2022 Dental Actuarial Analytics PPO Network Study Overview

September 2023

Joanne Fontana, FSA, MAAA
Tory Carver, FSA, MAAA
Tom Murawski, FSA, MAAA

Milliman

Table of Contents

Introduction

The 2022 Milliman Dental Actuarial Analytics (DAA) PPO Network Study is a detailed competitive benchmarking study of the commercial dental market. The study is utilized by the vast majority of the dental market to gain insights into dental network components including discounts and in-network utilization. This year's study includes data on 434 million dental procedures and over $64B in submitted charges. The results of individual reports and data are proprietary to participants of the study. This report serves as an overview of study processes and methodology.

This 2022 Milliman Dental Actuarial Analytics (DAA) PPO Network Study Overview includes study definitions and methodology along with caveats and limitations. Each section details the framework of the study:

- Study Definitions and Methodology – provides definitions, formulas, and context surrounding key subject material in the study. This section includes information related to the following outputs – PPO network discounts, non-PPO network discounts, effective discounts, net contracted discounts, PPO network utilization, and network size. It also details the results aggregation processes relevant to data organized by class, category of service, area, procedure, benefit plan type, and tiered networks.

- Caveats and Limitations – provides clarification relevant to the purpose of the report as well as the responsibilities and liabilities of parties involved.

Study Definitions and Methodology

SUMMARY OF DATA AND KEY CALCULATIONS

Each company submitted detailed claim data for calendar year 2022 service dates, paid through at least January of 2023. Company claims data were reviewed and then organized into a study database off which analysis was conducted. In making claims data consistent across companies, we apply several rules to the data; some notable ones include:

- For claims subject to alternate benefit provisions[1], we ensure that calculated discounts do not include the impact of cost differences between the submitted and paid procedure costs.
- We exclude orthodontia claims from analysis, as well as procedures with no defined fee (e.g., teledentistry) and other unusual procedures (e.g., Dx999 "by report" procedures).

A schedule of average charges was developed for each region using an independent third party data source. The data includes a comprehensive database of dental billed charges by region. For all discount calculations, this schedule of average charges is used as a consistent basis for the denominator across all study participants.

Average contracted amounts for each procedure are calculated from the Allowed Charges in the network claim data.

An average allowed amount for each procedure was calculated from the Allowed Charges across all claim data. For claims from a contracted dentist, this amount is usually equal to the contracted amount. For claims from a non-contracted dentist, this amount is equal to the submitted charge reduced for Usual, Customary, and Reasonable (UCR) or Maximum Allowable Charge (MAC) limits.

The following key discount and network related outputs are calculated:

- **PPO Network Discounts** represent the discount, or reduction in price, off average charges on procedures from PPO network dentists. PPO network discounts represent the savings a PPO network can achieve due to contracted rates with providers. For networks with a tiered structure, there may be contracted dentists outside the PPO network; this calculation does not consider savings from those providers, nor does it consider savings from non-contracted dentists. This calculation does not account for the proportion of claims included in the PPO network, only the price reduction the network is able to achieve.

  The PPO network discount for each procedure was calculated as:

$$1 - \frac{average\ PPO\ network\ contracted\ amount}{average\ charges}$$

  PPO network discounts were also calculated for general dentists only and for specialists only. These discounts use the same average charges since average charges by provider type are not available.

- **Non-PPO Network Discounts** represent the discount, or reduction in price, off average charges on procedures from non-PPO network dentists. Non-PPO network discounts represent savings from providers not in the PPO network. This includes dentists from second network tiers in a tiered network model as well as non-contracted dentists. This calculation does not account for the proportion of claims outside the PPO network, only the price reduction achieved from non-PPO providers.

---

[1] Alternate benefit provisions are defined as a contractual provision that allows a dental plan to cover, and pay for, an alternate, generally less expensive, procedure than the procedure received. For example, for fillings, patients may receive a more 'visually appealing' procedure, but the less expensive, but clinically similar, less 'visually appealing' procedure code is covered by the plan.

