the crown being divided on either side of the powerlines and significant epicormic growth.

**3.24. Tree 24. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 14m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 450mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

**3.25. Tree 25. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

This mature tree is approximately 18m tall with a canopy spread of 14m. It has a single trunk with a DBH of 450mm. This tree is in good health and condition with minimal deadwood and epicormic growth.

## 4.0 Landscape Significance of Trees

### 4.1 Landscape Significance

The significance of a tree within the landscape is a factor of the health and condition of the tree, vitality, the form of the tree, environmental, cultural, amenity and heritage value.

### 4.2 Methodology of Determining Landscape Significance

For the purpose of this report, the Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) as developed by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists (IACA) has been implemented. Please refer to Appendix A for greater detail of this assessment system. This system defines Landscape Significance for individual trees as High, Medium or Low Significance.

### 4.3 Landscape Significance of Subject Trees

Based on our assessment of the subject trees and implementation of the IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System, the Landscape Significance of the Subject Trees was determined as shown in Table 1.

Tree no.	Species	Landscape Significance
1.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
2.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
3.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
4.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
5.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
6.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
7.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
8.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
9.	<i>Eucalyptus scoparia</i>	Medium
10.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
11.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
12.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
13.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
14.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
15.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
16.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
17.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
18.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
19.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
20.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
21.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
22.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
23.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
24.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
25.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium

**Table 1 - Landscape Significance**

## 5.0 Subject Tree Retention Value

### 5.1 Tree Retention Value Methodology

For the purpose of this report, the Tree Retention Values have been assessed by incorporating Landscape Significance Values as determined in 4.0 with the Useful Life Expectancy of the subject trees and assessing the retention values based on the Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix as developed by the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborists (IACA). Please refer to Appendix B for greater detail of this Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix. This matrix defines Landscape Significance for individual trees as High, Medium or Low Retention Value as well as Priority for Removal.

### 5.2 Retention Value of Subject Trees

Based on our assessment of the subject trees and implementation of the IACA Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix, the Retention Values of the Subject Trees were determined as shown in Table 2.

Tree no.	Species	Retention Value
1.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
2.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
3.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
4.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
5.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
6.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
7.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
8.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
9.	<i>Eucalyptus scoparia</i>	Medium
10.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
11.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
12.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
13.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
14.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
15.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
16.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
17.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
18.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
19.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
20.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
21.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
22.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
23.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Medium
24.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium
25.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Medium

Table 2 – Tree Retention Value

## 6.0 Impact of Development

### 6.1 Tree Protection Zone

Tree Protection Zones (TPZs) have been defined for the subject trees in order to define the encroachment of the proposed development in accordance with AS4970-2009. The TPZs required have been taken as a circular area with a radius 12 x the diameter at breast height of the tree. This requirement is in line with Australian Standard AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites. This standard defines a maximum of 10% encroachment to be minimal encroachment. Any encroachment over 10% requires the site arborist to give consideration as to the viability of the tree due to the proposed development.

### 6.2 Structural Root Zone

Structural Root Zone (SRZs) are defined by AS4970-2009 as the area of root development required for the structural stability of the tree. The SRZ is required to be assessed when an encroachment greater than 10% is considered.

Tree no.	Species	TPZ Radius (m)	Encroachment (%)	SRZ Radius (m)
1.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	8.4	0	3.01
2.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	5.16	0	2.47
3.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	7.68	40	2.93
4.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	6.6	33	2.67
5.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	7.2	33	2.78
6.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	6.6	33	2.67
7.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	9.84	40	3.17
8.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	2.0	0	1.68
9.	<i>Eucalyptus scoparia</i>	8.04	0	2.93
10.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	3.6	100	2.13
11.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	3.36	100	2.13
12.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	2	100	1.68
13.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	4.2	100	2.37
14.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	3.84	100	2.13
15.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	3.36	100	2.13
16.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	3.36	100	2.13
17.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	6.6	40	2.76
18.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	6.6	40	2.76
19.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	3.36	0	2.13
20.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	3.6	0	2.13
21.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	3.36	0	2.13

