

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 11/29/12


IN RE THE BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES,
INC. SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE, AND
ERISA LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Securities Action, 08 Civ. 2793 (RWS)

Master File No.:
08 MDL No. 1963 (RWS)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ FINAL ORDER AND
JUDGMENT AS TO DELOITTE &
TOUCHE LLP



WHEREAS:

A. As of June 11, 2012, The State of Michigan Retirement Systems (“Lead Plaintiff”), on behalf of itself and the Settlement Class, and Deloitte & Touche LLP (“Deloitte” or the “Settling Defendant”) entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement with Deloitte & Touche LLP, as amended on August 28, 2012, (the “Stipulation”) in the above-titled litigation (the “Action”).

B. Pursuant to the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Partial Class Action Settlement with Deloitte, Approving Form and Manner of Notice, and Setting Date for Hearing on Final Approval of Settlement, entered June 13, 2012 (the “Preliminary Approval Order”), the Court scheduled a hearing for September 19, 2012, at noon (the “Settlement Hearing”) to, among other things: (i) determine whether the proposed partial Settlement of the Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable and adequate, and should be approved by the Court; and (ii) determine whether a judgment as provided for in the Stipulation should be entered.

C. The Court ordered that the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlements with the Bear Stearns Defendants and Deloitte and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (the "Notice") and a Proof of Claim and Release form ("Proof of Claim"), substantially in the forms attached to the Preliminary Approval Order as Exhibits 1 and 2, respectively, be mailed by first-class mail, postage prepaid, on or before ten (10) business days after the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order ("Notice Date") to all potential Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and that a Summary Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlements with the Bear Stearns Defendants and Deloitte and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (the "Summary Notice"), substantially in the form attached to the Preliminary Approval Order as Exhibit 3, be published in *Investor's Business Daily* and transmitted over *PR Newswire* within fourteen (14) calendar days of the Notice Date.

D. The Notice and the Summary Notice advised Settlement Class Members of the date, time, place and purpose of the Settlement Hearing. The Notice further advised that any objections to the Settlement were required to be filed with the Court and served on counsel for the Settling Parties such that they were received by August 29, 2012.

E. The provisions of the Preliminary Approval Order as to notice were complied with.

F. On August 15, 2012, Lead Plaintiff moved for final approval of the Settlement, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order. The Settlement Hearing was duly held before this Court on September 19, 2012, at which time all interested Persons were afforded the opportunity to be heard.

G. This Court has duly considered Lead Plaintiff's motion, the affidavits, declarations and memoranda of law submitted in support thereof, the Stipulation, and all of the submissions and arguments presented with respect to the proposed Settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, after due deliberation, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation, as amended, originally filed with the Court on June 11, 2002; and (b) the Notice, which was filed with the Court on August 15, 2012. Capitalized terms not defined in this Judgment are as defined in the Stipulation.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. The Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finally certifies, for the purposes of the Settlement only, pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Settlement Class of: all Persons who, during the period from December 14, 2006 to and through March 14, 2008, inclusive, (the "Class Period") purchased or otherwise acquired the publicly traded common stock or other equity securities, or call options of or guaranteed by Bear Stearns, or sold Bear Stearns put options, either in the open market or pursuant or traceable to a registration statement, and were damaged thereby. The Settlement Class also includes all persons who received Bear Stearns Capital Accumulation Plan ("CAP") Units and Restricted Stock Units that had fully vested, entitling them to an equivalent number of shares of Bear Stearns common stock upon settlement at the end of a deferral period, during the Class Period, as part of their compensation as an employee with Bear Stearns and participation in its CAP and Restricted Stock Unit Plan. Excluded from the Settlement Class are:

the Defendants; the officers and directors of Bear Stearns; the members of the immediate families of the Individual Defendants; any firm, trust, partnership, corporation, or entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest; and the legal representatives, heirs, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded Person. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those putative Settlement Class Members who properly excluded themselves by filing a valid and timely request for exclusion in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Notice, *see* Exhibit A annexed hereto.