- **Effective Discounts** represent total savings achieved by the network. Effective discounts are the discounts off average charges on procedures from all dentists. This calculation considers the entire claims base, including in-network contracted rates, savings from UCR or MAC schedules, and the proportion of claims at contracted providers and non-contracted providers the network has.

  Effective discounts are developed based on all data for each network, and are also based on only data for customers with a passive plan design to minimize the impact of network steerage and make information across companies more comparable.

  The effective discount for each procedure was calculated as:

$$1 - \frac{average\ allowed\ amount\ from\ all\ dentists}{average\ charges}$$

- **Net Contracted Discounts** represent savings achieved from contracted dentists in the network. Net contracted discounts are the discounts, or price reduction, off average charges on procedures from dentists from contracted dentists in any network times the percent of claim dollars from those dentists. This includes dentists in second network tiers in a tiered network model. This calculation assumes no savings from non-contracted dentists and focuses only on network savings. This calculation accounts for both the price reduction achieved for contracted dentists and the proportion of claims the network had in-network.

  Net contracted discounts are developed based on all data for each network, and separately based on only data for customers with a passive plan design (defined below) to minimize the impact of network steerage and make information across companies more comparable.

  The net contracted discount for each procedure was calculated as:

$$\left[1 - \frac{average\ allowed\ amount\ from\ all\ contracted\ dentists}{average\ charges}\right] X\ (percent\ of\ claim\ dollars\ using\ a\ contracted\ dentist)$$

  In the net contracted discounts based on claims for all benefit designs, some of the savings from contracted dentists outside the PPO network may be coming from the use of a MAC benefit design that allows only the PPO network fees, rather than from the contracts in place with those dentists. This is consistent with the methodology for effective discounts based on claims for all benefit designs.

PPO Network Utilization represents the amount of claims the network has with PPO contracted dentists. This is the percentage of claim dollars from PPO network dentists. For networks with a tiered structure, there may be contracted dentists outside the PPO network which are not considered in this statistic. This statistic quantifies the proportion of total claims that get access to the PPO network discounts.

The percentage of utilization from a PPO dentist for each network was calculated as:

$$\frac{[(number\ of\ PPO\ network\ procedures)X(\ average\ charge\ for\ each\ procedure)]}{[(number\ of\ total\ procedures)X(average\ charge\ for\ each\ procedure)]}$$

Network Size represents the number of providers within the PPO network. PPO network size data was provided by Zelis Healthcare[2], based on their comprehensive Network360™ database of provider directories as of April 2023. The study uses Zelis' counts of confirmed practicing providers by network. Zelis provides Milliman with the publicly available information from their database, not proprietary network information that carriers may also share with Zelis.

---

[2] https://www.zelis.com/solutions/hc-insights-360/network-strategy-maintenance-and-sales/

RESULTS AGGREGATION

By class and category of service: Average discounts across each class and category of services and for all services in total were calculated based on the aggregate distribution of procedures across all claim submissions.

By area: Data from 3-digit zip areas was aggregated into 180+ regions. Only networks with at least 500 PPO network claims or 500 total claims in a region were used in that region, and only those with at least 250 PPO network claims in a given benefit type were used in discounts or PPO network utilization rates for that benefit type and region.

Weighted average discounts for each market are based on defined membership groupings rather than the actual size of each network. The weights are based on the average claim volume of the networks in each grouping. For the 2022 study, companies with a "<" membership grouping have a weight of 1. Regions with no membership groupings use weights of 1, i.e., their weighted average is equal to the straight average. Weights used with ">" membership groupings were:

	DAA regions	States
>10,000	21	21
>25,000	22	22
>50,000	19	24
>100,000	20	28

Common procedures: The 55 procedures for which code-specific discounts are given were chosen to cover the most commonly utilized dental services as well as to cover a broad range of service categories. These procedures do not represent the complete list of codes used in aggregate results.

By benefit plan type: For reports that include splits by benefit plan type, the following definitions apply.