22.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	3.36	0	2.13
23.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	3.84	0	2.13
24.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	5.4	0	2.5
25.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	5.4	0	2.5

## 6.3 Development Impact

### 6.3.1. Tree 1. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

### 6.3.2. Tree 2. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

### 6.3.3. Tree 3. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will be encroached by the proposed development by 40% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

### 6.3.4. Tree 4. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will be encroached by the proposed development by 33% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

### 6.3.5. Tree 5. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will be encroached by the proposed development by 33% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

### 6.3.6. Tree 6. *Eucalyptus microcorys*

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 33% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.7. Tree 7. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 40% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.8. Tree 8. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.9. Tree 9. *Eucalyptus scoparia***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.10. Tree 10. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.11. Tree 11. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.12. Tree 12. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.13. Tree 13. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally

encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.14. Tree 14. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.15. Tree 15. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.16. Tree 16. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be totally encroached by the proposed development. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.17. Tree 17. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 40% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.18. Tree 18. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will be encroached by the proposed development by 40% which is significantly greater than the minor encroachment as defined by AS 4970-2009. The Structural Root Zone (SRZ) of this tree will be impacted by proposed excavation. This tree will not be viable to be retained under the proposed development.

**6.3.19. Tree 19. *Lophostemon confertus***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation.

**6.3.20. Tree 20. *Lophostemon confertus***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be

viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation.

**6.3.21. Tree 21. *Lophostemon confertus***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation.

**6.3.22. Tree 22. *Lophostemon confertus***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation.

**6.3.23. Tree 23. *Lophostemon confertus***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation.

**6.3.24. Tree 24. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation for the site sheds.

**6.3.25. Tree 25. *Eucalyptus microcorys***

The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) of this tree in accordance with AS 4970-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* will not be further encroached by the proposed development. This tree will be viable to be retained under the proposed development however the crown is potentially impacted by the required crane for construction installation for the site sheds.



## 7.0 Recommendations

The subject trees are in good health and condition.

The Tree Protection Zones (TPZ) of Trees 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18 are encroached by the proposed construction and required earthworks by a total or major encroachment as defined by *AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites*. These trees will not be viable to be retained and will be required to be removed due to the proposed development.

Tree 3 is not viable to be retained due to the impact of the development. This tree has a bark inclusion present within the primary junction. This structural defect increases the risk of failure at this point. If this tree is considered for retention, we recommend that a Risk Assessment is carried out in accordance with ISA (TRAQ) requirements to determine the risk posed by this structural defect.

Selective pruning is required to provide canopy clearance for construction crane to the canopies of Trees 1, 2, 8, 9, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 and 25. Minor crown reduction pruning to less than 10% of the crown is required on the western side of the crown of Trees 1, 2, 8 and 9 as well as the northern side of Tree 21.

Crown reduction pruning is required to the northern side of the crown of Trees 24 and 25 to provide crane clearance for the installation of the site sheds. This crown reduction clearance will result in between 10-20% of the crown removed however as the pruning is required to lower branches, this pruning will not impact the balance of the canopy. Crown Reduction Pruning for Trees 24 and 25 is to be in accordance with 9.0 Pruning Specification.

Due to the previous line clearance pruning and the resultant unusual form of Trees 19, 20, 22 and 23, the required crown reduction pruning required to the northern side will result in greater than 50% of the canopy being reduced. This will result in poor form and unbalanced canopies for these trees. If these trees are required to undergo crown reduction pruning to this extent, we would recommend consideration of removal of these trees and replacement with suitable replacement planting.

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees.

All other trees are viable to be retained and are to be protected as defined below.

