

EXHIBIT 8

Compendium of Unreported Cases

<i>Ark. Tchr. Ret. Sys. v. Bankrate</i> , No. 13-cv-7183, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 25, 2014)	1
<i>In re Celestica Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 07-cv-00312-GBD, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. July 28, 2015)	2
<i>In re Cnova N.V. Sec. Litig.</i> , Master File No. 1:16-cv-00444-LTS-OTW, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 20, 2018)	3
<i>Landmen Partners, Inc. v. Blackstone Grp. L.P.</i> , No. 08-cv-03601-HB-FM, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 18, 2013)	4
<i>Lyons v. Litton Loan Servicing LP</i> , No. 1:13-cv-00513-ALC-HBP, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 15, 2016)	5
<i>In re Perrigo Co. PLC Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 19-CV-70 (DLC), slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 18, 2022)	6
<i>In re Salomon Analyst Metromedia Litig.</i> , No. 02-7966, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 27, 2009)	7
<i>In re Silvercorp Metals Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 12-cv-09456-JSR, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 13, 2015)	8
<i>In re Sundial Growers Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 19-cv-08913-ALC, slip op. (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 6, 2022)	9

TAB 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARKANSAS TEACHER RETIREMENT SYSTEM
and FRESNO COUNTY EMPLOYEES'
RETIREMENT ASSOCIATION, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,


v.

BANKRATE, INC. et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-7183 (JSR)

ECF CASE



ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

This matter came on for hearing on November 21, 2014 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, except those persons or entities excluded from the definition of the Settlement Class, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses requested,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated September 17, 2014 (ECF No. 73-1) (the "Amended

Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Amended Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Counsel’s motion for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for attorneys’ fees and expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Lead Counsel is hereby awarded attorneys’ fees in the amount of 25 % of the Settlement Fund, net of Court-awarded expenses, and \$ 194,426.83 in reimbursement of litigation expenses (which fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund), which sums the Court finds to be fair and reasonable.

5. Lead Counsel shall be paid 50% of the attorneys’ fees awarded and 100% of the approved expenses immediately upon entry of this Order. Payment of the balance of the attorneys’ fees awarded shall be made to Lead Counsel when distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to claimants has been very substantially completed.

6. In making this award of attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

(a) The Settlement has created a fund of \$18,000,000 in cash that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Amended Stipulation, and that numerous

Settlement Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Lead Counsel;

(b) The fee sought by Lead Counsel has been reviewed and approved as fair and reasonable by Lead Plaintiffs, who are institutional investors that oversaw the prosecution and resolution of the Action;

(c) Copies of the Notice were mailed to over 35,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees stating that Lead Counsel would apply for attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$300,000, and there were no objections to the requested attorneys' fees and expenses;

(d) Lead Counsel has conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy;

(e) The Action raised a number of complex issues;

(f) Had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement there would remain a significant risk that Lead Plaintiffs and the other members of the Settlement Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;

(g) Lead Counsel devoted over 5,100 hours, with a lodestar value of approximately \$2,485,000, to achieve the Settlement; and

(h) The amount of attorneys' fees awarded and expenses to be reimbursed from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases.

7. Lead Plaintiff Arkansas Teacher Retirement System is hereby awarded \$ 4,270.22 from the Settlement Fund as reimbursement for its reasonable costs and expenses directly related to its representation of the Settlement Class.

8. Lead Plaintiff Fresno County Employees' Retirement Association is hereby awarded \$ 850.67 from the Settlement Fund as reimbursement for its reasonable costs and expenses directly related to its representation of the Settlement Class.


9. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys' fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

10. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the parties and the Settlement Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Amended Stipulation and this Order.

11. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Amended Stipulation.


12. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 21st day of November, 2014.



The Honorable Jed S. Rakoff
United States District Judge

TAB 2



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE CELESTICA INC. SEC. LITIG.

X
: Civil Action No.: 07-CV-00312-GBD
:
: (ECF CASE)
:
: Hon. George B. Daniels
:
X

ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on July 28, 2015 for a hearing to determine, among other things, whether and in what amount to award Class Counsel in the above-captioned consolidated securities class action (the "Action") attorneys' fees and litigation expenses and Class Representative New Orleans Employees' Retirement System ("New Orleans") expenses relating to its representation of the Class. All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings as set forth and defined in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated as of April 17, 2015 (the "Stipulation"). The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that a notice of the hearing, substantially in the form approved by the Court (the "Notice"), was mailed to all reasonably identified Class Members; and that a summary notice of the hearing (the "Summary Notice"), substantially in the form approved by the Court, was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over *PR Newswire*; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys' fees and expenses requested;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all parties to the Action, including all Class Members and the Claims Administrator.

2. Notice of Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and payment of expenses was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for attorneys' fees and expenses met the requirements of Rules 23 and 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Section 21D(a)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"), due process, and any other applicable law, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

3. Class Counsel is hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of \$9,000,000 plus interest at the same rate earned by the Settlement Fund (or 30% of the Settlement Fund, which includes interest earned thereon) and payment of litigation expenses in the amount of \$1,392,450.33, plus interest at the same rate earned by the Settlement Fund, which sums the Court finds to be fair and reasonable.

4. In accordance with 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4), for its representation of the Class, the Court hereby awards New Orleans reimbursement of its reasonable lost wages and expenses directly related to its representation of the Class in the amount of \$3,645.18.

5. The award of attorneys' fees and expenses may be paid to Class Counsel from the Settlement Fund immediately upon entry of this Order, subject to the terms, conditions, and obligations of the Stipulation, which terms, conditions, and obligations are incorporated herein.

