

1 **LABATON KELLER SUCHAROW LLP**  
 MICHAEL P. CANTY (*pro hac vice*)  
 2 mcanty@labaton.com  
 JAMES T. CHRISTIE (*pro hac vice*)  
 3 jchristie@labaton.com  
 NICHOLAS MANNINGHAM (*pro hac vice*)  
 4 nmanningham@labaton.com  
 140 Broadway  
 5 New York, NY 10005  
 Telephone: (212) 907-0700

6 **ADAMSKI MOROSKI MADDEN**  
**CUMBERLAND & GREEN LLP**  
 JAMES M. WAGSTAFFE, SBN 95535  
 8 wagstaffe@wvbrlaw.com  
 Mailing Address: Post Office Box 3835  
 9 San Luis Obispo, CA 93403-3835  
 Physical Address: 6633 Bay Laurel Place  
 10 Avila Beach, CA 93424  
 Telephone: (805) 543-0990

11 *Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 14 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

15  
 16 IN RE OKTA, INC. SECURITIES  
 17 LITIGATION

CASE NO. 3:22-cv-02990-SI

18 **[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING**  
**ATTORNEYS' FEES, LITIGATION**  
 19 **EXPENSES, AND PSLRA AWARDS**  
**AS AMENDED BY THE COURT**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

WHEREAS:

A. On November 8, 2024, a hearing having been held before this Court to determine, among other things, whether and in what amount to award (1) Plaintiffs’ Counsel in the above-captioned consolidated securities class action (the “Action”) attorneys’ fees and Litigation Expenses and (2) Class Representatives their costs and expenses (including lost wages), pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “PSLRA”);

B. It appearing that a notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court (the “Notice”) was mailed to all reasonably identifiable Class Members; and that a summary notice of the hearing, substantially in the form approved by the Court, was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over *PR Newswire*; and

C. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and otherwise, and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys’ fees and expenses requested;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings set forth and defined in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated as of May 28, 2024 (the “Stipulation”).

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all parties to the Action, including all Class Members who have not timely and validly requested exclusion, Plaintiffs’ Counsel, and the Claims Administrator.

3. Notice of Class Counsel’s motion for an award of attorneys’ fees and payment of expenses was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion satisfied the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and Section 21D(a)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7),

1 as amended by the PSLRA; constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; and  
2 constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

3 4. There have been no objections to Class Counsel’s request for attorneys’ fees and  
4 Litigation Expenses.

5 5. *As amended by the Court*, Class Counsel is hereby awarded, on behalf of all  
6 Plaintiffs’ Counsel, attorneys’ fees in the amount of **\$10,200,000.00**, plus interest at the same rate  
7 earned by the Settlement Fund, *i.e.*, **17%** of the Settlement Fund, and payment of expenses in the  
8 amount of **\$280,272.17**, plus accrued interest, which sums the Court finds to be fair and  
9 reasonable. The Court awards less than the 22% requested in the fee motion. As discussed at the  
10 hearing, a cross-check on the lodestar shows that 22% of the settlement fund would result in a  
11 roughly 4.5 multiplier, which the Court finds slightly excessive in light of this case’s history.  
12 Although plaintiffs’ counsel obtained an excellent result for the class on the narrow claims that  
13 remained, the majority of the case was disposed of at the pleading stage. Plaintiffs elected not to  
14 amend the complaint, though given leave to do so, thereby dropping a number of the theories  
15 originally alleged. The Court finds that 17% of the settlement fund, or \$10,200,000, is appropriate  
16 to provide plaintiffs’ counsel with a reasonable fee for the results achieved in this case.

17 6. Class Representative Nebraska Investment Council is hereby awarded \$10,000.00  
18 from the Settlement Fund, pursuant to the PSLRA, as reimbursement for its reasonable costs and  
19 expenses directly related to its representation of the Class.

20 7. Class Representative North Carolina Retirement Systems is hereby awarded  
21 \$7,000.00 from the Settlement Fund, pursuant to the PSLRA, as reimbursement for its reasonable  
22 costs and expenses directly related to its representation of the Class.

23 8. The award of attorneys’ fees and Litigation Expenses may be paid to Class Counsel  
24 from the Settlement Fund immediately upon entry of this Order, subject to the terms, conditions,  
25 and obligations of the Stipulation, which terms, conditions, and obligations are incorporated  
26 herein.

1           9.       In making this award of attorneys' fees and payment of Litigation Expenses to be  
2 paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has analyzed the factors considered within the Ninth  
3 Circuit and found that:

4                   (a)       The Settlement has created a common fund of \$60 million in cash and that  
5 numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement  
6 created by the efforts of counsel;

7                   (b)       The requested attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses have been reviewed  
8 and approved as fair and reasonable by Class Representatives, sophisticated institutional  
9 investors that were directly involved in the prosecution and resolution of the Action and which  
10 have a substantial interest in ensuring that any fees paid to counsel are duly earned and not  
11 excessive;

12                   (c)       The Action involved complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence  
13 of settlement, would have involved lengthy proceedings whose resolution was uncertain;

14                   (d)       Counsel conducted the Action and achieved the Settlement with skillful  
15 and diligent advocacy;

16                   (e)       Plaintiffs' Counsel undertook the Action on a contingent basis, and have  
17 received no compensation during the Action, and any fee and expense award has been contingent  
18 on the result achieved;

19                   (f)       Counsel have devoted approximately 4,618.80 hours, with a lodestar  
20 value of \$2,882,615.50, to achieve the Settlement;

21                   (g)       The amount of attorneys' fees requested is fair and reasonable and lower  
22 than fee awards approved in cases within the Ninth Circuit with similar recoveries; and

23                   (h)       Notice was disseminated to putative Class Members stating that Class  
24 Counsel would be seeking 22% of the Settlement Fund, and litigation expenses not to exceed  
25 \$410,000, plus interest, which might include a request that Class Representatives be reimbursed  
26 their reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) related to their representation of the  
27 Class, and there were no objections to the application for attorneys' fees or expenses.  
28