Recommendations for tree retention or removal are summarised as follows:

Tree no.	Species	Recommendations	Comments
1.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for crane.
2.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction

			pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
3.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development. <b>Bark Inclusion present.</b>
4.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
5.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
6.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
7.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
8.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
9.	<i>Eucalyptus scoparia</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
10.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
11.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
12.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
13.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
14.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
15.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
16.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
17.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
18.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Remove	Not viable to be retained due to proposed development.
19.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
20.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
21.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
22.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction

			pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
23.	<i>Lophostemon confertus</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
24.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.
25.	<i>Eucalyptus microcorys</i>	Retain	Viable to be retained and protected in accordance with 8.0. Crown reduction pruning required to allow clearance for craneage.

## 8.0 Pruning Specification

### 8.1 Tree 24

Crown Reduction pruning is required to provide canopy clearance for site shed installation on the northern side of Tree 24. One primary branch and two third order branches are required to be removed on the northern side of the crown as shown in Figure 1 at Locations 1, 2 and 3 to provide canopy clearance for site shed craneage.

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*.

Pruning Location 1 is a third order branch with a diameter at the pruning location of approximately 150mm. This branch extends in a northerly direction. This branch is to be pruned at the tertiary branch junction at the branch collar at the location shown in figure 1.

Pruning Location 2 is a third order branch with a diameter at the pruning location of approximately 150mm. This branch extends in a northerly direction. This branch is to be pruned at the tertiary branch junction at the branch collar at the location shown in figure 1.

Pruning Location 1 is a first order branch with a diameter at the pruning location of approximately 200mm. This branch extends in a northerly direction. This branch is to be pruned at the tertiary branch junction at the branch collar at the location shown in figure 1.





**Figure 1 - Tree 24 Pruning Locations 1, 2 and 3.**

## **8.2 Tree 25**

Crown Reduction pruning is required to provide canopy clearance for site shed installation on the northern side of Tree 25. One primary branch and two third order branches are required to be removed on the northern side of the crown as shown in Figure 2 at Locations 4 and 5 to provide canopy clearance for site shed craneage.

All pruning is to be carried out under the supervision of the Site Arborist (AQF Level 5) by an arborist with qualifications of AQF Level 3 or higher. All pruning is to be in accordance with *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees*.



Pruning Location 4 is a third order branch with a diameter at the pruning location of approximately 150mm. This branch extends in a northerly direction. This branch is to be pruned at the tertiary branch junction at the branch collar at the location shown in figure 2.

Pruning Location 5 is a first order branch with a diameter at the pruning location of approximately 200mm. This branch extends in a northerly direction. This branch is to be pruned at the tertiary branch junction at the branch collar at the location shown in figure 1.



**Figure 2 - Tree 25 - Pruning Location 4 & 5**

## **9.0 Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures**

### **9.1 General**

All tree protection works shall be carried out before excavation, grading and site works commence. Tree protection works shall be inspected and approved by a Consulting Arborist meeting AQF Level 5 prior to construction works commencing.

Storage of materials, mixing of materials, vehicle parking, disposal of liquids, machinery repairs and refueling, site office and sheds, and the lighting of fires, stockpiling of soil, rubble or any debris shall not be carried out within the TPZ of existing trees. No backfilling shall occur within the TPZ of existing trees. Trees shall not be removed or lopped unless specific instruction is given in writing by the Superintendent.


### **9.2 Identification**

All trees to be protected shall be clearly identified and all TPZs surveyed.

### **9.3 Protective Fence**

Fencing is to be erected around existing trees to be retained. In addition to this protective fencing within the site, Protective Fencing is to be installed to the full extent of the TPZs within the site. This fencing is to be erected prior to any materials being brought on site or before any site, civil works or construction works commence. The fence shall enclose a sufficient area so as to prevent damage to the TPZ as defined on Appendix D Tree Protection Plan and as defined in 5.1 above. Fence to comprise 1800mm high chain wire mesh fixed to 50mm diameter Galvanised steel posts. Panels should be securely fixed top and bottom to avoid separation. No storage of building materials, tools, paint, fuel or contaminants and the like shall occur within the fenced area.