6. In making the award to Class Counsel of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

(a) The Settlement has created a common fund of \$30 million in cash and that numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Proofs of Claim will benefit from the

Settlement created by the efforts of plaintiffs' counsel;

(b) The requested attorneys' fees and payment of litigation expenses have been reviewed and approved as fair and reasonable by Class Representatives, sophisticated institutional investors that have been directly involved in the prosecution and resolution of the Action and which have a substantial interest in ensuring that any fees paid to Class Counsel are duly earned and not excessive;

(c) Notice was disseminated to putative Class Members stating that Class Counsel would be moving for attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 30% of the Settlement Fund, plus accrued interest, and payment of litigation expenses, and the expenses of Class Representatives for reimbursement of their reasonable lost wages and costs directly related to their representation of the Class, in an amount not to exceed \$2 million, plus accrued interest;

(d) There were no objections to the requested litigation expenses or to the expense request by New Orleans. The Court has received one objection to the fee request, which was submitted by Jeff M. Brown. The Court finds and concludes that Mr. Brown has not established that he is a Class Member with standing to bring the objection and it is overruled on that basis. The Court has also considered the issues raised in the objection and finds that, even if Mr. Brown were to have standing to object, the objection is without merit. The objection is therefore overruled in its entirety;

(e) Plaintiffs' counsel have expended substantial time and effort pursuing the Action on behalf of the Class;

(f) The Action involves complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence of settlement, would involve lengthy proceedings whose resolution would be uncertain;

(g) Plaintiffs' counsel pursued the Action on a contingent basis, having

received no compensation during the Action, and any fee award has been contingent on the result achieved;

(h) Plaintiffs' counsel conducted the Action and achieved the Settlement with skillful and diligent advocacy;

(i) Public policy concerns favor the award of reasonable attorneys' fees in securities class action litigation;

(j) The amount of attorneys' fees awarded are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases; and

(k) Plaintiffs' counsel have devoted more than 28,130.35 hours, with a lodestar value of \$14,324,709.25 to achieve the Settlement.


7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval of any attorneys' fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment entered with respect to the Settlement.

8. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the subject matter of this Action and over all parties to the Action, including the administration and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members.

9. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or does not become Final or the Effective Date does not occur in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, this order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation and shall be vacated in accordance with the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

JUL 28 2015
Dated: _____, 2015


Honorable George B. Daniels
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE


TAB 3

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

IN RE CNOVA N.V. SECURITIES
LITIGATION

This Document Relates To: All Actions

MASTER FILE
16 CV 444-LTS



MW **[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to this Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Settlement, Granting Conditional Class Certification, and Providing for Notice dated October 11, 2017 ("Preliminary Approval Order"), and the Court having received declarations attesting to the mailing of the Notice and the publication of the Publication Notice in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, on the application of Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants for approval of the settlement ("Settlement") set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of September 20, 2017 ("Stipulation"), the proposed Plan of Allocation of the Settlement proceeds, Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses, and interim reimbursement of notice and administration expenses and, following a hearing on March 15, 2018 before this Court to consider the applications, all supporting papers and arguments of Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants, and other proceedings held herein, as well as for the reasons stated on the record by the Court at the hearing before the Court on March 15, 2018, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED, DECREED AND ORDERED:

1. This Final Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein defined in the Stipulation shall have the same meanings as set forth in

the Stipulation unless specifically set forth differently herein. The terms of the Stipulation are fully incorporated in this Final Judgment as if set forth fully herein.

2. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and all parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. This Court finds that due and adequate notice was given of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation of the Settlement proceeds, and Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and/or reimbursement of expenses, as directed by this Court's Preliminary Approval Order, and that the forms and methods for providing such notice to Class Members:

(a) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort;

(b) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of: (i) the proposed Settlement of this class action and the right to exclude themselves from the Class; (ii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement, including the terms of the Stipulation and the Plan of Allocation; (iii) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing, either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense, if they are not excluded from the Class; and (iv) the binding effect of the proceedings, rulings, orders and judgments in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all Persons and entities who are not excluded from the Class;

(c) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons and entities entitled to be provided with notice; and

(d) fully satisfied all the applicable requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, due process, and all other applicable laws.

4. Pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby grants final certification of the Class consisting of all Persons that purchased Cnova N.V. ordinary shares from November 19, 2014 through February 23, 2016, inclusive (the “Class Period”), issued pursuant and/or traceable to Cnova’s Registration Statement, which incorporated the Prospectus that was filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4) on November 21, 2014, in connection with Cnova N.V.’s initial public offering on or about November 19, 2014. Excluded from the Class are (i) Defendants; (ii) the officers and directors of Defendants; (iii) Casino Guichard Perrachon SA; (iv) the officers and directors of any excluded Person; (v) members of the immediate family of any excluded Person; the legal representatives, agents, heirs, successors, subsidiaries, affiliates or assigns of any excluded Person; and (vi) any other Person in which any excluded Person has a beneficial ownership interest and had contractual control over the operations and/or management of such other Person during the Class Period to the extent of the excluded Person’s beneficial ownership interest in such Person.

5. With respect to the Class, the Court finds that:

(a) the Class satisfies all of the requirements of Rule 23(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because:

- i. the members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- ii. there are questions of law and fact common to the Class;
- iii. the claims and defenses of the representative parties are typical of the Class; and
- iv. the representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

(b) In addition, the Court finds that the Action satisfies the requirement of Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in that there are questions of law and fact common to the Class Members that predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and that a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy; and

(c) The Court finds that Lead Plaintiffs Michael Schwabe and Jaideep Khanna have claims that are typical of the claims of other Class Members and that they have and will adequately represent the interest of Class Members and appoints them as the representatives of the Class, and appoints Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Brower Piven, A Professional Corporation, as Class Counsel.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said Settlement, and all transactions preparatory and incident thereto, is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to, and is in the best interests of, Lead Plaintiffs and all Class Members based on, among other things: the Settlement resulted from arm's-length negotiations between the Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants and/or their counsel; the amount of the recovery for Class Members being well within the range of reasonableness given the strengths and weaknesses of the claims and defenses thereto and the risks of non-recovery and/or recovery of a lesser amount than is represented through the Settlement by continued litigation through all pretrial, trial and appellate procedures; and the recommendation of the Lead Plaintiffs and Defendants, in particular experienced Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel. Accordingly, the Settlement embodied in the Stipulation is hereby approved in all respects and shall be consummated in accordance with its terms and

conditions. The parties are hereby directed to perform the terms of the Stipulation, and the Clerk of the Court is directed to enter and docket this Final Judgment in this Action.