4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby affirms its determinations in the Preliminary Approval Order and finally certifies Lead Plaintiff The State of Michigan Retirement Systems as Class Representative for the Settlement Class; and finally appoints Labaton Sucharow LLP and Berman DeValerio as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class.

5. The notification provided for and given to the Settlement Class (i) was in compliance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (ii) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (iii) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the effect of the Settlement, of the proposed Plan of Allocation, of Co-Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorney's fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of the Action, of Settlement Class Members' right to object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or Co-Lead Counsel's request for an award of attorney's fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses, and of their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (iv) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (v) satisfied the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution

(including the Due Process Clause), Section 21D(a)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “PSLRA”), and all other applicable law and rules.

6. The Court has considered each of the objections to the Settlement and/or to the settlement with the Bear Stearns Defendants submitted pursuant to Rule 23(e)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds and concludes that each of the objections to the Settlement are without merit, and they are hereby overruled.

7. In light of the benefits to the Settlement Class, the complexity, expense and possible duration of further litigation against the Settling Defendant, the risks of establishing liability and damages, and the costs of continued litigation, the Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation in all respects, and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of Lead Plaintiff, the Settlement Class, and the Settlement Class Members. This Court further finds the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is the result of arm’s-length negotiations between experienced counsel representing the interests of Lead Plaintiff, the Settlement Class and the Settling Defendant. The Settlement shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Stipulation.

8. The Consolidated Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws, filed February 27, 2009, is hereby dismissed in its entirety as to the Settling Defendant, with prejudice, and without costs to any Settling Party, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.

9. The Court further finds that during the course of the Action, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

10. Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiff and each and every other Settlement Class Member, on behalf of themselves and each of their respective agents, representatives, heirs, executors, trustees, administrators, predecessors, successors and assigns, shall be deemed to have fully, finally and forever waived, released, discharged and dismissed each and every one of the Released Deloitte Claims as against each and every one of the Released Deloitte Defendant Parties and shall forever be BARRED, ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or maintaining any of the Released Deloitte Claims against any of the Released Deloitte Defendant Parties.

11. Upon the Effective Date, the Settling Defendant, on behalf of itself and its agents, representatives, predecessors, successors and assigns, shall be deemed to have fully, finally and forever waived, released, discharged and dismissed each and every one of the Released Deloitte Defendant's Claims as against each and every one of the Released Plaintiff Parties and shall forever be BARRED, ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or maintaining any of the Released Deloitte Defendant's Claims against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties.

12. Pursuant to the PSLRA, upon the Effective Date, the Settling Defendant is discharged from all claims for contribution that have been or may hereafter be brought by or on behalf of any Person, based upon, relating to, or arising out of the Action. Upon the Effective Date, any and all Persons are permanently BARRED, ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from commencing, prosecuting or asserting any and all claims for contribution based upon, relating to,

or arising out of the Action, whether arising under state, federal or common law, as claims, cross-claims, counterclaims, or third-party claims, in this Action or as a separate action, in this Court, in any federal or state court, or in any other court, arbitration proceeding, administrative proceeding, or other forum (collectively, the “Barred Contribution Claims”) against the Settling Defendant; and the Settling Defendant is permanently BARRED, ENJOINED and RESTRAINED from commencing, prosecuting or asserting any and all Barred Contribution Claims against any Person, other than a Person whose liability to the Settlement Class has been extinguished pursuant to the Settlement and this Judgment.

13. Any final verdict or judgment obtained by or on behalf of Lead Plaintiff, the Settlement Class or any Settlement Class Member shall be reduced as provided by the PSLRA.

14. Each Settlement Class Member, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim, is bound by this Judgment, including, without limitation, the release of claims as set forth in the Stipulation.