Where a tree is to be retained and a Tree Protection Zone cannot be adequately established due to restricted access, the trunk and branches in the lower crown will be protected by wrapping 2 layers of hessian or carpet underfelt around the trunk and branches for a minimum of 2 m or as lower branches permit, then metal strapping secures 38x50 x2000 mm timber battens together around the trunk (do not nail or screw to the trunk or branches). The number of battens to be used is as required to encircle the trunk and the battens are to extend to the base of the tree (AS4970 2009 Protection of trees on development sites, Figure 1 Examples of Trunk, Branch and g



**Figure 1 - Trunk Protection**

## 9.4 Mulching

Install mulch to the extent of all tree protection fencing. Use a leaf mulch conforming to AS 4454 which is free of deleterious and extraneous matter such as soil, weeds, sticks and stones and consisting of a minimum of 90% recycled content compliant with AS 4454 (1999) and AS 4419 (1998). All trees marked as to be removed on the proposed development are to be chipped and reused for this purpose. Place mulch evenly and to a depth of 100mm.

## 9.5 Signage

Prior to works commencing, tree protection signage is to be attached to each tree protection zone, displayed in a prominent position and the sign repeated at 10 metres intervals or closer where the fence changes direction. Each sign shall contain in a clearly legible form, the following information:

Tree protection zone.

- This fence has been installed to prevent damage to the trees and their growing environment both above and below ground and access is restricted.
- No Access within Tree Protection Zone
- The name, address, and telephone number of the developer.

The name and telephone number of the Site Arborist.



## **10.0 Site Management Issues**

### **10.1 Soil Compaction**

Plant and pedestrian traffic during the construction period will cause significant soil compaction. This will be exacerbated by increased water expected on these soils as result of adjacent construction and weather. Compaction of the soil within the TPZ will reduce the voids between soil peds or particles therefore will reduce the gaseous exchange capacity of the root system which will slow critical metabolic processes such as respiration which produces Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) which provides energy for the photosynthesis, which in turn provides photosynthates such as glucose. These photosynthates provide the carbohydrates required for tree extension growth, girth expansion, reproduction and pest and disease resistance. No pedestrian or plant access is permissible to the TPZ.

### **10.2 Site Access**

Sufficient access is required to enable efficient construction. It is essential to delineate access zones or corridors which will provide suitable access without damaging the existing trees to be retained or causing compaction to the root zone.

### **10.3 Excavation within Tree Protection Area**

No excavation is to be carried out within the TPZs of retained trees without the permission and supervision of the site arborist (AQF5)

### **10.4 Possible Contamination / Storage of Materials**

The construction site will require the use of many chemicals and materials that are possible contaminants which if not managed will pose a risk to the existing trees. These possible contaminants include fuels, herbicides, solvents and the like. A site-specific Environmental Management Plan shall be provided, and this specific risk identified and addressed.



## **11.0 Tree Protection Measures During Construction**

### **11.1 Maintenance of Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures**

The Pre-Construction Tree Protection Measures identified in 5.0 above are to be maintained in good and serviceable condition throughout the construction period.

### **11.2 Possible Contaminants**

Do not store or otherwise place bulk materials and harmful materials under or near trees. Do not place spoil from excavations within the TPZs. Prevent wind-blown materials such as cement from harming trees. All possible contaminants are to be stored in a designated and appropriate area with secure chemical spill measures such as a bund in place.

### **11.3 Physical Damage**

Prevent damage to tree. Do not attach stays, guys and the like to trees. No personnel, plant, machinery or materials are to be allowed within the tree protection fencing.

### **11.4 Compaction**

No filling or compaction shall occur over tree roots zones within tree protection fenced areas. Where construction occurs close to or the TPZ of trees to be retained it shall be necessary to install protection to avoid compaction of the ground surface. This protection is to be planks supported clear of the ground fixed to scaffolding.

### **11.5 Trenching**

No Trenching should be necessary within the TPZs or within tree protection fencing. No further trenching is to be carried out without the approval of the Superintendent. Should any further trenching be required within the TPZs identified, this work is to be carried out by hand and under the supervision of a qualified Arborist.