7. This Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation as set forth in the Notice as fair and equitable. The Court directs the Claims Administrator, under the supervision of Lead Counsel, to proceed with the processing of Proofs of Claim and the administration of the Settlement pursuant to the terms of the Plan of Allocation and, upon completion of the claims processing procedure, to present to this Court a proposed final distribution order for the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to eligible Class Members, as provided in the Stipulation and Plan of Allocation.

8. This Court hereby awards and directs payment as provided in the Stipulation to Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel reimbursement of their out-of-pocket litigation expenses in the amount of \$163,778.44, and attorneys' fees equal to thirty-three and one-third percent (33 1/3 %) of the Settlement Fund, with interest to accrue on all such amounts at the same rate and for the same periods as has accrued by the Settlement Fund from the date of this Final Judgment to the date of actual payment of said attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel as provided in the Stipulation. The Court finds the amount of attorneys' fees awarded herein are fair and reasonable based on: (a) the work performed and costs incurred by Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel; (b) the complexity of the case; (c) the risks undertaken by Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and the contingent nature of their employment; (d) the quality of the work performed by Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel in this Action and their standing and experience in prosecuting similar class action securities litigation; (e) awards to successful plaintiffs' counsel in other, similar litigation; and (f) the very substantial benefits achieved for Class Members through the Settlement. The Court also finds that the requested reimbursement of expenses is proper as the expenses incurred by

Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, including the costs of experts, were reasonable and necessary in the prosecution of this Action on behalf of Class Members.

9. Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel may apply, from time to time, for any fees and/or expenses incurred by them solely in connection with the administration of the Settlement and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members to the extent any such application combined with the award of attorneys' fees granted in paragraph 9 above does not exceed thirty-three and one-third (33 1/3) percent of the Settlement Fund.

10. All payments of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses to Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel in the Action shall be made from the Settlement Fund, and the Released Parties shall have no liability or responsibility for the payment of any of Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's attorneys' fees or expenses except as expressly provided in the Stipulation with respect to the cost of Notice and administration of the Settlement.

11. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, any putative Class Member had the right to request exclusion from the Class or object to any aspect of the Settlement, Plan of Allocation and/or Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees equal to one-third of the settlement Fund and reimbursement of expenses not to exceed \$400,000, by requesting such exclusion from the Class or asserting such objection(s), in writing, in the manner provided for by the Preliminary Approval Order. Over 9,600 copies of the Notice were sent to prospective Class Members. In response, not a single putative Class Member has, timely or untimely, requested exclusion from the Class or objected to any aspect of the Settlement, Plan of Allocation and/or Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees or reimbursement of expenses as set forth in the Notice. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 23(c)(3) of

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, all Class Members are bound by this Final Judgment and by the terms of the Stipulation.

12. The Releasing Parties, whether or not such Person executes and delivers a Proof of Claim or otherwise shares in the Settlement Fund, (a) shall be deemed by operation of law to have fully, finally and forever, released, relinquished, waived, dismissed and forever discharged each and every Released Claim against the Released Parties, and (b) shall forever be enjoined from prosecuting, commencing, or instituting, either directly or indirectly, or assisting in the commencement or prosecution of, whether in the United States or elsewhere, any Released Claim against any Released Party. The Released Parties are deemed to fully, finally and forever release, relinquish and discharge the Released Defendants' Claims against Lead Plaintiffs and/or Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel.

13. Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act ("PSLRA"), as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(f)(7)(A), every Person is permanently and forever barred and enjoined from filing, commencing, instituting, prosecuting or maintaining, either directly, indirectly, representatively, or in any other capacity, in this Court, or in any other federal, foreign, state or local court, forum or tribunal, any claim, counterclaim, cross-claim, third-party claim or other actions based upon, relating to, or arising out of the Released Claims and/or the transactions and occurrences referred to in the Complaint, or in any other pleadings filed in the Action (including, without limitation, any claim or action seeking indemnification and/or contribution, however denominated) against any of the Released Parties, whether such claims are legal or equitable, known or unknown, foreseen or unforeseen, matured or unmatured, accrued or unaccrued, or are asserted under federal, foreign, state, local or common law.

14. The Court hereby dismisses with prejudice the Action and all Released Claims against each and all Released Parties and without costs to any of the parties as against the others.

15. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be offered, received or deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Parties with respect to the truth of any fact asserted in this Action or the validity of any claim that had been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any litigation, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of the Released Parties; or (b) is or may be offered, received or deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault, negligence, wrongdoing or omission of any of the Released Parties in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal; (c) may be offered, received or is admissible in any proceeding except an action to enforce or interpret the terms of the Stipulation, the Settlement contained therein, and any other documents executed in connection with the performance of the agreements embodied therein; or (d) may be construed against the Released Parties or any Class Member as an admission or concession that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial. Defendants and/or the other Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Final Judgment and Order in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on the principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, full faith and credit, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim. The Court finds that

during the course of the Action, Lead Plaintiffs, Defendants and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

16. The Court finds that, pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, the Defendants provided timely and adequate notice of this Settlement to the appropriate state and federal officials.

17. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment in any way, this Court hereby reserves and retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation and enforcement of any award or distribution from the Settlement Fund or Net Settlement Fund; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund or Net Settlement Fund; (c) determining applications for payment of attorneys' fees and/or expenses incurred by Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel in connection with administration and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund; (d) payment of taxes by the Settlement Fund; (e) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation; and (f) any other matters related to finalizing the Settlement and distribution of the proceeds of the Settlement.

