



1. Approval of the Agenda for September 3, 2026

- a. Motion: Sarah Jacob**
- b. Second: Isaac Record**
- c. Passed: 15 yea, 0 nay, 0 abstain**

2. Approval of the Draft Minutes for April 30, 2026

- a. Motion: Jean Stebleton**
- b. Second: Shannon Brecheisen**
- c. Passed: 15 yea, 0 nay, 0 abstain**

3. Remarks

- a. Assistant Provost of Accreditation, Assessment, Curriculum, and Compliance, Stacia Moroski-Rigney**
 - i. Dr. Moroski-Rigney welcomed attendees, acknowledged workload.**
 - ii. Thanked Kari Stone-Sewalish**
 - iii. UCAG review of UCC Bylaws, update recommendation in progress**
 - iv. Current Curriculum Landscape information shared. Included discussion of OBBBA, AIM, duration of status updates, CPT for F-1 Students, GenEd, MSU Medicine, Budget Model Redesign. Request for communications between UCC and CCCs, shared increased workload, request for reps to work with colleges to be strategic and intentional with requests.**
- b. Chairperson of the University Committee on Curriculum, Marci Mechtel**
 - i. Shared committee participation of chair (University Council, Faculty Senate)**
 - ii. Asked committee members to introduce themselves**
 - iii. Welcomed, thanked for service to University**
 - iv. Reminded that faculty own the curriculum, college voice**
- c. Associate Director of Curriculum Strategy and Student Learning Initiatives and University Curriculum Administrator, Kari Stone-Sewalish**



- i. UCC MS Team for committee documents, no longer using D2L
 - ii. UCC working documents updated for accessibility
 - iii. New Program and Course Review Rubrics
 - iv. Shared reminders re: attending meetings, sending proxies, forwarding calendar appts
 - 1. Review all program and courses requests discussed prior to meetings
- 4. Returning Business
 - a. None
- 5. New Business
 - a. Academic Governance Orientation
 - i. Committee will be run with Robert's Rules
 - 1. Opportunity to speak, opportunity to be heard
- 6. Comments from the Floor
 - a. Proposal for In-Person Meeting Modality
 - i. Request made from representative based on importance of face-to-face discussion, request for hybrid meeting modality. Discussion from various members included: 1) concerns for schedule challenges with hybrid modality, 2) challenges with location, 3) support for hybrid, 4) ease of doing work with virtual environment (use of chat)
 - ii. Request academic governance office to look for space, determine cost, logistics, when it could be implemented
 - iii. Called the vote to examine the potential of an in-person modality:
 - 1. Motion: Isaac Record
 - 2. Second: Caitlin Benallack
 - 3. Passed: 15 yea, 0 nay, 0 abstain
 - iv. Kari Stone-Sewalish will work to determine costs/needs