

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**District of Minnesota**

---

In re Resideo Technologies, Inc., Securities  
Litigation

**JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE**

St. Clair County Employees' Retirement  
System; Gabelli Asset Fund, The; Gabelli  
Dividend & Income Trust, The; Gabelli Equity  
Trust Inc., The; Gabelli Focused Growth and  
Income Fund, The; Gabelli Multimedia Trust  
Inc., The; Gabelli Value 25 Fund Inc., The;  
GAMCO International SICAV; GAMCO Asset  
Management Inc.; Hollywood Firefighters'  
Pension Fund; Frampton Living Trust; Naya  
1740 Fund Ltd.; Naya Coldwater Fund Ltd;  
Naya Master Fund LP; Nayawood LP,

Plaintiffs,

v.

Case Number: 19-cv-2863 WMW/BRT

Resideo Technologies, Inc.; Michael G.  
Nefkens; Joseph D. Ragan, III; Niccolo de Masi,

Defendants,

Alaska Electrical Pension Fund; Oklahoma  
Firefighters Pension and Retirement System,

Movants.

---

**Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

**Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT:

1. Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, (Dkt. 140), is

**GRANTED.**

- a. The Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation are finally approved as being fair, reasonable and adequate pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- b. The Settlement Class is finally certified, for settlement purposes only, pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- c. The Court confirms that the Notice provided to the Settlement Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.
- d. All Settlement Class Members who timely requested exclusion are excluded from the Settlement. The Settlement Class Members who did not timely request exclusion are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement.

2. Plaintiffs' unopposed Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Awards, (Dkt. 142), is **GRANTED**.

- a. The Court awards \$13,750,000, plus any accrued interest, in attorneys' fees to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- b. The Court awards \$349,575.75 in litigation expenses to Plaintiffs' counsel.
- c. The Court approves service awards of \$12,500 to the Gabelli Group and \$10,000 to the Naya Group, for an aggregate amount of \$22,500, to compensate Lead Plaintiffs for the time spent directly related to their representation of the Settlement Class.

3. Without affecting the finality of this Order and the judgment, the Court retains jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of resolving disputes related to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation and enforcement of the Settlement.

Date: 3/25/2022

KATE M. FOGARTY, CLERK