18. Neither appellate review nor modification of the Plan of Allocation set forth in the Notice, nor any action in regard to the award of attorneys' fees and/or reimbursement of expenses to Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel and/or the award of costs and expenses to Lead Plaintiffs, shall affect the finality of any other portion of this Final Judgment, and each shall be considered separate for the purposes of appellate review of this Final Judgment.

19. In the event that the Settlement does not become Final in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, then this Final Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all

orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.


20. This Final Judgment and Order is a final judgment in the Action as to all claims asserted. This Court finds, for purposes of Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that there is no just reason for delay and expressly directs entry of judgment as set forth herein.

Dated: March 14, 2018



HONORABLE LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TAB 4



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

LANDMEN PARTNERS INC., Individually
and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE BLACKSTONE GROUP L.P., et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 08-cv-03601-HB-FM

CLASS ACTION

FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF
DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice to the Class ("Notice Order") dated August 30, 2013, on the unopposed application of Lead Plaintiffs for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, dated August 28, 2013 ("Stipulation"), and following a hearing on December 18, 2013. Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. This Final Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all Settling Parties to the Action, including all members of the Class.
3. For purposes of this Judgment, as certified by the Court's August 13, 2013 Order, the Class is defined as all Persons who purchased the common units of The Blackstone Group L.P. ("Blackstone") in Blackstone's initial public offering ("IPO") or in the open market on the New

York Stock Exchange between June 21, 2007 and March 12, 2008, inclusive, and who sustained compensable damages in connection with any such purchase of Blackstone units pursuant to Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933.

Excluded from the Class are: (i) the persons who submitted valid and timely requests for exclusion from the Class, who are listed on Exhibit A hereto; (ii) Defendants; (iii) members of the immediate family of each of the Defendants; (iv) any Person that acted as an underwriter of the IPO; (v) any natural Person who sold Blackstone common units to the public in the IPO or who serves or served as an officer or director of Blackstone or as a partner of any predecessor to Blackstone, the members of the immediate families of any such persons, and any entity in which any of Defendants have or had a controlling interest; and (vi) the legal representatives, agents, affiliates, heirs, successors-in-interest or assigns of any such excluded Person (collectively, "Excluded Persons").

For the avoidance of doubt, the Excluded Persons are excluded from the Class only to the extent they purchased Blackstone common units in the IPO for their own account and not for or on behalf of a third-party customer or for resale to customers. Further, to the extent that any of the Excluded Persons was a statutory "seller" who resold the Blackstone common units to a third-party customer, client, account, fund, trust, or employee benefit plan that otherwise falls within the Class, or purchased Blackstone common units in a fiduciary capacity or otherwise on behalf of any third-party customer, client, account, fund, trust, or employee benefit plan that falls within the Class, the Excluded Person is excluded from the Class but the third-party customer, client, account, fund, trust, or employee benefit plan is not excluded from the Class with respect to such purchases of Blackstone common units.

4. For purposes of this Judgment, as certified by the Court's August 13, 2013 Order, Lead Plaintiffs Martin Litwin and Francis Brady are Class Representatives, and Lead Counsel

Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP and Brower Piven, A Professional Corporation, are Class Counsel.

5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class. There are no objections to the proposed Settlement.

6. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that the Stipulation and Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties, and that the Stipulation and Settlement are hereby finally approved in all respects, and the Settling Parties are hereby directed to perform its terms.

7. Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof. The Court hereby dismisses, as to Defendants, the Action and all Released Claims of the Class with prejudice, without costs as to any Settling Party, except as and to the extent provided in the Stipulation and herein.

8. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, Lead Plaintiffs shall, and each of the Class Members shall, be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Released Claims against the Released Persons, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release.

9. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Lead Plaintiffs, each and all of the Class Members, Lead Counsel and Abraham Fruchter & Twersky LLP from all claims (including, without

limitation, Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action.

10. Upon the Effective Date hereof, and as provided in the Stipulation, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members who have not validly opted out of the Class, and their respective predecessors, successors, agents, representatives, attorneys, and affiliates, and the respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of each of them, directly or indirectly, individually, derivatively, representatively, or in any other capacity, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged against the Released Persons (whether or not such Class Members execute and deliver the Proof of Claim and Release forms) any and all Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), as well as any claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims.

11. Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiffs and each of the Class Members who have not validly opted out of the Class, and their respective predecessors, successors, agents, representatives, attorneys, and affiliates, and the respective heirs, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns of each of them, directly or indirectly, individually, derivatively, representatively, or in any other capacity, shall be permanently barred and enjoined from the assertion, institution, maintenance, prosecution, or enforcement against any Released Person, in any state or federal court or arbitral forum, or in the court of any foreign jurisdiction, of any and all Released Claims (including, without limitation, Unknown Claims), as well as any claims arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the defense, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims.

12. The Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action (“Notice”) given to the Class in accordance with the Notice Order, entered on August 30, 2013, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all members of the Class who could be identified through reasonable effort, of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, the proposed Plan of Distribution of the proceeds of the Settlement set forth in the Notice, Lead Counsel’s application for attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of expenses, and Lead Plaintiffs’ request for an award of reasonable costs and expenses relating to their representation of the Class, and said Notice and notice procedures fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, and the requirements of due process. There are no objections to the Notice and/or notice procedures.

13. The Court hereby approves the Plan of Distribution as set forth in the Notice as fair and equitable. The Court directs Lead Counsel to proceed with processing Proofs of Claim and the administration of the Settlement pursuant to the terms of the Plan of Distribution and, upon completion of the claims processing procedure, to present to this Court a proposed final distribution order for the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to eligible Class Members, as provided in the Stipulation and the Plan of Distribution. There are no objections to the Plan of Distribution.