15. This Judgment and the Stipulation, whether or not consummated, and any discussions, negotiations, proceedings or agreements relating to the Stipulation, the Settlement, and any matters arising in connection with settlement discussions or negotiations, proceedings, or agreements, shall not be offered or received against or to the prejudice of the Settling Defendant, Lead Plaintiff, or Settlement Class Members for any purpose other than in an action to enforce the terms hereof, and in particular:

(a) do not constitute, and shall not be offered or received against or to the prejudice of the Settling Defendant as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by the Settling Defendant with respect to the truth of any allegation by Lead Plaintiff and the Settlement Class, or the validity of any claim that has

been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, including but not limited to the Released Deloitte Claims, or of any liability, damages, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of the Settling Defendant or any person or entity whatsoever;

(b) do not constitute, and shall not be offered or received against or to the prejudice of the Settling Defendant as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission of any fault, misrepresentation, or omission with respect to any statement or written document approved or made by the Settling Defendant, or against or to the prejudice of Lead Plaintiff or any other members of the Settlement Class as evidence of any infirmity in the claims of Lead Plaintiff or the other members of the Settlement Class;

(c) do not constitute, and shall not be offered or received against or to the prejudice of the Settling Defendant or against Lead Plaintiff or any other members of the Settlement Class, as evidence of a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, damages, negligence, fault, infirmity or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason against or to the prejudice of any of the Settling Parties, in any other civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Settlement;

(d) do not constitute, and shall not be construed against the Settling Defendant, Lead Plaintiff, or any other members of the Settlement Class, as an admission or concession that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial;

(e) do not constitute, and shall not be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against Lead Plaintiff or any other members of the

Settlement Class that any of their claims are without merit or infirm or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount.

16. The administration of the Settlement, and the decision of all disputed questions of law and fact with respect to the validity of any claim or right of any Person to participate in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, shall remain under the authority of this Court.

17. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

18. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.

19. The Settling Parties are hereby directed to consummate the Stipulation and to perform its terms.

20. A separate order shall be entered regarding Co-Lead Counsel's application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses as allowed by the Court. A separate order shall be entered regarding the proposed Plan of Allocation for the Net Settlement Fund. Such orders shall in no way disturb or affect this Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

21. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (i) implementation of the Settlement; (ii) the allowance, disallowance or adjustment of any Settlement Class Member's claim on equitable grounds and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund; (iii) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (iv)

hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, costs, interest and reimbursement of expenses in the Action; (v) all Settling Parties for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Settlement and this Judgment; and (vi) other matters related or ancillary to the foregoing. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

Dated: 11-28, 2012



Honorable Robert W. Sweet
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A**Valid Exclusions**

	Reference Number	Name
1	1033249	KEVIN T ROBIK
2	1034402	VIVINE H. WANG
3	525	JAMES M CROWE AND VALERIE J CROWE
4	1035472	BRUCE S. SHERMAN
5	630	SRM Global Fund
6	1035554	VALLEY FINANCIAL GROUP, LLLP
7	1035553	BGC II, LP
8	609	CE DIVERSIFIED FINANCIAL LLLP
9	610	COLLIER FAMILY OFFICE, INC. 401 (K) PLAN
10	1035162	C H MOTORCARS LLC 401 (K) PLAN
11	1035548	COLLIER FOUNDATION, INC.
12	1035551	COLLIER ASSET GROUP, L.P.
13	1035549	INGLIS U. COLLIER IRREVOCABLE TRUST II
14	1035154	LAURA ISABEL UPPERCU COLLIER TRUST
15	613	MILES C. COLLIER REV. TRUST U/A DTD 04/26/2002
16	1035552	THERESA A. COLLIER REV. TRUST U/A DTD 5/20/98
17	620	MCCEC, L.P.
18	1035555	MCC FINANCIAL GROUP, L.P.
19	621	MILES C. COLLIER GRANTOR RETAINED ANNUITY TRUST DTD. 12/14/00
20	1035355	MCC-PCM, INC.
21	622	MCC-PCM, INC. #2
22	623	PJC FINANCIAL GROUP, L.P.
23	624	MILL PARK FOUNDATION, INC.
24	625	ISABEL COLLIER READ IRREVOCABLE TRUST DTD. 12/29/81, AS AMENDED
25	626	ISABEL COLLIER READ CHARITABLE REMAINDER ANNUITY TRUST
26	627	COLLIER ASSET GROUP 2007 TRUST
27	604	COLLIER ENTERPRISES MANAGEMENT 401K PROFIT SHARING PLAN
28	611	WILLIAM A. READ, JR. TRUST
29	612	BARRON GORDON COLLIER V TRUST
30	614	BARRON G COLLIER, II REVOCABLE TRUST
31	615	CHARLOTTE WILK COLLIER MINORITY TRUST U/A DTD 8/10/95
32	1035550	PARKER J COLLIER REV TRUST U/A DTD 12/19/97
33	616	MPC-AJP, LLLP
34	617	MPC-PPW, LLLP
35	1035245	THE EQUESTRIAN CENTER AT HORSE CREEK, LLC 401K PLAN
36	618	AUBURN FOUNDATION, INC.

