EDITORIAL

Thrombectomy in Childhood Stroke

Important Considerations in Borderline Indications

Peter B. Sporns[®], MD, MHBA; Marios Psychogios, MD

hildhood arterial ischemic stroke is a rare clinical event but has a potentially severe outcome with long-term social and financial impact. The TIPS trial (Thrombolysis in Pediatric Stroke), a safety and dosefinding study of intravenous tissue-type plasminogen activator (tPA) in children, was closed early due to lack of participant accrual.1 Regarding the use of mechanical thrombectomy (MT), after several small case series,2 the Save ChildS study recently provided multicentre evidence for the use of MT in children with large vessel occlusion arterial ischemic stroke.3 In this study, the rate of recanalization and adverse events was comparable to randomized controlled trials in adults, and neurological outcomes of the children were generally favourable. However, current guidelines still consider supportive medical management specific to the underlying cause as first-line therapy,4 and there are several unanswered questions regarding the use of MT in pediatric patients.

See related article, p 3174

This edition of *Stroke* features a special report by Sun et al⁵ discussing specific considerations for MT along-side some interesting cases with borderline indications for MT in children. For example, by illustrating a case of a young child with ischemic stroke due to congenital heart disease, the authors not only highlight the higher risk of ischemic stroke in this population but also discuss the indication for MT in children on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. In these children, the increased bleeding risk at the cannulation site and ongoing heparin

may limit the use of intravenous tPA so that MT may be the only available therapeutic option in the acute setting. However, technical complexity and carotid catheterization may also be limited by the type and placement of the extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. Consequently, the risks and benefits must be particularly carefully weighed in children on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation.

Another unanswered question brought up by Sun et al⁵ is the use of MT in children with an extended or unknown time window. Whereas in adult patients after the positive results of the DAWN (Diffusion Weighted Imaging [DWI] or Computerized Tomography Perfusion [CTP] Assessment With Clinical Mismatch in the Triage of Wake Up and Late Presenting Strokes Undergoing Neurointervention) and DEFUSE-3 (Endovascular Therapy Following Imaging Evaluation for Ischemic Stroke 3) trials, 67 perfusion imaging plays a key role in selecting candidates for MT, systematic evidence for the use of MT in the delayed time window in children is lacking. This may be important as penumbral thresholds are likely to differ from adults8 so that further studies defining neuroimaging parameters that identify pediatric patients with stroke likely to benefit from MT in the late window are needed.

The last case discusses the indication of MT in a very young 9-month-old child with an imaging appearance suggestive of an arteriopathy. By illustrating this case, the authors raise 2 questions at the same time. What is the lower age limit to perform thrombectomy in children, and are there specific causes such as cerebral arteriopathies where the risk potentially outweighs the benefit of MT? Regarding the first question there is little evidence,

Key Words: Editorials ■ arteries ■ heparin ■ neuroimaging ■ perfusion imaging ■ thrombectomy

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

Correspondence to: Peter B. Sporns, MD, MHBA, Department of Neuroradiology, Clinic for Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Basel, 4031 Basel, Switzerland. Email Peter.Sporns@hotmail.de

For Disclosures, see page 2891.

© 2020 American Heart Association, Inc.

Stroke is available at www.ahajournals.org/journal/str

outcome was slightly worse in children aged 0 to 6 years than in the whole study cohort including all age groups.3 However, whereas one might think this may be attributed to the selection of thrombectomy devices and size of the catheterized arteries, a post hoc analysis revealed that neurological outcomes were not associated with any specific device selection.9 Regarding the second question, many child neurologists are concerned that in arteriopathies (including focal cerebral arteriopathy, moyamoya vasculopathy, and dissection) that are present in over half of childhood strokes, MT may carry a higher risk of injuring an inflamed vessel, expansion of an existing dissection or an increased risk of vasospasm. An analysis of the Save ChildS study including 14 children with arteriopathies observed no dissections or vessel ruptures but there may have been a selection bias against children with suspected inflammatory arteriopathies.9

the most systematic again from the Save ChildS study,

where an analysis grouped by age suggested that the

In the end, it is important to notice that in the acute situation the underlying cause will be unknown in several cases and an emergent decision for or against MT is required. In that setting, there is good evidence that large vessel occlusions will benefit from MT whereas in borderline indications as presented by Sun et al⁵ a careful consideration of all potential risks and benefits is pivotal, especially in the absence of a randomized trial that may never become available.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Affiliations

Department of Neuroradiology, Clinic for Radiology & Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland (P.B.S., M.P.). Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany (P.B.S.).

Disclosures

None.

REFERENCES

- Rivkin MJ, deVeber G, Ichord RN, Kirton A, Chan AK, Hovinga CA, Gill JC, Szabo A, Hill MD, Scholz K, et al. Thrombolysis in pediatric stroke study. Stroke. 2015;46:880–885. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.008210
- Sporns PB, Kemmling A, Hanning U, Minnerup J, Sträter R, Niederstadt T, Heindel W, Wildgruber M. Thrombectomy in childhood stroke. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019;8:e011335. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.011335
- Sporns PB, Strater R, Minnerup J, Wiendl H, Hanning U, Chapot R, Henkes H, Henkes E, Grams A, Dorn F, et al. Feasibility, safety, and outcome of endovascular recanalization in childhood stroke: The Save ChildS Study. *JAMA Neurol.* 2019;77:25–34. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.3403
- Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, Biller J, Brown M, Demaerschalk BM, Hoh B, et al; American Heart Association Stroke Council. 2018 Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2018;49:e46-e110. doi: 10.1161/STR. 0000000000000158
- Sun LR, Harrar D, Drocton G, Castillo-Pinto C, Felling R, Carpenter JL, Wernovsky G, McDougall CG, Gailloud P, Pearl MS. Mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke: considerations in children. Stroke. 2020;51:3174–3181. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.029698
- Nogueira RG, Jadhav AP, Haussen DC, Bonafe A, Budzik RF, Bhuva P, Yavagal DR, Ribo M, Cognard C, Hanel RA, et al; DAWN Trial Investigators. Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:11-21. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1706442
- Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, Christensen S, Tsai JP, Ortega-Gutierrez S, McTaggart RA, Torbey MT, Kim-Tenser M, Leslie-Mazwi T, et al; DEFUSE 3 Investigators. Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. N Engl J Med. 2018;378:708–718. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1713973
- Lee S, Heit JJ, Albers GW, Wintermark M, Jiang B, Bernier E, Fischbein NJ, Mlynash M, Marks MP, Do HM, et al. Neuroimaging selection for thrombectomy in pediatric stroke: a single-center experience. *J Neurointerv Surg.* 2019;11:940–946. doi: 10.1136/neurintsurg-2019-014862
- Sporns PB, Straeter R, Minnerup J, Wiendl H, Hanning U, Chapot R, Henkes H, Henkes E, Grams A, Dorn F, et al; Save ChildS Investigators. Does device selection impact recanalization rate and neurological outcome?: an analysis of the Save ChildS Study. Stroke. 2020;51:1182–1189. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.119.028221