14. The Court hereby awards Lead Counsel attorneys’ fees equal to 33.33% percent of the Settlement Fund (including interest accrued thereon), and litigation expenses in the amount of \$1,047,005.77, with interest to accrue thereon at the same rate and for the same periods as has accrued by the Settlement Fund from the date of this Judgment to the date of actual payment of said attorneys’ fees and expenses to Lead Counsel as provided in the Stipulation. The Court finds the amount of attorneys’ fees awarded herein are fair and reasonable based on: (a) the work performed

and costs incurred by Lead Counsel; (b) the complexity of the case; (c) the risks undertaken by Lead Counsel and the contingent nature of their employment; (d) the quality of the work performed by Lead Counsel in this Action and their standing and experience in prosecuting similar class action securities litigation; (e) awards to successful plaintiffs' counsel in other, similar litigation; (f) the benefits achieved for Class Members through the Settlement; and (g) the absence of any objections from any Class Members to either the application for an award of attorneys' fees or expenses to Lead Counsel.

15. The Court also finds that the requested expenses are proper as the expenses incurred by Lead Counsel, including the costs of experts, were reasonable and necessary in the prosecution of this Action on behalf of Class Members. There are no objections to Lead Counsel's application for reimbursement of their expenses.

16. The Court approves payment of \$15,000.00 to Lead Plaintiff Martin Litwin for his reasonable time and expenses (including lost wages) relating to their representation of the Class. Such payment shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund. There are no objections to Lead Plaintiff Litwin's application for reimbursement of his costs and expenses.

17. All fees and expenses awarded or allowed in this Judgment shall, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation, be paid from the Settlement Fund.

18. Lead Counsel may apply, from time to time, for any fees and/or expenses incurred by them solely in connection with the administration of the Settlement and distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to Class Members which, except as expressly provided in the Stipulation, shall be paid from the Settlement Fund.

19. Neither appellate review nor modification of the Plan of Distribution set forth in the Notice, nor any action in regard to the motion by Lead Counsel for attorneys' fees and/or expenses

and the award of costs and expenses to Lead Plaintiffs, shall affect the finality of any other portion of this Judgment, nor delay the Effective Date of the Stipulation, and each shall be considered separate for the purposes of appellate review of this Judgment.

20. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Released Persons, or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of any of the Defendants or the Released Persons in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. Defendants and/or the Released Persons may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment from this Action in any other action in which they are parties or that may be brought against them in order to support a defense, claim, or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

21. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, and expenses in the Action; (d) payment of taxes by the Settlement Fund; (e) all Settling Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administering the Stipulation; and (f) any other matters related to finalizing the Settlement and distribution of proceeds of the Settlement.

22. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event that the Settlement

Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to Defendants, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

23. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.

24. The Court finds that during the course of the Action, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25. The Court directs immediate entry of this Final Judgment by the Clerk of the Court.

DATED:

Dec 18, 2013

IT IS SO ORDERED



THE HONORABLE HAROLD BAER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT A
BLACKSTONE: LIST OF EXCLUSIONS

	<u>Name</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>St</u>	<u>Country</u>	<u>Zip</u>
1	LINA HU	OSHAWA	ON	CA	LJ 7C6
2	ESTATE OF ANTHONY J FABEC	WILLOUGHBY HILLS	OH	US	44094
3	WILLIAM PATTERSON	KINGS MOUNTAIN	NC	US	28086
4	MARY ANN SHOTWELL	VIRGILINA	VA	US	24598
5	RICHARD A LEWIS	BULLARD	TX	US	75757
6	JOHN MERCADENTE, JR.	READING	PA	US	19606
7	DOUGLAS BARHORST	SIDNEY	OH	US	45365
8	PATRICIA G NORMAN	KEARNEY	NE	US	68845
9	ESTATE OF RICHARD A NORMAN	KEARNEY	NE	US	68845
10	ROBERT W DUER	ALTA LOMA	CA	US	91701
11	ANIBAL MARRERO	CORAL GABLES	FL	US	33134
12	RUSS D SONNIER	NEW YORK	NY	US	10150
13	MARCUS E & JOANNE R NORTH	VICTORIA	TX	US	77905
14	SUZANNE EMETAROM	WALNUT CREEK	CA	US	94595
15	WILLIAM W & FRANCES E MAIN	ROBERTS	MT	US	59070
16	ANTHONY BRIENZA	COLD SPRING HARBOR	NY	US	11724
17	SHU HAO HUANG	SNOHOMISH	WA	US	98296
18	KENNETH O PARRIS	ATHENS	GA	US	30604
19	DUFF S MCEVERS	LAGUNA NIGUEL	CA	US	92677
20	SHERRIE L FRANTZ	EUGENE	OR	US	97404
21	DEBBIE CRINK	OMAHA	NE	US	68138
22	MARK A SUMMERS	MINNETRISTA	MN	US	55364
23	PAULINE MEYEROWITZ	FT LAUDERDALE	FL	US	33308
24	ANDREW WAHL	SAN FRANCISCO	CA	US	94115

TAB 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JIMMY LYONS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LITTON LOAN SERVICING LP, *et al.*,

Defendants

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY
FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 8-16-16

Case No.: 1:13-cv-00513-ALC-HBP

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
AWARD OF ATTORNEYS FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND
APPROVAL OF SERVICE AWARDS TO THE NAMED PLAINTIFFS**

The matter having come before the Court on August 12, 2016, on the application of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses incurred in the Action and for Service Awards to the Named Plaintiffs, having considered all papers filed and proceedings conducted herein, having found the Settlement of this Action to be fair, reasonable, and adequate, having considered the six factors enunciated by the court in *Goldberger v. Integrated Res., Inc.*, 209 F.3d 43 (2d Cir. 2000), and otherwise being fully informed of the premises and good cause appearing therefore,


IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

1. All of the capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement Agreement dated as of March 15, 2016 and filed with the Court.
2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this application and all matters relating thereto, including all members of the Settlement Class.