### **11.6 Irrigation/Watering**

Contractor is to ensure that soil moisture levels are adequately maintained. Apply water at an appropriate rate suitable for the species during periods of little or no rainfall.

### **11.7 Site Sheds / Amenities/ Storage**

Site sheds, site amenities, ablutions and site storage shall be in the area clear of all TPZ. Chemicals and potential contaminants are to be stored appropriately and this storage area is to be enclosed by a chemical spill bund to prevent the potential run off of contaminants in the event of a spillage or accident.

## 12.0 Environmental / Heritage/ Legislative Considerations

None of the subject trees are identified as threatened species or elements of endangered ecological communities within the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995.

## 13.0 References

Mattheck, C. Breloer, K. 1993, The Body Language of Trees: A Handbook for Failure Analysis, 12th Impression 2010 The Stationery Office.  
AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites: Standards Australia

## 14.0 Disclaimer

This Appraisal has been prepared for the exclusive use of the Client and Birds Tree Consultancy.

Birds Tree Consultancy accepts no responsibility for its use by other persons. The Client acknowledges that this Appraisal, and any opinions, advice or recommendations expressed or given in it, are based on the information supplied by the Client and on the data inspections, measurements and analysis carried out or obtained Birds Tree Consultancy and referred to in the Appraisal. The Client should rely on the Appraisal, and on its contents, only to that extent.

Every effort has been made in this report to include, assess and address all defects, structural weaknesses, instabilities and the like of the subject trees. All inspections were made from ground level using only visual means and no intrusive or destructive means of inspection were used. For many structural defects such as decay and inclusions, internal inspection is required by means of Resistograph or similar. No such investigation has been made in this case. Trees are living organisms and are subject to failure through a variety of causes not able to be identified by means of this inspection and report.

# IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) © (IACA 2010) ©

In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd in June 2001.

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria* and *Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined.

### Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria



#### 1. High Significance in landscape

- The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Councils significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

#### 2. Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

#### 3. Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.


#### Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
  - The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.
- Hazardous/Irreversible Decline**
- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
  - The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

**The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.**

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

## Appendix B Tree Retention Values

		Significance				
		1. High	2. Medium	3. Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Estimated Life Expectancy	1. Long >40 years					
	2. Medium 15-40 Years					
	3. Short <1-15 Years					
	Dead					
<p><u>Legend for Matrix Assessment</u></p> 						
	<p><b>Priority for Retention (High)</b> - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 <i>Protection of trees on development sites</i>. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.</p>					
	<p><b>Consider for Retention (Medium)</b> - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.</p>					
	<p><b>Consider for Removal (Low)</b> - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.</p>					
	<p><b>Priority for Removal</b> - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.</p>					

### REFERENCES

Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, [www.icomos.org/australia](http://www.icomos.org/australia)

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, [www.footprintgreen.com.au](http://www.footprintgreen.com.au)

## Appendix C - Tree Inspection Data



# Birds Tree Consultancy


Consulting Arborist • Project Management • Horticultural Consultancy • Landscape Management