37	619	WYOMING PHILANTHROPIC TRUST, INC.
38	629	VINCE PHAM
39	633	ARTURO WILLIAMS
40	632	JOHN FLEMMING
41	670	KATHLEEN BRADLEY
42	642	ADNAN HALAWI
43	640	BARRY HICKSON
44	643	CLIFF CHANG
45	648	ALLEN AHKIEN SHE
46	653	CHI TIU
47	676	ANTHONY YU
48	682	ANDREW TANG
49	649	DANH VUU
50	672	DOUG BRADLEY
51	646	DURGA SURESH BODEPUDI
52	658	ESTHER SAROT
53	664	AARON SAROT
54	647	FRANK BARRONE
55	652	FRED YOU DEN
56	644	GEORGE CUSUMANO
57	689	DAN PACUT
58	650	GERALD CAPLES
59	668	BLOCK INVESTMENTS CORPORATION
60	678	CARL FISCHER
61	673	DAMIAN KONDROTAS
62	690	EVA LOPEZ
63	671	HUA ZHU
64	704	CHRIS BAXTER
65	692	ALFRED GAFFNEY
66	701	DANIEL HAIGNEY
67	691	DANIEL YANUZZI
68	705	CHARLES KRAJEWSKI, III
69	684	FRANK KIM
70	707	DEBABRATA GHOSH
71	693	FEIJUN WANG
72	697	HARISH PATEL
73	674	IGOR VOLN
74	681	IVAN VEGA
75	706	JAMES GIBB
76	657	JOSEPH BLEJEC
77	677	KENDRICK TSE

78	688	LETICIA GAFFNEY
79	651	LINDA YOUDEN
80	635	MAREK SZAJBLER
81	669	MICHAEL HANSEN
82	667	MICHAEL OBERTINI
83	698	MIKE O'GORMAN
84	639	MITCHELL JAMEL
85	666	NALLAIYA DURAISWAMY
86	675	NANCY MAZZERLE
87	687	NEIL BOLAND
88	656	NIMIT SAVANI
89	696	PATHIK SHAH
90	634	PATRICIA FLESSER
91	654	PATRICIA SZYMANSKI
92	700	PAUL HANLON
93	702	PHILLIP FRIEDLAND
94	637	PHONG NGUYEN
95	699	QUANG NGUYEN
96	645	RENE CALDERON
97	685	REZA PEZESHKI
98	679	RICHARD KARP
99	638	ROBERT D'ANDREA
100	703	ROSA KRAJEWSKI
101	686	SCOTT HENDERSON
102	660	SENTHIL K SOWRIRNJAN
103	636	STEPHEN FLESSER
104	655	STEPHEN SZYMANSKI
105	661	THOMAS RITTER
106	680	TRI K TRAN
107	641	TRUDY CORBETT
108	683	VINCE RODRIGUEZ
109	695	WILLIAM HARPER
110	665	YURI LANTSBERG
111	659	ZIA SYED

United States District Court
Southern District of New York
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, New York, N.Y. 10007-1213

Date:

In Re:

-v-

Case #: ()

Dear Litigant,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment entered in your case.

Your attention is directed to Rule 4(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, which requires that if you wish to appeal the judgment in your case, you must file a notice of appeal within 30 days of the date of entry of the judgment (60 days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party).


If you wish to appeal the judgment but for any reason you are unable to file your notice of appeal within the required time, you may make a motion for an extension of time in accordance with the provision of Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5). That rule requires you to show "excusable neglect" or "good cause" for your failure to file your notice of appeal within the time allowed. Any such motion must first be served upon the other parties and then filed with the Pro Se Office no later than 60 days from the date of entry of the judgment (90 days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party).

The enclosed Forms 1, 2 and 3 cover some common situations, and you may choose to use one of them if appropriate to your circumstances.

The Filing fee for a notice of appeal is \$5.00 and the appellate docketing fee is \$450.00 payable to the "Clerk of the Court, USDC, SDNY" by certified check, money order or cash. No personal checks are accepted.

Ruby J. Krajick, Clerk of Court

by: _____



, Deputy Clerk

APPEAL FORMS

United States District Court
Southern District of New York
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, New York, N.Y. 10007-1213

-----X
-V-
-----X

NOTICE OF APPEAL

civ. ()

Notice is hereby given that _____
(party)
hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from the Judgment [describe it]

entered in this action on the _____ day of _____, _____
(day) (month) (year)

(Signature)

(Address)

(City, State and Zip Code)

Date: _____

() _____
(Telephone Number)

Note: You may use this form to take an appeal provided that it is received by the office of the Clerk of the District Court within 30 days of the date on which the judgment was entered (60 days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party).

FORM 1

United States District Court
Southern District of New York
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, New York, N.Y. 10007-1213

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE A NOTICE OF APPEAL

-V-

civ. ()

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5), _____ respectfully
requests leave to file the within notice of appeal out of time.

_____ (party)
desires to appeal the judgment in this action entered on _____ (party)
_____ (day) but failed to file a
notice of appeal within the required number of days because:

[Explain here the "excusable neglect" or "good cause" which led to your failure to file a notice of appeal within the required number of days.]

(Signature)

(Address)

(City, State and Zip Code)

Date: _____

() _____
(Telephone Number)

Note: You may use this form, together with a copy of Form 1, if you are seeking to appeal a judgment and did not file a copy of Form 1 within the required time. If you follow this procedure, these forms must be received in the office of the Clerk of the District Court no later than 60 days of the date which the judgment was entered (90 days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party).

APPEAL FORMS

District Court will receive it within the 30 days of the date on which the judgment was entered (60 days if the United States or an officer or agency of the United States is a party).

FORM 3

United States District Court
Southern District of New York
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, New York, N.Y. 10007-1213

-----X
-V-
-----X

AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE

civ. ()

I, _____, declare under penalty of perjury that I have served a copy of the attached _____

upon _____

whose address is: _____

Date: _____
New York, New York

(Signature)

(Address)

(City, State and Zip Code)

FORM 4

APPEAL FORMS

FORM 2

**United States District Court
Southern District of New York
Office of the Clerk
U.S. Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, New York, N.Y. 10007-1213**

-V-

**NOTICE OF APPEAL
AND
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

civ. ()

1. Notice is hereby given that _____ hereby appeals to
(party)
the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from the judgment entered on _____.
[Give a description of the judgment]

2. In the event that this form was not received in the Clerk's office within the required time
_____ respectfully requests the court to grant an extension of time in
(party)
accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(5).

a. In support of this request, _____ states that
(party)
this Court's judgment was received on _____ and that this form was mailed to the
(date)
court on _____
(date)

(Signature)

(Address)

(City, State and Zip Code)

Date: _____

()

(Telephone Number)

Note: You may use this form if you are mailing your notice of appeal and are not sure the Clerk of the

APPEAL FORMS