3. Class Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees of \$1,373,500.00 and reimbursement of expenses in the sum of \$26,084 (the "Attorneys' Fees and Expenses"), to be paid from the Settlement Fund. The Court finds that the amount of fees awarded is appropriate, fair, and reasonable given the substantial risks of non-recovery, the time and effort involved, and the result obtained for the Settlement Class. The Litton Defendants shall not be responsible for and shall not be liable with respect to the allocation among Class Counsel or any other person who may assert a claim thereto, of attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court.

4. Each of the named Plaintiffs (Jimmy and Jacqueline Lyons, jointly, and Sheila Heard, individually) that entered into the Settlement Agreement is awarded \$2,500 as a Service Award, for a total of \$5,000, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, in recognition of their contributions to this Action.

IT IS SO ORDERED this August 12, 2016.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andrew L. Carter, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

TAB 6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE PERRIGO COMPANY PLC SECURITIES
LITIGATION

19-CV-70 (DLC)

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND REIMBURSING
LITIGATION EXPENSES

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on February 16, 2022 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Plaintiffs' Motion for an Award of Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that a notice of the Settlement Hearing, substantially in the form approved by the Court, was mailed to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the Settlement Hearing, substantially in the form approved by the Court, was published in *Investor's Business Daily* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses requested;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Amended Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated October 22, 2021 (ECF No. 317-1) (the "Stipulation"), and all capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses was given to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the request for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Lead Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of 33 and 1/3% of the Settlement Fund (the "Fee Award") and \$978,116.75 in reimbursement of litigation expenses (which fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund), which sums the Court finds to be fair and reasonable. One half of the Fee Award and all reimbursable litigation expenses shall be payable to Lead Counsel immediately upon entry of this Order. The remainder of the Fee Award shall be payable to Lead Counsel upon this Court's entry of an order granting Lead Plaintiffs' forthcoming motion for distribution of the Settlement Fund.

5. In making this award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

- a) The Settlement has created a common fund of \$31,900,000 that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, and that numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Lead Counsel;

- b) The fee sought has been reviewed and approved by Lead Plaintiffs, sophisticated institutional investors that oversaw the Action and have a substantial interest in ensuring that any attorneys' fees paid are duly earned and not excessive;
- c) No objections to the fee request have been made by Class Members;
- d) Lead Counsel has conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy;
- e) The Action involves complex legal and factual issues and was actively prosecuted for nearly three years, and in the absence of a settlement, would involve further lengthy proceedings with uncertain resolution of the complex legal and factual issues;
- f) Had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement, a significant risk would remain that Lead Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class may have recovered less or nothing from the Defendants;
- g) Lead Counsel pursued the Action on a contingent basis, having received no compensation during the Action, and any fee amount has been contingent on the result achieved;
- h) Public policy concerns favor the award of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses in securities class action litigation; and
- i) The amounts of attorneys' fees and expenses reimbursed from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable.


6. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding attorneys' fees and reimbursing Litigation Expenses shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.

7. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the parties and Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.

8. In the event the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation.


9. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of February, 2022.



The Honorable Denise Cote
United States District Judge

TAB 7



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE SALOMON ANALYST METROMEDIA
LITIGATION

Case No. 02-CV-7966
Judge Gerard E. Lynch

**PROPOSED ORDER AWARDING (1) ATTORNEYS' FEES,
(2) REIMBURSEMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES, AND
(3) REIMBURSEMENT OF LEAD PLAINTIFFS' TIME AND EXPENSES**

This matter came on for hearing upon the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated as of November 14, 2008 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class, and the Court having considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein and all oral and written comments received regarding the proposed settlement and the request for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses and reimbursement of lead plaintiffs' time and expenses, and having reviewed the entire record in the action, and good cause appearing therefor;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action, Lead Plaintiffs, all Settlement Class Members and the Defendants.
2. All capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth and defined in the Stipulation.
3. Co-Lead Counsel are hereby awarded attorneys' fees of 27 % of the Settlement Fund, valued at approximately \$ 35,011,787 as of January 30, 2009, plus interest accruing thereon at the same rate as earned on the Settlement Fund, until paid. The award of 27 % of the

Q.
2/27/09
Q.


Settlement Fund, plus interest accruing thereon at the same rate as earned on the Settlement Fund, is reasonable and appropriate.

4. Co-Lead Counsel are hereby also awarded \$989,296.11 as reimbursement of their out-of-pocket expenses. This award of reimbursement of expenses is reasonable and appropriate.

5. Lead Plaintiffs Techgains Corporation, Peter Carolan, and Frank Russo, Jr. are awarded \$5,000 each in reimbursement of their own costs and expenses relating to their representation of the Settlement Class. This award of reimbursement of lead plaintiffs' time and expenses is reasonable and appropriate.

Dated: New York, New York

Feb. 27, 2009



United States District Judge
Gerard E. Lynch


TAB 8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE SILVERCORP METALS, INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 12-cv-9456 (JSR)

CLASS ACTION



 ~~[PROPOSED]~~ FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL

WHEREAS:

(A) This Action¹ was originally commenced on or about December 28, 2012. Plaintiffs Charles A. Burnes and Dale Hachiya were appointed as Lead Plaintiffs on April 15, 2013, and the Court approved their choice of counsel, Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross, n/k/a Pomerantz LLP. An Amended Complaint was filed June 11, 2013, asserting claims under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), and 78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the Securities and Exchange Commission (17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5) against Silvercorp, Feng, and Tang.

(B) By order entered August 8, 2013, which the Court reaffirmed in a memorandum entered July 1, 2014, the motion to dismiss Feng and Tang was granted, and Lead Plaintiffs' GAAP claim against Silvercorp was dismissed, but Silvercorp's motion to dismiss was otherwise denied.