Inspection Data  
Lakemba

26-Aug-20

Tree no.	Species	Height (m)	Spread(m)	DBH (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	DAB Radius (mm)	SRZ Radius (m)	Maturity	Trunk (single, twin, multiple @)	Trunk lean	Form/Crown shape	Branching Habit	Crown Distribution	Stability	Branching Structure	Pruning History	Defects	Damage	Overall Health & Vigour	Canopy Density	Foliage	Deadwood	Epicormic Growth	Pest Infestation	Disease	Life expectancy	Env. & Landscape significance	Retention Value	Notes/Comments
1	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	14	700	8.4	800	3.01	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
2	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	13	430	5.16	500	2.47	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
3	Eucalyptus microcorys	20	11	640	7.68	750	2.93	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Bark inclusion	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	Bark inclusion. Recommend Risk Assessment
4	Eucalyptus microcorys	19	13	550	6.6	600	2.67	Mature	Twin @ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
5	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	16	600	7.2	660	2.78	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
6	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	14	550	6.6	600	2.67	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
7	Eucalyptus microcorys	20	16	820	9.84	900	3.17	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
8	Eucalyptus microcorys	10	6	140	2	200	1.68	Semi-mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	40y+	High	High	
9	Eucalyptus scoparia	20	15	670	8.04	750	2.93	Mature	Twin @ base	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Fair	Thinning	Normal	25%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
10	Eucalyptus microcorys	17	9	300	3.6	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
11	Eucalyptus microcorys	17	8	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
12	Eucalyptus microcorys	5	4	100	2	200	1.68	Semi-mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	40y+	High	High	
13	Eucalyptus microcorys	19	9	350	4.2	450	2.37	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
14	Eucalyptus microcorys	17	6	320	3.84	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
15	Eucalyptus microcorys	16	8	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
16	Eucalyptus microcorys	14	8	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
17	Eucalyptus microcorys	21	14	550	6.6	650	2.76	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
18	Eucalyptus microcorys	14	12	550	6.6	650	2.76	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	High	High	
19	Lophostemon confertus	8	7	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	Line Clearance	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	30%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
20	Lophostemon confertus	9	8	300	3.6	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	Line Clearance	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	30%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
21	Lophostemon confertus	7	5	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
22	Lophostemon confertus	8	7	280	3.36	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	Line Clearance	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	30%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
23	Lophostemon confertus	9	9	320	3.84	350	2.13	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	Line Clearance	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	30%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
24	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	14	450	5.4	500	2.47	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	
25	Eucalyptus microcorys	18	14	450	5.4	500	2.47	Mature	Single	NIL	Normal	Normal	Balanced	Stable	Stable	No evidence	Nil	Nil	Good	Normal	Normal	<5%	<5%	No evidence	No evidence	15-40y	Medium	Medium	





**Legend**

- Tree to be Retained and Protected
- Tree to be Removed
- Tree Not Viable to be Retained due to Proposed Development
- Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) in accordance with AS4970-2009
- Structural Root Zone (SRZ) in accordance with AS4970-2009

**Birds Tree Consultancy**

0438 892 634  
 glenn@birdstrees.com.au  
 www.birdstrees.com.au

Project: Sydney Metro - Punchbowl  
 Client: Hassell  
 DWG: A01 REV B  
 Plan: Tree Location Plan 01  
 Date: 5 Nov 2020 Scale : 1:300 @ A3

# Birds Tree Consultancy

Consulting Arborist • Expert Witness • Environmental Arboriculture • Resistograph Testing



## SITE INSPECTION REPORT - Pruning Specification

INSPECTION DATE:	4 <sup>th</sup> April 2024	
Inspection Report No.	24034/01	
PROJECT NUMBER	24034	
PROJECT:	Systems Connect - Punchbowl	
ATTENDANCE:	Glenn Bird (BTC)	GB
	Tristan McCormick	TM

## Inspection Notes

1. GB attended site on the above date to inspect required crown reduction pruning to Trees 1, 9, 24 and 25 at the Systems Connect site South Terrace Punchbowl.
2. The subject trees have previously been reported on within Birds Tree Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report Revision A dated 7<sup>th</sup> November 2021.
3. Crown reduction pruning is required to the pruning locations shown in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. All pruning is to be carried out in accordance with *AS4373-2007 Pruning of Amenity Trees* and is to be carried out by arborists with qualifications AQF3 or higher.
4. Crown reduction pruning in accordance with item 3 and the below figures will result in crown reduction of less than 10% on the subject trees and leave crowns balanced.





5.

**Figure 1 - Tree 25 Location A**



6.


**Figure 2 - Tree 25 Location B**





7.


**Figure 3 - Tree 25 location C**



8.

**Figure 4 - Tree 24 Location D**





9.


**Figure 5 - Tree 1 Locations E, F, G, H.**





10. **Figure 6 - Tree 9 location I**

Birds Tree Consultancy



Glenn Bird Grad Cert Arboriculture (Uni Melb); DipHort(Arb) MISAAC, MAA