- **MAC benefit design** = A plan that uses the PPO fees, or another schedule that will result in balance billing on most claims, as the maximum allowed amount for all claims from a dentist not in the PPO network (or in the first network tier in a tiered network structure).

   The remaining plans are all defined as non-MAC. These would typically allow the full contracted fees for any claims from a supplemental network, and something similar to the 80th percentile of area charges for claims from non-contracted dentists.

- **Passive benefit design =** A plan having the same deductible applied to the same procedure classes and the same coinsurance rates for visits to dentists in or out of any network tier.

- **Strong active benefit design =** A plan having richer benefits (higher coinsurance or lower deductible) for preventive and diagnostic (P&D) claims from a dentist in any network tier than for a non-network dentist. For example: 100/80/50 coinsurance in-network and 90/70/50 out-of-network; or a deductible that is waived for P&D claims only if a PPO dentist is seen.

- **Weak active benefit design =** A plan having the same benefits for P&D claims from all dentists, but having richer benefits (higher coinsurance or lower deductible) for some other type of claims from a dentist in any network tier. For example: 100/80/50 in-network and 100/70/50 out-of-network.

We also received more granular benefit detail from companies with tiered networks, to indicate whether the Strong or Weak Active benefit elements applied to just the Tier 1 (PPO) network, to Tiers 1 and 2 with lower benefits out of network, or whether each tier's benefits were differentiated. For purposes of the study these categories were recombined into the standard Strong and Weak Active categories.

Caveats and Limitations

This report was prepared to provide an overview of the methodology and processes of the 2022 Milliman Dental Actuarial Analytics (DAA) PPO Network Study. This information may not be appropriate, and should not be used, for any other purpose. Milliman does not intend to benefit, and assumes no duty or liability to, other parties who receive this work product. Any third party recipient of this work product who desires professional guidance should not rely upon Milliman's work product, but should engage qualified professionals for advice appropriate to its own specific needs. Any release of this report to a third party should be in its entirety. This report must be read in its entirety and specialized knowledge of the industry is necessary to fully understand the report and its conclusions.

Milliman has developed certain models to estimate the values mentioned in this report. The intent of the models was to develop the 2022 Milliman DAA PPO Network Study. We have reviewed the models, including their inputs, calculations, and outputs for consistency, reasonableness, and appropriateness to the intended purpose and in compliance with generally accepted actuarial practice and relevant actuarial standards of practice (ASOP).

The models rely on data and information as inputs to the models. We have relied upon certain data and information provided by DAA Study participants, an independent third party billed database, and Zelis. We have not audited or verified this data and other information but reviewed it for general reasonableness. To the extent that the data and information provided is not accurate, or is not complete, the values provided in this report may likewise be inaccurate or incomplete. We performed a limited review of the data used directly in our analysis for reasonableness and consistency and have not found material defects in the data. If there are material defects in the data, it is possible that they would be uncovered by a detailed, systematic review and comparison of the data to search for data values that are questionable or for relationships that are materially inconsistent. Such a review was beyond the scope of our assignment.

Milliman's data and information reliance includes 2022 dental claims data from participating companies, benchmark data from an independent third party, and network size data from Zelis.

The models, including all input, calculations, and output may not be appropriate for any other purpose.

Any reader must possess a certain level of expertise in areas relevant to this analysis to appreciate the significance of the assumptions and their impact on the illustrated results. The reader should be advised by professionals competent in these areas so as to properly interpret the results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF QUALIFICATION

I, Joanne Fontana, FSA, MAAA am a Principal and Consulting Actuary for Milliman. I am a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.

Ľ Milliman

Milliman is among the world's largest providers of actuarial and related products and services. The firm has consulting practices in life insurance and financial services, property & casualty insurance, healthcare, and employee benefits. Founded in 1947, Milliman is an independent firm with offices in major cities around the globe.

milliman.com

CONTACT

Joanne Fontana
joanne.fontana@milliman.com

Tory Carver
tory.carver@milliman.com

Nolan Kurtz
nolan.kurtz@milliman.com