¹ Capitalized terms herein shall have the same definitions as set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Stipulation").

(C) On October 23, 2014, Lead Plaintiffs, acting on behalf of themselves and a proposed Settlement Class, entered into a Stipulation with Settling Defendants to settle this Action on the terms provided therein.

(D) Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order entered on November 12/2014, this Court scheduled a Settlement Hearing for February 9, 2015, at 4:00 p.m., to, *inter alia*, determine: (a) whether the proposed Settlement was fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be approved by the Court; and (b) whether a judgment substantially in the form hereof should be entered herein (the "Final Approval Hearing").

(E) The Court has received affidavit(s) and/or declaration(s) attesting to compliance with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order, including the mailing of the Notice and publication of the Publication Notice.

(F) Due to adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class as required by the Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having held a Settlement Hearing on February 9, 2015 and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings in this Action and otherwise being fully informed of the matters herein, and good cause appearing,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

1. The provisions of the Stipulation, including definitions of the terms used therein, are hereby incorporated by reference as through fully set forth herein. All capitalized terms used herein have the meanings set forth and defined in the Stipulation.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and over all parties to this Action, including Settlement Class Members.

3. For purposes of Settlement only, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3), this Action is certified as a class action on behalf of the following persons (the “Settlement Class” or the “Class”):

All persons or entities that purchased Silvercorp common stock on the NYSE market between May 20, 2009 and September 13, 2011 (both dates inclusive). Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, the current officers and directors of Silvercorp, the former officers and directors of Silvercorp, and members of any of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

4. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons and/or entities who excluded themselves by filing a request for exclusion in accordance with the requirements set forth in the Notice, their names appearing on Exhibit A hereto. They are not bound by this Order and Final Judgment (the “Judgment”), and may not make any claim with respect to or receive any benefit from the Settlement. Such excluded persons and/or entities may not pursue any Settlement Class Claims on behalf of those who are bound by this Judgment.

5. The Court affirms its finding that the prerequisites for a class action under Rule 23 (a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure have been satisfied, and certifies the above Settlement Class solely for purposes of this Settlement, finding that: (a) the number of Settlement Class Members is so numerous that joinder of all members thereof is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class; (c) the claims of the Lead Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Lead Plaintiffs have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class; (e) the questions of law and fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members of the Settlement Class; and (f) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

6. Based on the finding that Lead Plaintiffs have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class, the Court affirms its appointment of Lead Plaintiffs as the class representatives for the Settlement Class. The Court finds that Lead Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interests of the Settlement Class, and affirms its appointment of Lead Counsel as class counsel pursuant to Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

7. This Court finds that the distribution of the Notice and the publication of the Publication Notice, and the notice methodology, all implemented in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Stipulation and the Court's Preliminary Approval Order:

(a) Constituted the best practicable notice to Settlement Class Members under the circumstances of this Action;

(b) Were reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of: (i) the proposed Settlement of this Action; (ii) their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class; (iii) their right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement; (iv) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing, either on their own or through counsel hired at their own expense, if they did not excluded themselves from the Settlement Class; and (v) the binding effect of the proceedings, rulings, orders, and judgments in this Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, on all persons not excluded from the Settlement Class;

(c) Were reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to be provided with notice; and

(d) Fully satisfied all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (including Rules 23(c) and (d)), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7), the

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Rules of Court, and any other applicable law.

8. The terms and provisions of the Stipulation were negotiated by the parties at arm's length and were entered into by the parties in good faith.

9. The Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is fully and finally approved as fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class taking into account, *inter alia*, the benefits to the Settlement Class; the complexity, expense, and possible duration of further litigation; the risks of establishing liability and damages; and the costs of continued litigation. It shall be consummated in accordance with the terms and provisions therein, and the Lead Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class Members, and all and each of them, are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement as set forth in the Stipulation.

10. The Plan of Allocation, as described in the Notice and Publication Notice, is hereby approved as fair, reasonable and adequate. Any order, proceeding, appeal, modification or change relating to the Plan of Allocation or the Fee and Expense Award shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of this Judgment, and shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

11. Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members (whether or not they submit a Proof of Claim or share in the Net Settlement Fund), on behalf of themselves and their heirs, executors, administrators and assigns, and any person(s) they represent, shall be deemed by this Order to have, and shall have, released, waived, dismissed, and forever discharged the Settlement Class Claims, and shall be deemed by this Order to be, and shall be forever enjoined from prosecuting each and every one of the Settlement Class Claims.

12. Upon the Effective Date, Settling Defendants, on behalf themselves and their heirs, executors, administrators, insurers, reinsurers, and assigns, and any person(s) they represent, shall

be deemed by this Order to have, and shall have, released, waived, dismissed, and forever discharged the Defendant Claims, and shall be deemed by this Order to be, and shall be forever enjoined from prosecuting each and every one of the Defendant Claims.

13. The Settlement Consideration having been paid to the Escrow Account by Settling Defendants, the Settlement Fund shall be deemed to be in the custody of the Court and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court until such time as the Settlement Fund is distributed or returned to the Defendants pursuant to the Stipulation and/or further order of this Court.

14. The Settling Defendants and all former defendants have denied, and continue to deny, any and all allegations and claims asserted in the Action, and the Settling Defendants have represented that they entered into the Settlement solely in order to eliminate the burden, expense, and uncertainties of further litigation. This Judgment, whether or not it becomes Final, and any statements made or proceedings taken pursuant to it:

(a) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received against any of the Released Parties as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Released Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by the Lead Plaintiffs in this Action or the validity of any claim that has been or could have been asserted against any of the Released Parties in this Action or in any litigation, or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind by any of the Released Parties.

(b) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received against any of the Released Parties as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission of any fault, misrepresentation, or omission

with respect to any statement or written document approved or made by any of the Released Parties, or against the Lead Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any infirmity of the claims alleged by the Lead Plaintiffs.

(c) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received against any of the Released Parties, the Lead Plaintiffs, or any Settlement Class Member as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Released Parties, the Lead Plaintiffs, or any Settlement Class Member with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing as against any of the Released Parties, the Lead Plaintiffs, or any Settlement Class Member in any other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation or this Judgment, provided, however, that, the Released Parties, the Lead Plaintiffs, and any Settlement Class Member may use it to effectuate the liability protection granted them by the Stipulation and may file this Judgment in any action brought against them to support an argument, defense, or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith-settlement, judgment bar, reduction, or any theory of claim or issue preclusion (or similar argument, defense, or counterclaim);

(d) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received against any of the Released Parties as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Released Parties that the Settlement Consideration represents the amount which could or would have been received after trial;

(e) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received against Lead Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Lead Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by Defendants or any former defendants in this Action have any merit, or that damages recoverable in this Action would not have exceeded the Settlement Fund; and

(f) Is not, shall not be deemed to be, and may not be argued to be or offered or received as evidence of, or construed as evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission that class certification is appropriate in this Action, except for purposes of this Settlement.

15. No person shall have any claim against Lead Plaintiffs, Lead Counsel, the Settlement Administrator, the Escrow Agent or any other agent designated by Lead Counsel based on distribution determinations or claim rejections made substantially in accordance with this Stipulation and the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or further orders of the Court, except in the case of fraud or willful misconduct. No person shall have any claim under any circumstances against the Released Parties, based on any distributions, determinations, claim rejections or the design, terms, or implementation of the Plan of Allocation.

16. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, this Judgment shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.

17. The Parties are hereby authorized, without further approval of the Court, to unanimously agree to and adopt in writing such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation and all exhibits attached thereto, provided that such amendments, modifications, and expansions of the Stipulation are done in accordance with the terms of Paragraph 48 of the Stipulation, are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment, and do not materially limit the rights of the Settlement Class Members under the Stipulation. This Court finds that during the course of this Action, all Parties, Lead Counsel and counsel to the Settling Defendants at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

18. Lead Counsel are awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of three million five hundred thousand U.S. dollars (USD\$3,500,000.00) and reimbursement of expenses, including experts' fees and expenses, in the amount of two hundred twenty-six thousand, nine hundred thirty-three U.S. dollars and ninety-three cents (USD\$226,933.93), such amounts to be paid from out of the Settlement Fund. Lead Plaintiffs Dale Hachiya and Charles A Burnes are awarded the sum of twelve thousand five hundred U.S. dollars (USD\$12,500.00) each, as reasonable costs and expenses directly relating to the representation of the Class as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(4), such amounts to be paid from the Settlement Fund.

19. The attorneys' fees and expenses awarded herein shall be payable from the Settlement Fund, 50% payable ten (10) business days after entry of this Judgment and 50% payable upon distribution of the Settlement fund proceeds to the Class.

20. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of the Settlement and any award or distribution from the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Net Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, costs, interest and

reimbursement of expenses in the Action; and (d) all parties for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Settlement.

21. This Action and all Settlement Class Claims are dismissed with prejudice. The parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation or this Judgment.

22. The provisions of this Judgment constitute a full and complete adjudication of the matters considered and adjudged herein, and the Court determines that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment. The Clerk is hereby directed to immediately enter this Judgment.

SO ORDERED in the Southern District of New York on 2/11, 2015.



THE HON. JED S. RAKOFF
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Exhibit A

Persons Excluded From The Settlement

- (1) Richard G. Byerly, 3315 Cargill Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15219;
- (2) Dmitry I. Kamenev, 1075 Myrtle Street, Apt. 13, Los Alamos, NM 87544.

TAB 9

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC#:
DATE FILED: 10/6/2022

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE SUNDIAL GROWERS INC.
SECURITIES LITIGATION

Master File No. 1:19-cv-08913-ALC

This Document Relates To:
All Actions

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF
EXPENSES, AND AWARDS TO PLAINTIFFS

WHEREAS, the Court has granted final approval to the Settlement of the above-referenced class action;

WHEREAS, Levi & Korsinsky, LLP ("Levi & Korsinsky") and The Rosen Law Firm, P.A. ("Rosen Law"), appointed by the Court as Lead Counsel for the purposes of the Settlement have petitioned the Court for the award of attorneys' fees in compensation for the services provided to Plaintiffs and the Class along with reimbursement of expenses incurred in connection with the prosecution of this action, and Awards to Plaintiffs, to be paid out of the Settlement Fund established pursuant to the Settlement;

WHEREAS, capitalized terms used herein having the meanings defined in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement filed December 3, 2021 (the "Settlement Stipulation") (ECF No. 101); and

WHEREAS, the Court has reviewed the fee application and the supporting materials filed therewith and has heard the presentation made by Lead Counsel during the final approval hearing on October 12, 2022, and due consideration having been had thereon.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered:

1. Lead Counsel are awarded one-third (33⅓%) of the Settlement Amount, or \$2,333,333.33, as attorneys' fees in this action, together with a proportionate share of the interest earned on the fund, at the same rate as earned by the balance of the fund, from the date of the establishment of the fund to the date of payment.

2. Lead Counsel shall be awarded expenses in the amount of \$33,773.28.


3. Lead Plaintiff 998735 BC LTD shall be awarded \$10,000 as an incentive award and reimbursement for his lost time and expenses in connection with its prosecution of this action.

4. Lead Plaintiff David Draiman shall be awarded \$10,000 as an incentive award and reimbursement for his lost time and expenses in connection with his prosecution of this action.

5. Except as otherwise provided herein, the attorneys' fees, reimbursement of expenses, and Award to Plaintiffs shall be paid in the manner and procedure provided for in the Settlement Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 6, 2022


HON. ANDREW L. CARTER, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE