



Oneok Inc.

2025 CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2025

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ USD

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

We are a leading midstream service provider of gathering, processing, fractionation, transportation, storage and marine export services. As one of the largest diversified energy infrastructure companies in North America, we are delivering energy that makes a difference in the lives of people in the U.S. and around the world. Through our now approximately 60,000-mile pipeline network, we transport the natural gas, natural gas liquids (“NGLs”), refined products and crude oil that help meet domestic and international energy demand, contribute to energy security and provide safe, reliable and responsible energy solutions needed today and into the future. Since September 2023, ONEOK has embarked on a transformative journey of growth, closing a series of acquisitions that have strengthened our position as an energy industry leader by adding new growth platforms in crude oil and refined products to our stable and reliable natural gas and NGLs businesses. The successful acquisition of Magellan in September 2023 diversified the company’s assets, adding a refined products and crude oil business to ONEOK’s portfolio. Building on this momentum, ONEOK further bolstered its portfolio in 2024-2025 with acquisitions of a Gulf Coast NGL pipeline system from Easton Energy and the acquisitions of Medallion and EnLink. These acquisitions expanded and extended our integrated position in some of the most prolific basins in the U.S. – including the Permian Basin – with connectivity to critical energy demand hubs across the country like the Texas and Louisiana Gulf Coast.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

12/31/2024

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 5 years

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 5 years

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 5 years

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization’s annual revenue for the reporting period?

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

*[Fixed row]***(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?****ISIN code - bond****(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?***Select from:*☒ No**ISIN code - equity****(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?***Select from:*☒ No**CUSIP number****(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?**

Select from:

☒ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

NYSE: OKE

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, this is confidential data

[Fixed row]

(1.19) In which part of the oil and gas value chain does your organization operate?

Oil and gas value chain

☒ Midstream

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

- ☒ Upstream value chain
☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

The companies in our supply chain span many different categories. The top expenditure categories during 2024 include construction, mechanical equipment, engineering and technical services, maintenance services and industrial services. Our supply chain management process includes regularly reviewing our supply chain expenditures. We annually categorize suppliers into tiers based on percentage of ONEOK supply chain spend. Our Tier 1 supplier category is defined as suppliers that make up the top two-thirds of our supply chain spend. Tier 1 suppliers are reviewed annually and may be excluded from Tier 1 status for reasons such as poor performance or lack of continued business needs for their services. During 2024, we classified 161 suppliers as Tier 1 and met our target of completing on-site visits with 70% of our Tier 1 suppliers during the calendar year.

[Fixed row]

(1.24.1) Have you mapped where in your direct operations or elsewhere in your value chain plastics are produced, commercialized, used, and/or disposed of?

	Plastics mapping	Primary reason for not mapping plastics in your value chain
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

1

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

These time horizons are considered in our overall Enterprise Risk Management process. The time horizons and risk determinations look at both likelihood and significance, which is inclusive of, but not entirely dependent on potential financial impacts.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

1

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ No

(2.1.3) To (years)

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

These time horizons are considered in our overall Enterprise Risk Management process. The time horizons and risk determinations look at both likelihood and significance, which is inclusive of, but not entirely dependent on potential financial impacts.

[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both risks and opportunities	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ Other commercially/publicly available tools, please specify :WRI Aqueduct

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management
- ☒ Internal company methods

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Tornado
- ☒ Landslide
- ☒ Heat waves
- ☒ Subsidence
- ☒ Cold wave/frost
- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)
- ☒ Other acute physical risk, please specify :**Toxic spills, pollution incident, seismicity**

Chronic physical

- ☒ Heat stress
- ☒ Soil erosion
- ☒ Solifluction
- ☒ Water stress
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Coastal erosion
- ☒ Soil degradation
- ☒ Change in land-use
- ☒ Changing wind patterns
- ☒ Temperature variability
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Other chronic physical driver, please specify :**Saline intrusion, increased levels of environmental pollutants in freshwater bodies**

Policy

- ☒ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☒ Changes to national legislation
- ☒ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits
- ☒ Poor coordination between regulatory bodies
- ☒ Other policy, please specify :Lack of globally accepted and harmonized definitions, introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants, evolving national and state environmental justice regulatory frameworks

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Uncertainty in the market signals

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)
- ☒ Stigmatization of sector
- ☒ Other reputation, please specify :Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with perceived negative impacts on Environmental Justice communities, Non-compliance with regulations

Technology

- ☒ Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

- ☒ Exposure to litigation
- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indigenous peoples |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please specify : Water utilities at a local level |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Suppliers | |

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

We engage in an annual comprehensive ERM process designed to identify and manage risk. Our annual ERM assessment is designed to enable our Board to establish a mutual understanding with management of the effectiveness of our risk-management practices and capabilities, to review our risk exposure and to elevate certain key risks for discussion at the Board level. Risk management is an integral part of our annual strategic planning process, which addresses, among other things, the risks and opportunities facing our company. Our ERM program is overseen by our chief financial officer. The program is designed to identify, assess,

monitor and manage risks that could affect our ability to fulfill our business objectives or execute our corporate strategy. Our ERM process encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development and implementation of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business. Not all risks can be dealt with in the same way. Some risks may be easily perceived and controllable. Other risks are unknown. Some risks can be avoided or mitigated by particular behavior, and some risks are unavoidable as a practical matter. For some risks, the potential adverse impact would be minor and, as a matter of business judgment, it may not be appropriate to allocate significant resources to avoid the risk. In other cases, the potential adverse impact could be significant, and it is prudent to expend resources to seek to avoid or mitigate the risk. Management is responsible for identifying risk and risk controls related to our significant business activities; mapping the risks to our corporate strategy; and developing programs and recommendations to determine the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward and the appropriate manner in which to control and mitigate risk. The Board implements its risk-oversight responsibilities by having management provide periodic briefing and informational sessions on the significant risks our company faces and the ways in which our company is seeking to control and mitigate those risks. In some cases, as with risks relating to significant acquisitions, risk oversight is addressed as part of the full Board's ongoing engagement with the chief executive officer and management.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

☒ Full

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

☒ Qualitative only

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

☒ As important matters arise

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

☒ Site-specific

☒ Not location specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

☒ WRI Aqueduct

Enterprise Risk Management

- ☒ Enterprise Risk Management
- ☒ Internal company methods

Other

- ☒ Desk-based research
- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Materiality assessment
- ☒ Internal company methods
- ☒ Jurisdictional/landscape assessment
- ☒ Partner and stakeholder consultation/analysis

(2.2.2.13) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Tornado
- ☒ Landslide
- ☒ Heat waves
- ☒ Subsidence
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Storm (including blizzards, dust, and sandstorms)
- ☒ Other acute physical risk, please specify :**Seismicity**
- ☒ Toxic spills
- ☒ Cold wave/frost
- ☒ Pollution incident
- ☒ Cyclones, hurricanes, typhoons
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Soil erosion
- ☒ Solifluction
- ☒ Water stress
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Coastal erosion
- ☒ Rationing of municipal water supply
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Saline intrusion
- ☒ Soil degradation
- ☒ Change in land-use
- ☒ Groundwater depletion
- ☒ Temperature variability

- ☒ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Other chronic physical driver, please specify :**Heat stress, changing wind patterns, precipitation or hydrological variability**

Policy

- ☒ Changes to national legislation
- ☒ Other policy, please specify :**Lack of globally accepted and harmonized definitions, Introduction of regulatory standards for previously unregulated contaminants, Evolving national and state Environmental Justice regulatory frameworks**
- ☒ Poor coordination between regulatory bodies
- ☒ Increased difficulty in obtaining operations permits
- ☒ Changes to international law and bilateral agreements
- ☒ Increased difficulty in obtaining water withdrawals permit

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Uncertainty in the market signals

Reputation

- ☒ Impact on human health
- ☒ Increased partner and stakeholder concern and partner and stakeholder negative feedback
- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g. GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress)
- ☒ Stigmatization of sector
- ☒ Other reputation, please specify :**Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with perceived negative impacts on EJ communities, Non-compliance with regulations**

Technology

- ☒ Data access/availability or monitoring systems
- ☒ Other technology, please specify :**Transition to lower emissions technology and products**

Liability

- ☒ Exposure to litigation
- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations

(2.2.2.14) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NGOs | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local communities |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Customers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indigenous peoples |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Employees | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water utilities at a local level |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investors | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Regulators | |

(2.2.2.16) Further details of process

We engage in an annual comprehensive ERM process designed to identify and manage risk. Our annual ERM assessment is designed to enable our Board to establish a mutual understanding with management of the effectiveness of our risk-management practices and capabilities, to review our risk exposure and to elevate certain key risks for discussion at the Board level. Risk management is an integral part of our annual strategic planning process, which addresses, among other things, the risks and opportunities facing our company. Our ERM program is overseen by our chief financial officer. The program is designed to identify, assess, monitor and manage risks that could affect our ability to fulfill our business objectives or execute our corporate strategy. Our ERM process encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development and implementation of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business. Not all risks can be dealt with in the same way. Some risks may be easily perceived and controllable. Other risks are unknown. Some risks can be avoided or mitigated by particular behavior, and some risks are unavoidable as a practical matter. For some risks, the potential adverse impact would be minor and, as a matter of business judgment, it may not be appropriate to allocate significant resources to avoid the risk. In other cases, the potential adverse impact could be significant, and it is prudent to expend resources to seek to avoid or mitigate the risk. Management is responsible for identifying risk and risk controls related to our significant business activities; mapping the risks to our corporate strategy; and developing programs and recommendations to determine the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward and the appropriate manner in which to control and mitigate risk. The Board implements its risk-oversight responsibilities by having management provide periodic briefing and informational sessions on the significant risks our company faces and the ways in which our company is seeking to control and mitigate those risks. In some cases, as with risks relating to significant acquisitions, risk oversight is addressed as part of the full Board's ongoing engagement with the chief executive officer and management.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Our ERM program is overseen by our chief financial officer. The program is designed to identify, assess, monitor and manage risks that could affect our ability to fulfill our business objectives or execute our corporate strategy. Our ERM process encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development and implementation of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business. Not all risks can be dealt with in the same way. Some risks may be easily perceived and controllable. Other risks are unknown. Some risks can be avoided or mitigated by particular behavior, and some risks are unavoidable as a practical matter. For some risks, the potential adverse impact would be minor and, as a matter of business judgment, it may not be appropriate to allocate significant resources to avoid the risk. In other cases, the potential adverse impact could be significant, and it is prudent to expend resources to seek to avoid or mitigate the risk. Management is responsible for identifying risk and risk controls related to our significant business activities; mapping the risks to our corporate strategy; and developing programs and recommendations to determine the sufficiency of risk identification, the balance of potential risk to potential reward and the appropriate manner in which to control and mitigate risk.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas important for biodiversity

☒ Areas of high ecosystem integrity

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

- ☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water
- ☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to biodiversity

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Our conservation strategy is informed by detailed information-gathering processes that take place before activity begins. This includes extensive environmental review and permitting processes, including consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, state historic preservation offices and local floodplain administrators when applicable. As part of ONEOK's project-planning process, environmental evaluations are conducted for select proposed projects, including asset installations or retirements. These evaluations identify High Conservation Value (HCV) factors within a proposed route, such as:

- Threatened and endangered (T&E) species (federal and state listed) presence.*
- T&E species' critical habitat proximity.*
- Protected lands (state, federal and tribal).*
- Resources of historical or cultural significance.*
- Wetlands and waterbodies.*
- Land use (e.g., forests, farmland and rangeland).*
- Proximity to populated areas.*

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

- ☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it
- [Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring
- ☒ Other, please specify :Frequency a topic was raised by stakeholders, How the business' performance around a topic affects stakeholders

(2.4.7) Application of definition

In 2018, ONEOK conducted a formal materiality assessment regarding sustainability-related topics to identify issues that matter most to our business and stakeholders. The assessment process followed the recommended practices outlined by GRI at the time to understand topics that reflect our company's significant ESG impacts, or those that could substantively influence the assessment and decisions of stakeholders. We reviewed sustainability reporting frameworks, peer company reports, industry association guidance and media sources to identify industry-specific topics of significance. We then identified the materiality of issues based on the following criteria: • Frequency a topic was raised by stakeholders. • Likelihood and ability of a topic to impact our business objectives. • How the business' performance around a topic affects stakeholders. For the purpose of this assessment, we referenced the GRI's definition of materiality, which is different from the definition set by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). ONEOK uses the GAAP definition of materiality in company SEC filings. While we may provide disclosures relating to a certain topic or recommendation, no disclosure in this report, in and of itself, should indicate whether we consider the topic or recommendation material to our business for the purpose of federal, state or other securities laws or disclosure regulations.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

☒ Other, please specify :Frequency a topic was raised by stakeholders, How the business' performance around a topic affects stakeholders

(2.4.7) Application of definition

In 2018, ONEOK conducted a formal materiality assessment regarding sustainability-related topics to identify issues that matter most to our business and stakeholders. The assessment process followed the recommended practices outlined by GRI at the time to understand topics that reflect our company's significant ESG impacts, or those that could substantively influence the assessment and decisions of stakeholders. We reviewed sustainability reporting frameworks, peer company reports, industry association guidance and media sources to identify industry-specific topics of significance. We then identified the materiality of issues based on the following criteria: • Frequency a topic was raised by stakeholders. • Likelihood and ability of a topic to impact our business objectives. • How the business' performance around a topic affects stakeholders. For the purpose of this assessment, we referenced the GRI's definition of materiality, which is different from the definition set by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). ONEOK uses the GAAP definition of materiality in company SEC filings. While we may provide disclosures relating to a certain topic or recommendation, no disclosure in this report, in and of itself, should indicate whether we consider the topic or recommendation material to our business for the purpose of federal, state or other securities laws or disclosure regulations. Page 92, 2025 CSR

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) How potential water pollutants are identified and classified

ONEOK works to minimize the impact of our operations on the environment while maintaining safe and reliable service and following applicable environmental laws and regulations. This includes identifying and classifying potential water pollutants associated with our operations that may have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health if released. Our efforts are largely focused on using an integrated, system-based approach to manage the environmental aspects of our operations to help us identify, assess and control risks. Water use, supply and resource conservation are important components of ONEOK’s operations. By assessing water use through benchmarking and measurement equipment, we can identify opportunities for water reduction and reuse, potential efficiencies and cost savings. Wherever practical, we strive to decrease water use by reclaiming it within operations processes and construction project activities. • Hydrostatic testing: During the testing of new pipelines, we reuse water to test multiple pipe segments or reuse it for alternative purposes where appropriate. • NGL fractionation: Water is a required resource in the separation of NGLs into purity products. Our facilities have adopted processes to optimize water efficiency and reduce wastewater generation by recovering water for reuse. During construction, ONEOK takes proactive steps to assess and mitigate potential impacts to water resources. Our three-tiered conservation approach is critical during the planning and construction of pipelines. During 2024, ONEOK estimates that we avoided more than 60% of the waterbodies and wetlands along planned pipeline routes. This included fully rerouting alignments or using trenchless boring technologies to install pipe under waterbodies and wetlands to help avoid impacts.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Chemicals

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Release of chemicals into the environmental may have detrimental impacts on the local ecosystem.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

☒ Reduction or phase out of hazardous substances

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

ONEOK discharges to fresh surface water in accordance with applicable federal state and local laws and regulations.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Hydrocarbons

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Hydrocarbons are hydrophobic organic compounds with very low solubility. Release of hydrocarbons into the environmental may have detrimental impacts on the local ecosystem.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

ONEOK complies with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations to minimize any potential releases of hydrocarbons into the environment.

Row 3

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Brine

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

Release of brine water into the environmental may have detrimental impacts on the local ecosystem.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience

☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response

(2.5.1.5) Please explain

ONEOK complies with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations to minimize any potential releases of brine into the environment.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Plastics

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☒ Changes to regulation of existing products and services

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

There are increasing expectations that companies across all industries address ESG issues, including climate change. Changes in regulatory policies, public sentiment or widespread adoption of technologies that aim to address climate change through reducing GHG emissions may result in a reduction in the demand for hydrocarbon products, restrictions on their use or increased use of alternative energy sources. These changes could reduce the demand for our services, impacting our business, results of operations, financial position and cash flows. We believe it is likely that future governmental legislation and/or regulation on the federal, state and regional levels, may further require us to limit GHG emissions associated with our operations, pay additional fees associated with our GHG emissions or

purchase allowances for such emissions. Methane Fees and other legislative and/or regulatory initiatives could make some of our activities uneconomic to maintain or operate. However, we cannot predict precisely what form these future legislative and/or regulatory initiatives will take, the stringency of such initiatives, when they will become effective or the impact on our capital expenditures, competitive position and results of operations.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Implementation of environmental best practices in direct operations

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

GHG emissions in the midstream industry originate primarily from combustion engine exhaust, heater exhaust and fugitive methane gas emissions. International, federal, regional and/or state legislative and/or regulatory initiatives may attempt to control or limit GHG emissions, including initiatives directed at issues associated with climate change. Various federal and state legislative proposals have been introduced to regulate the emission of GHGs, particularly carbon dioxide and methane, and the United States Supreme Court has ruled that carbon dioxide is a pollutant subject to regulation by the EPA. In addition, there have been international efforts seeking legally binding reductions in emissions of GHGs.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☒ Other acute physical risk, please specify :Severe weather changes, primarily temperature

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

The threat of global climate change may create physical and financial risks to our business. Some of our customers' energy needs vary with weather conditions, primarily temperature. To the extent weather conditions may be affected by climate change, customers' energy use could increase or decrease depending on the duration and magnitude of any changes. Increased energy use due to weather changes may require us to invest in more pipelines and other infrastructure to serve

increased demand. A decrease in energy use due to weather changes may affect our financial condition, through decreased revenues. Extreme weather conditions in general require more system backup, adding to costs, and can contribute to increased system stresses, including damage to our assets or service interruptions. Weather conditions outside of our operating territory could also have an impact on our revenues. Severe weather impacts our operating territories primarily through hurricanes, thunderstorms, tornados, floods, freezing temperatures and snow or ice storms. To the extent the severity or frequency of extreme weather events increases, this could increase our cost of providing services, including the cost of insurance, and the availability of certain insurance coverages could decrease.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

☒ Increased indirect [operating] costs

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ About as likely as not

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Infrastructure, technology and spending

☒ Improve maintenance of infrastructure

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

ONEOK's enterprise risk management process has identified severe weather events as a risk to our business. To mitigate this risk, the company has taken necessary precautions, including establishing redundant power supplies for critical facilities, capabilities for storing and managing product volumes during weather-related power outages, a 24-hour Pipeline Control Center capable of monitoring weather conditions, and facility-specific Emergency Response, Crisis Management and Business Continuity Plans that include planning and response for weather events.

[Add row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not anticipate being regulated in the next three years

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

Water

(3.6.1) Environmental opportunities identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental opportunities

Select from:

☒ Evaluation in progress

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☒ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

ONEOK's extensive midstream infrastructure systems connect North American energy supply with worldwide demand. Our more than 50,000-mile network of natural gas, NGL, refined products and crude oil pipelines and assets serve customers in some of the most productive U.S. shale basins and key demand centers. Over the last five years, ONEOK has invested nearly \$10 billion in capital-growth projects and infrastructure maintenance. We are focused on safe, resilient and reliable operations, and as one of ONEOK's core values, innovation plays a key role in our business. We seek to develop creative solutions by leveraging collaboration through ingenuity and technology – continually looking for ways to improve and move our company and industry forward.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Likely (66–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

ONEOK's long-term business strategy includes potential renewable energy and low-carbon investment opportunities that contribute to long-term growth, profitability and business diversification.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp2

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☒ Use of low-carbon energy sources

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Key business groups are working collaboratively with ONEOK business segments, customers, service providers and various government agencies to identify potential low-carbon or emission-reducing projects or investments. Examples of opportunities under evaluation include:

- Further electrification of compression assets. ONEOK's Natural Gas Gathering and Processing segment's compression currently is nearly 70% electric, with the Rocky Mountain region's compression more than 80% electric. In ONEOK's Natural Gas Liquids segment, more than 95% of pump stations are electric.
- Sourcing renewable energy for operations. Approximately 30% of the current electrical supply in the regions we operate is sourced from renewables.
- Low-carbon liquid fuels. Our recent multimillion dollar investment at its Galena Park marine terminal will expand potential to provide segregated terminalling and distribution capabilities for Sustainable Aviation Fuel.
- Hydrogen. We provided Letters of Support for two hydrogen hubs that were selected in 2023 for funding by the DOE. ONEOK also is a funding partner on a study, led by GTI Energy, to evaluate the potential for underground hydrogen storage in the Anadarko Basin in Oklahoma. We also participate in part of the DOE's larger H2@Scale initiative to advance affordable hydrogen production, storage, distribution and use across multiple industry sectors. Unless otherwise stated, this report excludes data from Magellan operations acquired in September 2023.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ More likely than not (50–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ Medium

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

ONEOK's long-term business strategy includes potential renewable energy and low-carbon investment opportunities that contribute to long-term growth, profitability and business diversification.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp3

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Energy source

☒ Use of carbon capture and storage

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We are evaluating opportunities to capture CO2 from our operations and either sequester it permanently underground or use it to produce more valuable products. As CCUS technologies continue to advance, we will evaluate our operating assets for opportunities to further reduce CO2 emissions. We are also evaluating CCUS projects as part of the energy transformation. As a midstream service provider, we are evaluating opportunities to capture, transport and store CO2 for existing and new customers that need those services. Examples of these projects include: • Roughrider CO2 Storage Hub – We partnered with the Energy and Environmental Research Center to complete a feasibility study for large-scale CO2 sequestration in North Dakota that is expected to be completed in 2026. • CUSP Midstream Operator Storage of CO2 – We partnered with the Kansas Geological Survey to complete a feasibility study for CO2 sequestration around our midstream assets in Kansas. The study will evaluate the geologic potential to store CO2 from our existing operations and other potential sources. This project was also partially funded by the DOE and includes contributions from several of the DOE's National Laboratories.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☒ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not (50–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

ONEOK's long-term business strategy includes potential renewable energy and low-carbon investment opportunities that contribute to long-term growth, profitability and business diversification.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp4

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Resilience

☒ Other resilience opportunity, please specify :Natural gas infrastructure innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

We recognize the importance of using natural gas infrastructure to accelerate the energy transformation. We are participating in several studies and initiatives to explore how these assets can contribute to the energy transformation. • Pipeline Blending Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) – A HyBlend Project – A DOE initiative to research opportunities for hydrogen blending in natural gas infrastructure. The project includes more than 20 energy industry participants, national labs and academic institutions. Focus areas of the study include materials compatibility research, hydrogen life-cycle emissions analysis and evaluation of the economic performance of technology. • GTI Energy Net Zero Infrastructure Program – A GTI Energyled consortium made up of academia, industry, environmental non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and technical experts working together to determine the most practical opportunities for integrating low-carbon solutions within the current natural gas infrastructure. • Renewable Natural Gas (RNG) – Natural gas produced from landfills, wastewater treatment and agricultural sources is a "drop-in" alternative to fossil fuel natural gas. We are supportive of the development of this industry and the low-carbon alternative it presents. We will continue to use our existing infrastructure to connect RNG production sites and facilitate the movement of RNG to markets and users on our system.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☒ Increased revenues through access to new and emerging markets

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

☒ Long-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ More likely than not (50–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

ONEOK's long-term business strategy includes potential renewable energy and low-carbon investment opportunities that contribute to long-term growth, profitability and business diversification.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ No

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board chair
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Audit Committee Charter

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets

☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy

☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures

☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures

☒ Other, please specify :Biannual examination of the company's ESG practices, performance, risks and opportunities

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

Risk oversight by the board, including regular engagement with and updates by our CEO, executive management and others and a comprehensive annual Enterprise Risk Management (“ERM”) process that encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business. •With the increasing focus on climate-related disclosures, and as part of its oversight responsibilities, our Board sought and received additional updates on this topic from executive management. •As a result, at all 2024 regular in-person Board meetings, and at some telephonic meetings, the Board received updates on climate-related disclosures. Such practice is expected to continue in 2025. We engage in an annual comprehensive ERM process designed to identify and manage risk. Our annual ERM assessment is designed to enable our Board to establish a mutual understanding with management of the effectiveness of our risk-management practices and capabilities, to review our risk exposure and to elevate certain key risks for discussion at the Board level. Risk management is an integral part of our annual strategic planning process, which addresses, among other things, the risks and opportunities facing our company. Our ERM program is overseen by our chief financial officer. The program is designed to identify, assess, monitor and manage risks that could affect our ability to fulfill our business objectives or execute our corporate strategy. We have ESH and Sustainability Leadership Committees that provide vision, leadership, direction and oversight for our ESG practices and ESH programs, processes and management systems. These committees consist of senior leadership representatives and have several responsibilities including: □ Providing regular communication to executive management and our Board of Directors on ESH and Sustainability/ESG matters; □ Promoting and advocating expectations for ESH and ESG excellence across our organization; □ Supporting broad communication of ESH and ESG policies, standards, goals and objectives and promoting their consistent application throughout our company; and □ Overseeing the regulatory landscape with respect to changing ESH and ESG expectations and requirements. Members of these committees routinely include key leaders from various departments across the company including Operations, Human Resources, Legal, Commercial, ESH, Government Relations, Accounting and Investor Relations.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board chair
- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Board chair
- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)
- ☒ Board-level committee

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ No

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Sporadic – agenda item as important matters arise

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Not assessed

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

Other

- ☒ Providing employee incentives related to environmental performance

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our CEO oversees the development, implementation and reporting of the company's ESG practices and facilitates enterprise risk management processes with participation and oversight from the board of directors.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☒ Other committee, please specify :ESH Leadership Committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

We have ESH and Sustainability Leadership Committees that provide vision, leadership, direction and oversight for our ESG practices and ESH programs, processes and management systems. These committees consist of senior leadership representatives and have several responsibilities including: ☐ Providing regular communication to executive management and our Board of Directors on ESH and Sustainability/ESG matters; ☐ Promoting and advocating expectations for ESH and ESG excellence across our organization; ☐ Supporting broad communication of ESH and ESG policies, standards, goals and objectives and promoting their consistent application throughout our company; and ☐ Overseeing the regulatory landscape with respect to changing ESH and ESG expectations and requirements. Members of these committees routinely include key leaders from various departments across the company including Operations, Human Resources, Legal, Commercial, ESH, Government Relations, Accounting and Investor Relations.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- ☒ Other, please specify :ESH Leadership Committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

We have ESH and Sustainability Leadership Committees that provide vision, leadership, direction and oversight for our ESG practices and ESH programs, processes and management systems. These committees consist of senior leadership representatives and have several responsibilities including: ☐ Providing regular communication to executive management and our Board of Directors on ESH and Sustainability/ESG matters; ☐ Promoting and advocating expectations for ESH and ESG excellence across our organization; ☐ Supporting broad communication of ESH and ESG policies, standards, goals and objectives and promoting their consistent application throughout our company; and ☐ Overseeing the regulatory landscape with respect to changing ESH and ESG expectations and requirements. Members of these committees routinely include key leaders from various departments across the company including Operations, Human Resources, Legal, Commercial, ESH, Government Relations, Accounting and Investor Relations.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Other C-Suite Officer, please specify :Chief Legal Officer

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Engagement

- ☒ Managing engagement in landscapes and/or jurisdictions
- ☒ Managing public policy engagement related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing supplier compliance with environmental requirements

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

Our sustainable governance structure begins at the very top. Our Board provides key leadership, guidance and diverse energy industry and business expertise as it oversees executive management's development and implementation of the company's ESG philosophy and practices. Our CEO and executive management engage with management and business segment leaders to implement our sustainability strategy. ONEOK's vice president of environment, safety and health is a member of both our Environmental, Safety and Health ("ESH") Leadership Committee and our Sustainability Leadership Committee and engages with the Board on sustainability, environmental, safety and health topics. This regular communication and engagement includes attendance and participation at various Board and committee meetings, along with regular informational updates. Our Board also conducts a biannual examination of the company's ESG practices, performance, risks and opportunities for Board and committee oversight purposes.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

- ☒ Other committee, please specify :Sustainability Leadership Committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

We have ESH and Sustainability Leadership Committees that provide vision, leadership, direction and oversight of our ESG practices and ESH programs, processes and management systems. These committees consist of senior leadership representatives and have several responsibilities including: Providing regular

communication to executive management and our Board on ESH and Sustainability/ESG matters; Promoting and advocating expectations for ESH and ESG excellence across our organization; Supporting broad communication of ESH and ESG policies, standards, goals and objectives and promoting their consistent application throughout our company; and overseeing the regulatory landscape with respect to changing ESH and ESG expectations and requirements. Members of these committees routinely include key leaders from various departments across the company including Operations, Human Resources, Legal, Commercial, ESH, Government Relations, Accounting and Investor Relations. We also have a sustainability group within our ESH organization focusing on the challenges and opportunities our industry is facing regarding sustainability. The group takes a proactive approach to promoting sustainable ESH and ESG practices and awareness in our business planning and operational processes through the following: Identifying opportunities to reduce company GHG emissions; Evaluating opportunities to improve conservation and recycling programs; increasing stakeholder outreach; Documenting environmental achievements; and further engaging employees in our ESH and ESG sustainability initiatives. Our employees carry out the company's business and ESG practices while serving as points of contact for key company stakeholders.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Committee

☒ Other committee, please specify :ESH Leadership Committee

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues

- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The ESH Leadership Committee provides vision, leadership, direction and oversight for our ESG and ESH programs, processes and management systems.

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Other

- ☒ Other, please specify :Capital Ventures and Alternative Energy Solutions Groups

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Assessing future trends in environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing environmental reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ As important matters arise

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

We have a sustainability group within our ESH organization focusing on the challenges and opportunities our industry is facing regarding sustainability. The group takes a proactive approach to promoting sustainable ESH and ESG practices and awareness in our business planning and operational processes through the following: Identifying opportunities to reduce company GHG emissions; Evaluating opportunities to improve conservation and recycling programs; Increasing stakeholder outreach; Documenting environmental achievements; and Further engaging employees in our ESH and ESG sustainability initiatives. Our employees carry out the company's business and ESG practices while serving as points of contact for key company stakeholders.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

20

(4.5.3) Please explain

We established an internal environmental performance metric in 2014 that became a part of the short-term incentive plan performance criteria for all our employees. Our Agency Reportable Environmental Event Rate ("AREER") is defined as the total number of releases and excess emission events that trigger a federal, state or local environmental reporting requirement (with some exceptions to account for events outside our control, planned maintenance and disparities in reporting requirements across our operations) per 200,000 work-hours. This metric promotes a continued reduction in spills and emission events that are reportable to a state or federal agency. Since the implementation of the AREER metric in 2014, we have seen substantial reductions overall in our rate of reportable environmental events. The 2024 AREER was 0.33, which achieved the maximum goal. As a result, a weighted payout of 20% of target was earned toward the overall corporate modifier.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Corporate executive team

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Bonus - % of salary

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Other targets-related metrics, please specify :Total recordable incident rate, Agency recordable incident rate (AREER)

Pollution

☒ Reduction/elimination of environmental incidents and/or environmental notices (notices of violation)

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Short-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual annual bonus)

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

ONEOK's Agency Reportable Environmental Event Rate (AREER) is an internal environmental metric that influences the short-term incentive (STI) criteria for all ONEOK employees. The AREER promotes a continued reduction in releases and emission events that are reportable to a state or federal agency. While there is not an industry-wide metric for environmental measurement, we understand that targets and incentives play an important role in improving our environmental performance. We continue to set challenging but achievable targets to reduce the number of AREER events and our environmental impacts and consistently look for ways to improve energy efficiency and manage our carbon emissions across our operating footprint, including implementing innovative technologies and undertaking projects to manage our operating emissions. Disclosure and communication of those goals and performance of the AREER metric is performed through the Corporate Sustainability, Environmental, Social and Governance Report and Proxy Statement.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

(4.6.1) Does your organization have any environmental policies?

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(4.6.2) Primary reason for not having an environmental policy

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :In the process of development

(4.6.3) Explain why you do not have an environmental policy

ONEOK is developing a unified ONEOK Management System (OMS) aimed at integrating and driving continuous improvement of operations and risk-management procedures, standards and guidelines across our operating assets, including recently acquired assets. The program aligns with American Petroleum Institute (API) Recommended Practice 1173, Pipeline Safety Management Systems, which provides guidance for operators to establish and maintain a system of interconnected processes for safe and effective operation, as well as a Plan-Do-Check-Act cycle for continuous improvement. The OMS has 15 elements, 30 element co-owners, and numerous internal subject matter experts and stakeholders engaged in reviewing legacy company governance documents and publishing OMS governance documents that align operating practices going forward. The unified system will be designed to facilitate compliance with regulatory requirements found within our operating environment. Each acquired company continues to operate under their established procedures until OMS documents are published that replace them.

[Fixed row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

ONEOK participates in a number of industry organizations that, along with other industry objectives, pursue certain emissions reductions or other sustainability-focused initiatives. As part of our memberships, employees serve on boards, steering committees, task forces and working groups for various industry associations such as the Energy Infrastructure Council (EIC). Task force or committee participation has included focus areas such as air permitting, ESG reporting, emissions reduction opportunities and more. Since 2023, ONEOK has participated in The Environmental Partnership, a voluntary coalition of oil and natural gas companies focused on reducing air emissions and accelerating environmental performance through collaboration and best practices. ONEOK is also a sponsor of GTI Energy's Net Zero Infrastructure Program (NZIP), which is designed to test and map the most practical opportunities for integrating low-carbon solutions into existing natural gas energy infrastructure. The program aims to help identify high-emission areas and sources, provide insights on how to lower emissions across current infrastructure and determine asset vulnerabilities. As a member of the ONE Future Coalition, ONEOK is committed to helping reduce methane emissions across the natural gas value chain and is participating in working toward a sector-specific methane emissions intensity target for our natural gas transmission and storage operations. In 2023, our reported methane intensity for these operations was 0.046%, supporting the sector wide goal of 0.301%. We do not expect this participation to involve creating additional emission-reduction targets beyond what ONEOK already has in place.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, we engaged directly with policy makers

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to have one in the next two years

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ No

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

Our government relations team works with state and federal legislators to ensure ONEOK's inclusion in the discussion of key legislative issues that affect the company's ability to operate. We also work with state and federal regulatory authorities on issues that arise by way of company filings with those authorities. In 2024, we monitored legislation on a variety of issues that could impact our businesses, including natural gas flaring, pipeline safety, local control, eminent domain, permitting reform, infrastructure and operational security and disaster-response efficiency, state and federal tax issues and reclamation and restoration of pipeline rights of way. During 2024, we also paid \$394,150 for state and federal lobbying activities. We belong to several industry associations that participate in the political process. These associations promote collaboration between companies within an industry concerning public policy initiatives and represent industry interests in the legislative and regulatory arenas. Our primary purpose in becoming a member of these industry associations is not for political purposes, as we may not agree with all positions taken by industry associations on issues. The benefits that we receive from industry associations are primarily expertise and the ability to gain insight on industry related matters. In 2024, we paid dues of \$1,906,253 to 58 trade and industry-related associations, of which 11% was allocated by those associations to lobbying expenses and political expenditures.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.1) On what policies, laws, or regulations that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment has your organization been engaging directly with policy makers in the reporting year?

Row 1

(4.11.1.1) Specify the policy, law, or regulation on which your organization is engaging with policy makers

Throughout 2024 and early 2025, we monitored legislation on a variety of issues that could impact our businesses, including tariffs, pipeline safety, eminent domain, permitting reform, infrastructure and operation security and disaster-response efficiency, tax issues, local control, reclamation and restoration of pipeline rights-of-way, methane emissions, financial disclosures and cybersecurity.

(4.11.1.2) Environmental issues the policy, law, or regulation relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.1.3) Focus area of policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Other

☒ Other, please specify

(4.11.1.4) Geographic coverage of policy, law, or regulation

Select from:

☒ National

(4.11.1.5) Country/area/region the policy, law, or regulation applies to

Select all that apply

☒ United States of America

(4.11.1.8) Type of direct engagement with policy makers on this policy, law, or regulation

Select all that apply

☒ Other, please specify

(4.11.1.9) Funding figure your organization provided to policy makers in the reporting year relevant to this policy, law, or regulation (currency)

394150

[Add row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Mixed

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Our government relations team works with state and federal legislators to ensure ONEOK's inclusion in the discussion of key legislative issues that affect the company's ability to operate. We also work with state and federal regulatory authorities on issues that arise by way of company filings with those authorities. In 2024, we monitored legislation on a variety of issues that could impact our businesses, including natural gas flaring, pipeline safety, local control, eminent domain, permitting reform, infrastructure and operational security and disaster-response efficiency, state and federal tax issues and reclamation and restoration of pipeline rights of way. During 2024, we also paid \$394,150 for state and federal lobbying activities. We belong to several industry associations that participate in the political process. These associations promote collaboration between companies within an industry concerning public policy initiatives and represent industry interests in the legislative and regulatory arenas. Our primary purpose in becoming a member of these industry associations is not for political purposes, as we may not agree with all positions taken by industry associations on issues. The benefits that we receive from industry associations are primarily expertise and the ability to gain insight on industry related matters. In 2024, we paid dues of \$1,906,253 to 58 trade and industry-related associations, of which 11% was allocated by those associations to lobbying expenses and political expenditures.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

(4.11.2.10) Describe the aim of this funding and how it could influence policy, law or regulation that may impact the environment

Our government relations team works with state and federal legislators to ensure ONEOK’s inclusion in the discussion of key legislative issues that affect the company’s ability to operate. We also work with state and federal regulatory authorities on issues that arise by way of company filings with those authorities. In 2024, we monitored legislation on a variety of issues that could impact our businesses, including natural gas flaring, pipeline safety, local control, eminent domain, permitting reform, infrastructure and operational security and disaster-response efficiency, state and federal tax issues and reclamation and restoration of pipeline rights of way. During 2024, we also paid \$394,150 for state and federal lobbying activities. We belong to several industry associations that participate in the political process. These associations promote collaboration between companies within an industry concerning public policy initiatives and represent industry interests in the legislative and regulatory arenas. Our primary purpose in becoming a member of these industry associations is not for political purposes, as we may not agree with all positions taken by industry associations on issues. The benefits that we receive from industry associations are primarily expertise and the ability to gain insight on industry related matters. In 2024, we paid dues of \$1,906,253 to 58 trade and industry-related associations, of which 11% was allocated by those associations to lobbying expenses and political expenditures.

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization’s engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:
☒ No, we have not evaluated
[\[Add row\]](#)

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:
☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization’s response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- ☒ In mainstream reports, in line with environmental disclosure standards or frameworks

(4.12.1.2) Standard or framework the report is in line with

Select all that apply

- ☒ GRI
- ☒ TCFD
- ☒ Other, please specify :SASB

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

- ☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dependencies & Impacts |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Governance | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity indicators |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emission targets | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water accounting figures |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Emissions figures | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water pollution indicators |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Risks & Opportunities | |

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

Performance Data Table of Corporate Sustainability Report. Pages 94-114

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

ONEOK-2024-2025-Corporate-Sustainability-Report vF 08072025.pdf

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In other regulatory filings

(4.12.1.3) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.12.1.4) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.5) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Strategy

☒ Governance

☒ Emission targets

☒ Emissions figures

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Dependencies & Impacts

☒ Public policy engagement

(4.12.1.6) Page/section reference

2025 Proxy Statement

(4.12.1.7) Attach the relevant publication

2025 Proxy.pdf
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Pending federal regulation

(5.1.4) Explain why your organization has not used scenario analysis

ONEOK recognizes that climate change may pose risks and opportunities to our business. Our board of directors and executive management team evaluate these factors in connection with corporate strategic planning, including discussions related to reducing emissions and energy transformation. ONEOK's board is involved in our company's Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) process and the evaluation of its effectiveness. Climate-related considerations are included as part of our ERM process. Our Board and executive leadership team evaluate climate-related risks and opportunities in connection with corporate strategic planning, including discussions related to reducing emissions, energy transition, and transformation. ONEOK's Board is involved in our company's annual comprehensive ERM process that encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business. To help guide ONEOK's ESG performance disclosures, the company references several recognized third-party reporting standards and frameworks and monitors dialogue regarding regulations addressing such disclosures. For more information about ONEOK's ESG Performance Data and the location of current disclosures that align with SASB, GRI and TCFD voluntary reporting standards and frameworks, please review pages 105-113 of our 2024-2025 Corporate Sustainability Report, on our website at www.oneok.com, the contents of which are expressly not incorporated herein by this reference.

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(5.1.3) Primary reason why your organization has not used scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?

	Transition plan
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No and we do not plan to develop a climate transition plan within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☒ Products and services

- ☒ Upstream/downstream value chain
 - ☒ Investment in R&D
 - ☒ Operations
- [Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

As we have grown our business and expanded our operational footprint over the years, we have also strengthened our commitment to improve our companywide sustainability program, practices and performance. We continue to actively evaluate opportunities that will complement our extensive midstream assets and expertise, strengthening the role we expect to play in the transformation to a lower-carbon economy. Examples of opportunities under evaluation include: • Further electrification of compression assets. • Sourcing renewable energy for operations. • Low-carbon liquid fuels. • Hydrogen. • Carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) opportunities.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Safety, quality, ethics and environmental factors are integral to our procurement process. We prioritize these values through the following business practices:

- *Treating our suppliers fairly and ethically based on appropriate business practices.*
- *Selecting suppliers based on fair competition. Awards are based on considerations such as whether a supplier can provide the maximum value, which may include factors such as price, service, quality, technology, capability and reliability.*
- *Valuing suppliers who prioritize practices in line with ONEOK values, such as sustainability, ethical workforce policies and commitments to human rights and ethical labor. We promote alignment with our own commitments to these operating principles in our terms and conditions.*
- *Targeting on-site visits with 70% of our Tier 1 suppliers annually to review compliance.*
- *Annually reviewing our supply chain geographical spend and targeting to keep at least 80% of our spend with domestic suppliers.*

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

ONEOK's long-term business strategy includes potential renewable energy and low-carbon investment opportunities that contribute to long-term growth, profitability and business diversification. Key business groups focused on enhancing ONEOK's vital role in a transforming energy future include:

- *Alternative Energy Solutions: focused on the evaluation and development of renewable energy and low-carbon projects.*
- *ONEOK Capital Ventures: focused on pursuing investments in early-stage energy technology companies.*
- *Sustainability: tasked with promoting sustainable practices and awareness in business planning and operations processes,*

and maintaining environmental and safety compliance across ONEOK's operations. These groups are working collaboratively with ONEOK business segments, customers, service providers and various government agencies to identify potential low-carbon or emission-reducing projects or investments. Examples of opportunities under evaluation include: • Further electrification of compression assets. • Sourcing renewable energy for operations. • Low-carbon liquid fuels. • Hydrogen. • Carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCUS) opportunities.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risks
- ☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Managing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and the expectations of our stakeholders regarding environmental stewardship is vital to our long-term success. ONEOK has identified GHG emissions as an important area of focus, and we continuously look for opportunities to reduce emissions through strategic programs and initiatives. Some opportunities to reduce and avoid emissions in our operations include: • The further electrification of our assets. • Implementing additional methane emissions mitigation through best management practices. Examples include methane leak detection and repair, equipment and engineering controls to reduce methane from blowdowns and the replacement of certain natural gas-driven pneumatic devices. • System optimizations such as increasing the utilization of our most efficient assets. • Collaborating with utility providers to increase the availability of lower-carbon power options.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

☒ Capital allocation

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

ONEOK's Board of Directors is involved in our company's annual comprehensive Enterprise Risk Management process that encompasses the identification and assessment of a broad range of risks and the development of plans to mitigate these risks. Such risks generally relate to strategic, operational, financial, regulatory compliance, climate-related considerations, ESG, cybersecurity and human capital management aspects of our business.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.5) Does your organization invest in research and development (R&D) of low-carbon products or services related to your sector activities?

(5.5.1) Investment in low-carbon R&D

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.5.2) Comment

ONEOK Capital Ventures is dedicated to exploring, deploying and investing in innovative and emerging technologies that align with our core business. Our goal is to provide solutions that support a transforming energy future, reduce environmental impacts and deliver both strategic and financial return possibilities. We collaborate with other energy companies to identify and advance new technologies and may also consider direct equity investments in early-stage energy technology companies that are intended to help improve our core business and align with the broader energy transformation. These investments span various aspects of our core business and are often informed by feedback from our business units and operations teams. Additionally, we maintain our investment in an Oklahoma venture capital fund aimed at transforming the state into a hub for energy technology startups to help meet evolving energy demands. Recent technologies and initiatives under exploration through collaboration, direct investments or venture capital funding include:

- Methane Slip Technology — ONEOK is collaborating with an early-stage startup company developing proprietary technology to address methane slip, which occurs when uncombusted fuel escapes from an engine before it is fully combusted. This technology seeks to significantly reduce methane slip from ONEOK’s natural gas compressor engines and measure those reductions, without impacting operations, safety or reliability. We have deployed this first-of-its-kind technology at a select ONEOK compressor site for trial and testing.
- Power from Waste Heat – ONEOK is deploying a technology that turns waste heat into on-site power at a compressor station to make the energy consumption from operations more efficient. This scalable technology reduces Scope 2 emissions, leads to lower power costs and enhances operational resiliency and reliability through power redundancy.
- Methane Emissions Reduction at Natural Gas Compressor Stations – ONEOK is an early adopter of technology designed to capture fugitive methane emissions from compressor piston rod packings.
- Orbital Sidekick – ONEOK continues to collaborate and partner with Orbital Sidekick, a company that generates space-based Satellite Monitoring in Action. In 2024, ONEOK monitored more than 25,000 miles of our operations using Orbital Sidekick satellites.

[Fixed row]

(5.5.7) Provide details of your organization's investments in low-carbon R&D for your sector activities over the last three years.

Row 1

(5.5.7.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Hydrogen

(5.5.7.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Midstream service providers will be needed to transport and store low-carbon hydrogen, provide connectivity between production and demand centers, and potentially help export hydrogen or hydrogen derivatives to international markets. Low-carbon hydrogen developments are still in early stages, but as the technology and markets develop, we recognize that current and future customers may need hydrogen-related solutions. Examples of our hydrogen-related activities include:

- *Regional Clean Hydrogen Hubs – We provided Letters of Support for two hydrogen hubs that were selected in 2023 for funding by the Department of Energy (DOE). The HyVelocity Hub centers on Houston, Texas, and the U.S. Gulf Coast. The Heartland Hydrogen Hub includes projects to produce low-carbon hydrogen across Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, Minnesota and Wisconsin. Both of the funding recipients have proposed hydrogen hub projects that will be situated in areas where ONEOK has extensive operations, and as those projects develop, we will evaluate opportunities to participate.*
- *H2@Scale Texas and Beyond – This program is part of the DOE's larger H2@Scale initiative to advance affordable hydrogen production, storage, distribution and use across multiple industry sectors. H2@Scale's focus includes renewable hydrogen generation, vehicle fueling, market demand and economic analysis, and a study to determine the effects of hydrogen blending in natural gas pipelines.*
- *Developing and Investigating Subsurface Storage Potential and Technical Challenges for Hydrogen (DISSPATCH H2) – ONEOK is a funding partner on a study, led by GTI Energy, to evaluate the potential for underground hydrogen storage in the Anadarko Basin in Oklahoma. A hydrogen economy will require large amounts of storage to accommodate fluctuations in demand, and as a partner in this study, ONEOK has provided insights from our underground storage engineering team based on our experience with natural gas storage in the state.*

Row 2

(5.5.7.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS)

(5.5.7.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Through the acquisition of EnLink, ONEOK now manages a carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) project in partnership with BKV Corp. Natural gas from BKV's operations in the Barnett Shale is sent to ONEOK's processing plant in Bridgeport, Texas. There, CO₂ is captured from the waste stream and sent to a BKV facility where it is compressed and stored underground. The project is estimated to achieve an average sequestration rate of up to approximately 185,000 metric tons of CO₂ per year, reducing emissions from both ONEOK and BKV operations. At the same time, we are evaluating opportunities to capture CO₂ from our own operations for purposes of either sequestering it permanently underground or using it to produce more valuable products. Additionally, we are evaluating other opportunities to capture, transport and store CO₂ for existing and new customers that need those services. Examples of these projects include:

- *Roughrider CO₂ Storage Hub – We*

partnered with the Energy and Environmental Research Center (EERC) to complete a feasibility study for large-scale CO2 sequestration in North Dakota that is expected to be completed in 2026. In 2024, ONEOK worked with its partners to complete a stratigraphic test well in North Dakota to advance the study with the EERC. • CUSP Midstream Operator Storage of CO2 – We partnered with the Kansas Geological Survey to complete a feasibility study for CO2 sequestration around our midstream assets in Kansas. The study evaluates the geologic potential to store CO2 from our existing operations and other potential sources. This project was also partially funded by the DOE and includes contributions from several of the DOE's National Laboratories.

Row 3

(5.5.7.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Electrification of direct operations

(5.5.7.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

Compression assets are vital to efficiently flowing natural gas through ONEOK's pipelines. Electric-powered compressors produce no Scope 1 combustion emissions and provide opportunities to reduce ONEOK's Scope 1 and Scope 2 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. ONEOK's Natural Gas Gathering and Processing segment's compression currently is nearly 70% electric, with the Rocky Mountain region's compression more than 80% electric. Pump stations are also vital to efficiently flowing natural gas liquids through ONEOK's pipelines. In ONEOK's Natural Gas Liquids segment, more than 95% of pump stations are electric.

Row 4

(5.5.7.1) Technology area

Select from:

☒ Alternative liquid fuels

(5.5.7.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

ONEOK's existing infrastructure can connect renewable fuels production to major airports, railroad depots and truck racks. Our assets position us to help address the growing market demand for low-carbon liquid fuels and provide opportunities to build new infrastructure to transport and store these products. • Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) – ONEOK has completed a multimillion-dollar investment at its Galena Park marine terminal to expand segregated terminalling and distribution capabilities for SAF. The investment has been instrumental to facilitating SAF movements to major airports for multiple customers, and the SAF movements are being provided under long-term contracts. • Renewable Diesel – ONEOK has provided renewable diesel storage and truck rack access at its MVP Marine Terminal in

Pasadena, Texas to support its customers' Renewable Diesel movements to various local markets. • Ethanol – ONEOK currently blends ethanol at its refined products terminals on behalf of its customers. In 2024, ONEOK blended approximately 808 million gallons of ethanol at its facilities. ONEOK has participated in multiple USDA Higher Blends Infrastructure Incentive Program (HBIIIP) grants to expand infrastructure at the El Paso, TX, Kansas City, KS and Springfield, MO terminals to drive incremental ethanol throughput and/or bio-fuels blending. • ONEOK continues to look to increase its capabilities to facilitate the use of low-carbon fuels across its extensive refined products network

Row 5

(5.5.7.1) Technology area

Select from:
☒ Other, please specify :Renewable energy

(5.5.7.6) Explain how your R&D investment in this technology area is aligned with your climate commitments and/or climate transition plan

ONEOK’s assets provide opportunities to source energy produced from wind, solar and other renewables. We have installed solar powered equipment at select facilities and purchase electricity from providers that generate power from renewable sources in certain regions. Approximately 34% of the current electrical supply in the regions where we operate is sourced from renewables, a 10% increase from 2019.
[Add row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing of environmental externalities	Primary reason for not pricing environmental externalities
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

	Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues	Environmental issues covered	Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues
Suppliers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	<i>Select all that apply</i>	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority
Customers	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	<i>Select from:</i>
Investors and shareholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	<i>Select from:</i>
Other value chain stakeholders	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change	<i>Select from:</i>

[Fixed row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

To manage our emissions, we focus on operational efficiencies, investments in emissions-reducing process improvements, leveraging new technologies and exploring lower-carbon business opportunities. This includes expansion of our natural gas gathering and processing and natural gas liquids infrastructure in the Williston Basin, which led to a significant reduction of third-party flared gas by our customers.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

- ☒ Investors and shareholders

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

- ☒ Educate and work with stakeholders on understanding and measuring exposure to environmental risks
- ☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

Innovation and collaboration

- ☒ Collaborate with stakeholders on innovations to reduce environmental impacts in products and services

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

To manage our emissions, we focus on operational efficiencies, investments in emissions-reducing process improvements, leveraging new technologies and exploring lower-carbon business opportunities. This includes expansion of our natural gas gathering and processing and natural gas liquids infrastructure in the Williston Basin, which led to a significant reduction of third-party flared gas by our customers.

[Add row]

(5.12) Indicate any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives you could collaborate on with specific CDP Supply Chain members.

Row 1

(5.12.1) Requesting member

Select from:

☒ The Dow Chemical Company

(5.12.2) Environmental issues the initiative relates to

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(5.12.4) Initiative category and type

Change to provision of goods and services

☒ Reduce water-related impacts

(5.12.5) Details of initiative

ONEOK's Kansas City reclamation facility processes petroleum contact water (PCW), tank bottom material (TBM) and off-specification petroleum products, which result from refined products and crude oil operations. Usable hydrocarbons are recovered through filtration and separation and returned to our supply system. PCW is treated and discharged safely according to applicable regulatory permits, while TBM solids are dried and disposed of as nonhazardous landfill material. ONEOK also uses third-party reclamation facilities across our operations to enhance waste management efficiency. Combined with our own facility, these efforts enable the reclamation of 99% of our TBM and PCW, meeting EPA waste disposal guidelines.

(5.12.6) Expected benefits

Select all that apply

☒ Improved resource use and efficiency

☒ Improved water stewardship

(5.12.7) Estimated timeframe for realization of benefits

Select from:

☒ 0-1 year

(5.12.8) Are you able to estimate the lifetime CO2e and/or water savings of this initiative?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(5.13) Has your organization already implemented any mutually beneficial environmental initiatives due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement?

	Environmental initiatives implemented due to CDP Supply Chain member engagement	Primary reason for not implementing environmental initiatives
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not an immediate strategic priority

[Fixed row]

C6. Environmental Performance - Consolidation Approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

	Consolidation approach used	Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach
Climate change	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control approach is the most common approach for reporting in our sector.</i>
Water	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control approach is the most common approach for reporting in our sector.</i>
Plastics	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control approach is the most common approach for reporting in our sector.</i>
Biodiversity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operational control	<i>The operational control approach is the most common approach for reporting in our sector.</i>

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate Change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

(7.1.1.1) Has there been a structural change?

Select all that apply

☒ Yes, an acquisition

(7.1.1.2) Name of organization(s) acquired, divested from, or merged with

Enlink and Medallion

(7.1.1.3) Details of structural change(s), including completion dates

ONEOK completed the acquisitions of Medallion Midstream (Medallion) on Oct. 31, 2024, and EnLink Midstream (EnLink) on Jan. 31, 2025. These transactions build on the company's acquisition of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) in September 2023, reflecting ONEOK's intentional and disciplined approach to strategic acquisitions. The 2024-2025 Corporate Sustainability Report primarily presents issues, impacts and data from the fiscal year ending Dec. 31, 2024. Information reflects all ONEOK-operated assets and ONEOK employees, unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023.

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	<i>Select all that apply</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.3) Have your organization's base year emissions and past years' emissions been recalculated as a result of any changes or errors reported in 7.1.1 and/or 7.1.2?

	Base year recalculation	Past years' recalculation
	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, because the operations acquired or divested did not exist in the base year	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ US EPA Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
- ☒ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

☒ We have no operations where we are able to access electricity supplier emission factors or residual emissions factors and are unable to report a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

For Scope 2 GHG emissions, we report emissions annually attributable to electricity consumption using the GHG Protocol's location-based methodology and EPA published state-level grid data.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions that are within your selected reporting boundary which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

EnLink emissions are not included.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2 (location-based)
- ☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.7) Date of completion of acquisition or merger

01/31/2025

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions excluded due to recent acquisition.

Row 2

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Fleet vehicles

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are relevant and calculated, but not disclosed

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.5

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions have been calculated and found to be less than 1% of Scope 1 emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Emissions were calculated based on the miles driven during the year and EPA emission factors.

Row 3

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Company jet

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are relevant and calculated, but not disclosed

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.1

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions have been calculated and found to be less than 1% of Scope 1 emissions.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Emissions were calculated based on fuel consumed during the year and EPA emission factors.

Row 4

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Medallion emissions are not included.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions excluded due to a recent acquisition or merger

(7.4.1.7) Date of completion of acquisition or merger

10/31/2024

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Emissions excluded due to recent acquisition.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

4200000.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities. Scope 1 and 2 emissions are included in our company specific GHG reduction target.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3000000.0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors. Scope 1 and 2 emissions are included in our company specific GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

12/31/2019

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Not currently included in our GHG reduction target.
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3900000

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C, W, and Y. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3700000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2023

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

Past year 2

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3700000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2022

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

Past year 3

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3800000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2021

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

Past year 4

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3800000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2020

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

Past year 5

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4200000

(7.6.2) End date

12/31/2019

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The emission calculation methodology matches that found in the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP) subparts C and W. The emission figures utilize an operational boundary at both GHGRP reporting and non-reporting facilities.

[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3600000

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3100000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2023

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

Past year 2

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2900000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2022

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

Past year 3

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2700000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2021

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

Past year 4

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2500000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2020

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

Past year 5

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3000000

(7.7.3) End date

12/31/2019

(7.7.4) Methodological details

Emissions are estimated using the Location-Based approach as defined by the GHG Protocol's Scope 2 Guidance. Emissions factors are obtained from the U.S. EPA's Emissions Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID) utilizing current state-level factors.

[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Capital goods

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, not yet calculated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have evaluated this category previously and determined it to be immaterial at less than 0.5% of total scope 1 and 2 emissions.

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category.

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, part of the Mandatory Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule.

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

74500000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.5) Please explain

(Remove this pg. number later...pg. 27 of CSR) Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP.

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Since we have operations across the natural gas and natural gas liquid value chain, including gathering, processing, transmission and storage of natural gas and natural gas liquids, we do not have end of life treatment of sold products. Therefore, we do not anticipate this being a material source of Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions.

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category. Therefore we are unable to evaluate if this will be a significant source of Scope 3 emissions.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Please explain

Since we have operations across the natural gas and natural gas liquid value chain, including gathering, processing, transmission and storage of natural gas and natural gas liquids, we do not have franchises.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category. Therefore we are unable to evaluate if this will be a significant source of Scope 3 emissions.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category. Therefore we are unable to evaluate if this will be a significant source of Scope 3 emissions.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not evaluated

(7.8.5) Please explain

We have not evaluated this category. Therefore we are unable to evaluate if this will be a significant source of Scope 3 emissions.

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2023

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

67100000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP. Suppliers of certain products that would result in GHG emissions if combusted or oxidized are required to report under these rules. This calculation includes emission equivalents of products supplied assuming complete combustion or oxidation of NGL and certain petroleum products that ONEOK delivers to customers, calculated using the annual volume of each product and multiplying it by an emission factor. If the products are combusted or oxidized by downstream customers, that portion would be considered Scope 1 direct emissions for the customer at the point combustion or oxidation occurs. Scope 3 does not include the sale of natural gas to end-users.

Past year 2

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2022

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

64300000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP. Suppliers of certain products that would result in GHG emissions if combusted or oxidized are required to report under these rules. This calculation includes emission equivalents of products supplied assuming complete combustion or oxidation of NGL and certain petroleum products that ONEOK delivers to customers, calculated using the annual volume of each product and multiplying it by an emission factor. If the products are combusted or oxidized by downstream customers, that portion would be considered Scope 1 direct emissions for the customer at the point combustion or oxidation occurs. Scope 3 does not include the sale of natural gas to end-users.

Past year 3

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2021

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

66700000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP. Suppliers of certain products that would result in GHG emissions if combusted or oxidized are required to report under these rules. This calculation includes emission equivalents of products supplied assuming complete combustion or oxidation of NGL and certain petroleum products that ONEOK delivers to customers, calculated using the annual volume of each product and multiplying it by an emission factor. If the products are combusted or oxidized by downstream customers, that portion would be considered Scope 1 direct emissions for the customer at the point combustion or oxidation occurs. Scope 3 does not include the sale of natural gas to end-users.

Past year 4

(7.8.1.1) End date

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

60400000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP. Suppliers of certain products that would result in GHG emissions if combusted or oxidized are required to report under these rules. This calculation includes emission equivalents of products supplied assuming complete combustion or oxidation of NGL and certain petroleum products that ONEOK delivers to customers, calculated using the annual volume of each product and multiplying it by an emission factor. If the products are combusted or oxidized by downstream customers, that portion would be considered Scope 1 direct emissions for the customer at the point combustion or oxidation occurs. Scope 3 does not include the sale of natural gas to end-users.

Past year 5

(7.8.1.1) End date

12/31/2019

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

57800000

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

Scope 3 GHG Emissions Attributable to Potential Emissions Resulting from NGL and Petroleum Products Supplied - Emissions reported according to Subpart NN – Suppliers of Natural Gas & Natural Gas Liquids, and Subpart MM - Suppliers of Petroleum Products, both of which are part of the EPA GHGRP. Suppliers of certain products that would result in GHG emissions if combusted or oxidized are required to report under these rules. This calculation includes emission equivalents of products supplied assuming complete combustion or oxidation of NGL and certain petroleum products that ONEOK delivers to customers, calculated using the annual volume of each product and multiplying it by an emission factor. If the products are combusted or oxidized by downstream customers, that portion would be considered Scope 1 direct emissions for the customer at the point combustion or oxidation occurs. Scope 3 does not include the sale of natural gas to end-users.
[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:
☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:
☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:
☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

ONEOK-FY24-PwC-Limited-Assurance-Report.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

1

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select from:
☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 location-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

ONEOK-FY24-PwC-Limited-Assurance-Report.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

ONEOK-FY24-PwC-Limited-Assurance-Report.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

1

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select from:

☒ Attestation standards established by AICPA (AT105)

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

160000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

13.7

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The calculation does not include Magellan renewable energy consumption for 2023 but does include it for 2024. Renewable energy consumed increased by 13.7% year over year in the states that we operate in according the EPA e-GRID data. The change in emissions calculation used the company average emission factor, based on the usage and emission factors in each state, for the current and previous year. The consumption data was held constant and based off of the current year. The difference is provided.

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

670000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

9.8

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

Due to the Magellan acquisition alone, emissions increased by 670,000 MT CO2e.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

80000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

1.2

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

The acquisition of Magellan was not accounted for in these calculations. Natural gas gathered and processing volumes increased by 2.6% year over year while Scope 1 & 2 emissions increased 1.2%.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

No change from previous year
[Fixed row]

(7.12) Are carbon dioxide emissions from biogenic carbon relevant to your organization?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

3400000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH₄

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO₂e)

500000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ N₂O

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO₂e)

2000

(7.15.1.3) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5 – 100 year)

[\[Add row\]](#)

(7.15.4) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions from oil and gas value chain production activities by greenhouse gas type.

Row 1

(7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

☒ Combustion (excluding flaring)

(7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

☒ Gas

(7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO₂ emissions (metric tons CO₂)

3100000

(7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH₄)

60

(7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

3100000

(7.15.4.7) Comment

Emissions are calculated using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP).

Row 2

(7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

☒ Flaring

(7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

☒ Gas

(7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

220000

(7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

619

(7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

230000

(7.15.4.7) Comment

Emissions are calculated using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP). Row 3

Row 3

(7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

☒ Venting

(7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

☒ Gas

(7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

290

(7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

12000

(7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

330000

(7.15.4.7) Comment

Emissions are calculated using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP). Row 3

Row 4

(7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

☒ Fugitives

(7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

☒ Gas

(7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

22000

(7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

6000

(7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

190000

(7.15.4.7) Comment

Emissions are calculated using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP). Row 3

Row 5

(7.15.4.1) Emissions category

Select from:

☒ Process (feedstock) emissions

(7.15.4.2) Value chain

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.15.4.3) Product

Select from:

☒ Gas

(7.15.4.4) Gross Scope 1 CO2 emissions (metric tons CO2)

59000

(7.15.4.5) Gross Scope 1 methane emissions (metric tons CH4)

120

(7.15.4.6) Total gross Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

62000

(7.15.4.7) Comment

Emissions are calculated using the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP). Row 3
[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
United States of America	3900000	3600000	0

[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Natural Gas Liquids (NGL)	1200000
Row 2	Natural Gas Gathering and Processing (NGGP)	1800000
Row 3	Natural Gas Pipelines (NGP)	700000
Row 4	Refined Products and Crude (RPC)	190000

[Add row]

(7.19) Break down your organization's total gross global Scope 1 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

	Gross Scope 1 emissions, metric tons CO2e	Comment
Oil and gas production activities (midstream)	3900000	ONEOK is a 100% pure-play midstream service provider.

[Fixed row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply

☒ By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Natural Gas Pipelines (NGP)	20000
Row 2	Corporate	3000
Row 3	Natural Gas Gathering and Processing (NGGP)	2300000
Row 4	Natural Gas Liquids (NGL)	800000
Row 5	Refined Products and Crude (RPC)	500000

[Add row]

(7.21) Break down your organization's total gross global Scope 2 emissions by sector production activity in metric tons CO2e.

	Scope 2, location-based, metric tons CO2e	Comment
Oil and gas production activities (midstream)	3600000	ONEOK is a 100% pure-play midstream service provider.

[Fixed row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

	Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)	Please explain
Consolidated accounting group	3900000	3600000	Reporting alignment described in our 2024-2025 Corporate Sustainability Report.
All other entities	0	0	Rich text input [must be under 2500 characters]

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.

Row 1

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

ONEOK Field Services Company, L.L.C.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Oil & gas extraction

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ Other unique identifier, please specify :FEIN

(7.23.1.11) Other unique identifier

73-1562383

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

900000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

100000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

The subsidiaries with the largest contributions to our GHG inventory have been selected.

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

ONEOK Rockies Midstream, L.L.C.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Oil & gas extraction

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ Other unique identifier, please specify :FEIN

(7.23.1.11) Other unique identifier

84-1526530

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

900000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2200000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

The subsidiaries with the largest contributions to our GHG inventory have been selected.

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

ONEOK Hydrocarbon L.L.C.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Oil & gas extraction

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ Other unique identifier, please specify :FEIN

(7.23.1.11) Other unique identifier

37-1512242

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1200000

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

280000

(7.23.1.15) Comment

The subsidiaries with the largest contributions to our GHG inventory have been selected.

[Add row]

(7.24) Report your methane emissions as percentages of natural gas and hydrocarbon production or throughput.

Row 1

(7.24.1) Oil and gas business division

Select all that apply

☒ Midstream

(7.24.2) Estimated total methane emitted expressed as % of natural gas production or throughput at given division

0.046

(7.24.3) Estimated total methane emitted expressed as % of total hydrocarbon production or throughput at given division

0.046

(7.24.4) Indicate whether your methane emissions figure is based on observational data

Select from:

☒ Both observational data and estimated or modelled data

(7.24.5) Details of methodology

Intensity is prepared using the ONE Future Segment Methane Intensity based on PHMSA output (%) for our natural gas pipeline business segment. Natural gas throughput is used for both intensities as this is the only hydrocarbon transported. The estimated total methane emitted is for the year 2023. The estimated total methane emitted for the year 2024 has not been finalized.

[Add row]

(7.28) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

(7.28.1) Do you plan to develop your capabilities to allocate emissions to your customers in the future?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.28.2) Describe how you plan to develop your capabilities

We work with our customers to provide product specific emission intensities as requested. We are currently analyzing our approach and anticipate further developing our capabilities as the demand continues to grow year over year.

[Fixed row]

(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2600000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

5000000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

7600000.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ Unable to confirm heating value

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

2800000

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

4800000

(7.30.1.4) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

7600000.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.16) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

United States of America

(7.30.16.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

7500000

(7.30.16.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.16.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

7500000.00

[Fixed row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.0003

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

7543486

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

21698000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Location-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

10

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

☒ Acquisitions

(7.45.9) Please explain

Year over year revenue increased by 23%. Scope 1 emissions increased by 4.7% and Scope 2 emissions increased by 18.6%
[Add row]

(7.48) Provide the intensity figures for Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e) per unit of hydrocarbon category.

Row 1

(7.48.1) Unit of hydrocarbon category (denominator)

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Thousand barrels of BOE

(7.48.2) Metric tons CO2e from hydrocarbon category per unit specified

3.25

(7.48.3) % change from previous year

54

(7.48.4) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.48.5) Reason for change

Acquisition of Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P.

(7.48.6) Comment

In accordance with EIC/GPA Midstream ESG Reporting Template – Version 2.0

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Number of Agency Reportable Environmental Events

(7.52.2) Metric value

0.33

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Number of reportable releases and emissions events

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

200,000 hours worked

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

3

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

AREER is an internal environmental metric that promotes continued reductions in releases and emissions events. The AREER metric has been an element of ONEOK's STI Plan for all employees since 2014, emphasizing ONEOK's expectation for continuous improvement. AREER is defined as the total number of releases and excess emission events that trigger a federal, state or local environmental-reporting requirement (with some exceptions to account for events outside our control, planned maintenance and disparity in reporting requirements across our operations) per 200,000 work-hours. Since implementation of the metric, we have seen substantial overall reductions in our rate of reportable environmental events. In 2024, we set an AREER target of 0.74 and achieved a result of 0.33, which was 55% below (better than) the company target of 0.74.

[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

☒ Intensity target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ No, but we are reporting another target that is science-based

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/22/2021

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO2)

☒ Methane (CH4)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N2O)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Location-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

12/31/2019

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

4200000

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3000000

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

7200000.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

12/31/2030

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

30

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

5040000.000

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

3600000

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1900000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

5500000.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway**(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions**

The target applies to assets that ONEOK operated in 2019 to provide a consistent baseline to measure against. Following our recent acquisitions, including the Magellan, Medallion, and EnLink acquisitions, we continue to integrate and evaluate these new business operations.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

To achieve a combined 2.2 million metric ton CO2e reduction.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

As of year-end 2024, we had achieved reductions totaling approximately 1.7 MMT, or approximately 77% toward our targeted 2.2 MMT reductions.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No[\[Add row\]](#)**(7.53.2) Provide details of your emissions intensity targets and progress made against those targets.****Row 1****(7.53.2.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

☒ Int 1

(7.53.2.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we consider this a science-based target, but we have not committed to seek validation of this target by the Science Based Targets initiative within the next two years

(7.53.2.4) Target ambition

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Below 0.301% as outlined in the annual ONE Future report

(7.53.2.5) Date target was set

01/01/2023

(7.53.2.6) Target coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Business division

(7.53.2.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

- ☒ Methane (CH₄)

(7.53.2.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1

(7.53.2.11) Intensity metric

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Mass of methane emitted per mass of methane transported

(7.53.2.12) End date of base year

12/31/2023

(7.53.2.13) Intensity figure in base year for Scope 1

0.046

(7.53.2.33) Intensity figure in base year for all selected Scopes

0.0460000000

(7.53.2.34) % of total base year emissions in Scope 1 covered by this Scope 1 intensity figure

5.6

(7.53.2.54) % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes covered by this intensity figure

5.6

(7.53.2.55) End date of target

12/31/2023

(7.53.2.56) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

0.3

(7.53.2.57) Intensity figure at end date of target for all selected Scopes

0.0458620000

(7.53.2.58) % change anticipated in absolute Scope 1+2 emissions

0

(7.53.2.60) Intensity figure in reporting year for Scope 1

0.046

(7.53.2.80) Intensity figure in reporting year for all selected Scopes

0.0460000000

(7.53.2.81) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.2.82) % of target achieved relative to base year

0.00

(7.53.2.83) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Achieved and maintained

(7.53.2.85) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The ONE Future target applies to our transmission and storage operations. The sector specific target for this sector is 0.301%. Our 2023 performance is the fourth straight year since enrolling into the ONE Future Coalition.

(7.53.2.86) Target objective

ONE Future's mission is to ensure that natural gas is a long-term sustainable fuel in a net zero future by reducing member companies' ratio of methane emissions to natural gas produced (methane intensity) to 1.0% or less by 2025. ONE Future's approach is science-based and goal oriented, but flexible in that member companies can choose how they cost-effectively and efficiently achieve their methane intensity goal for their particular assets – whether that is by deploying an innovative technology, modifying a work practice, implementing best practices, or in some cases replacing or retrofitting methane emitting pipe or equipment. What is important is that each company demonstrates progress toward the target, which in turn allows the members, as a collective, to achieve ONE Future's overall emissions intensity target of 1.0% or less by 2025. More information on the history behind the 1% target can be found within the ONE Future Annual Report.

(7.53.2.88) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

(7.53.2.89) List the emissions reduction initiatives which contributed most to achieving this target

Note: The information provided is for the year 2023. The 2024 intensity figure is not finalized. Implementing additional methane emissions mitigation through best management practices. Examples include: - Methane leak detection and repair - Equipment and engineering controls to reduce methane from blowdowns - Replacement of certain natural gas-driven pneumatic devices.

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ No other climate-related targets

(7.54.4) Indicate which targets reported in 7.53.1/2 incorporate methane emissions, or if you do not have a methane-specific emissions reduction target for your oil and gas activities, please explain why not and forecast how your methane emissions will change over the next five years.

Both targets incorporate methane emissions.

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	0	`Numeric input
To be implemented	24	90000
Implementation commenced	0	0
Implemented	14	590000
Not to be implemented	0	`Numeric input

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Fugitive emissions reductions

☒ Oil/natural gas methane leak capture/prevention

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

109000

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

In 2024, we voluntarily increased deployment of facility-level OGI surveys at approximately 50 additional ONEOK facilities, allowing for quarterly monitoring to catch potential fugitive methane emissions and make repairs when needed. This increased monitoring and repairing resulted in an estimated fugitive emissions reduction of nearly 20,000 MT CO₂e. We increased cathodic protection, or corrosion prevention, beyond regulatory requirements, on select gathering systems that resulted in an annual reduction of approximately 65,000 MT CO₂e. Additionally, we eliminated venting from compressor wet seals at one of our natural gas processing plants in Oklahoma by routing the vents to a control device, reducing facility wide methane emissions by more than 900 MT (24,000 MT CO₂e) compared with 2019 emissions.

Row 3

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Non-energy industrial process emissions reductions

☒ Process equipment replacement

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO2e savings (metric tonnes CO2e)

7000

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ <1 year

(7.55.2.9) Comment

In 2024, we have removed or replaced natural gas-driven pneumatic devices with zero-bleed devices, resulting in a reduction of nearly 300 MT methane (more than 7,000 MT CO₂e).

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

400000

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

0

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ No payback

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ Ongoing

(7.55.2.9) Comment

Systemwide optimizations, including the retirement or closure of assets, has an impact on our emissions footprint. The represented emissions savings is the resulting Scope 1 and Scope 2 savings from 6 projects completed in 2024.

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

In September 2021, ONEOK announced a companywide GHG emissions reduction target. ONEOK is targeting a 2.2 million metric ton (MMT) reduction of our combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by 2030 (a 30% reduction in total operational emissions attributable to ONEOK assets in 2019). Efforts and initiatives which support GHG reduction goals are evaluated by leadership to determine funding needed for implementation and the potential cost per emission units reduced. Emission reduction opportunities include:- Electrification of natural gas compression assets.- Methane emissions mitigation through best management practices.- System optimizations.- Collaborating with utility providers to increase the availability of lower-carbon power options.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Employee engagement

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Through training and engagement, ONEOK employees are educated about emission reduction opportunities and are encouraged to elevate any reduction ideas to their management or directly to the Sustainability group.

Row 3

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Potential emission reduction projects were identified and completed in 2022. In 2023, ONEOK decided which projects to pursue and began implementing the projects in 2024.

Row 4

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Leading energy companies Devon Energy (DVN), ONEOK (OKE) and Williams (WMB), venture capital firm Energy Innovation Capital (EIC) and tech-focused non-profit Tulsa Innovation Labs (TIL) have joined together to transform America's heartland into a hub for energy technology startups and redefine a sector that has shaped the region's economy for more than a century. Spearheaded by EIC, the first-of-its-kind initiative aims to attract energy technology startups to the region with access to resources including free office space and custom startup support services. EIC will also provide access to early-stage capital with a \$50 million fund and assist startups to pilot forward-looking technologies with founding corporate partners, Devon Energy, ONEOK and Williams. By fueling R&D and innovation, the project is expected to create more than 1,700 jobs across the energy industry.

Row 5

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for low-carbon product R&D

(7.55.3.2) Comment

ONEOK participates in multiple low-carbon and sustainable energy-focused initiatives and research projects, including:- Carbon Utilization and Storage Partnership (CUSP) – a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)- funded initiative established to accelerate regional CCUS technology development. ONEOK is working with the Kansas Geological Survey to study the potential for CO2 sequestration and hydrogen storage around several of the company's NGL facilities in Kansas and Oklahoma.- H2@Scale Texas – part of the U.S. DOE's larger H2@Scale initiative to advance affordable hydrogen production, storage, distribution and use across multiple industry sectors. The project includes multiple energy industry partners. H2@Scale's focus includes renewable hydrogen generation, vehicle fueling, market demand and economic analysis, and a study to determine the effects of hydrogen blending in natural gas pipelines.- Pipeline Blending CRADA – A HyBlend Project – a U.S. DOE initiative to research opportunities for hydrogen blending in natural gas pipelines. The project includes more than 20 energy industry participants, national labs and academic institutions. Main focus areas of the study include materials compatibility research, hydrogen life-cycle testing and economic analysis related to hydrogen blending for pipeline transportation.- OK H2 Task Force – the Oklahoma Hydrogen Production, Transportation and Infrastructure Task Force was established in 2021 to study hydrogen market dynamics and Oklahoma's potential for a hydrogen economy. The task force ultimately developed and submitted a report to Oklahoma governmental leaders outlining the state's extensive resources that could support a low-carbon hydrogen economy and the potential economic impact of producing and transporting hydrogen.

Row 6

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Internal incentives/recognition programs

(7.55.3.2) Comment

Projects resulting in operational efficiency from emission reductions or resource conservation are nominated for leadership recognition on an annual basis.

Row 7

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Financial optimization calculations

(7.55.3.2) Comment

As our operating footprint and capabilities expand, process optimization is factored into evaluation of asset consolidation and decommissioning opportunities.

[Add row]

(7.57) Describe your organization's efforts to reduce methane emissions from your activities.

At ONEOK, we are focused on continued methane emission reductions and have made significant progress as we work toward achieving our combined Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions reduction target by 2030. Since 2019, we have reduced absolute methane emissions from our Scope 1 operations by 57% while continuing to grow our operational footprint. We continue to reduce methane year over year by leveraging methane detection technology, implementing methane best management practices and eliminating methane emission sources in our operations. Each year, a multidisciplinary team of ONEOK operational leaders gathers to select emissions-reduction projects that provide the greatest potential reductions, align with an evolving regulatory environment and meet our operational and commercial objectives. Reductions to date are primarily the result of methane emissions mitigation, system optimization, electrification of certain natural gas compression equipment and availability of lower carbon-based electricity in states where we operate. We rely on multiple advanced methane detection technologies to find and repair methane leaks at the source. Several examples of these voluntary technologies in use include:

- Advanced light detection and ranging (LiDAR) technology: Usually attached to helicopters or drones, LiDAR is used to perform aerial methane leak-detection surveys of our pipeline assets. The detailed data captured is used to identify, analyze and address leaks faster.*
- Optical Gas Imaging (OGI) technology: OGI infrared cameras monitor for potential fugitive emissions from equipment and help identify potential repairs. We voluntarily use OGI for surveys at selected ONEOK assets and to help comply with applicable regulations.*
- Satellite monitoring and hyperspectral imaging (HSI): Through an investment in a third-party company, ONEOK has access to a proprietary constellation of satellites using HSI technology to monitor a portion of our assets. We routinely investigate methane detection and use the provided data to help reduce our emissions profile. Additional applications of the technology include critical infrastructure monitoring, storm damage assessments, vegetation management and more. In addition to focusing on measurement and quantification, we prioritize opportunities to eliminate methane emissions sources or route methane sources to a control device. These design decisions provide the greatest opportunity to reduce methane emissions so that we continue to operate at a low methane intensity. Examples include:*

- Control devices: In 2024, we eliminated venting from compressor wet seals at one of our natural gas processing plants in Oklahoma by routing the vents to a control device, reducing facility wide methane emissions by more than 900 MT (24,000 MT CO₂e) compared with 2019 emissions.*
- Equipment replacements: In 2019, we began replacing or removing high-bleed natural gas-driven pneumatic devices with low-bleed or zero-bleed devices, including all known high-bleed devices in our southwest Oklahoma gathering footprint, totaling nearly 300 devices, and resulting in a reduction of more than 400 MT methane (more than 10,000 MT CO₂e).*
- Cathodic Protection: In 2024, we increased cathodic protection, or corrosion prevention, beyond regulatory requirements, on select gathering systems that resulted in an annual reduction of approximately 65,000 MT CO₂e. In instances where methane emissions sources cannot be eliminated entirely, our operations teams employ best management practices to reduce potential methane emissions.*
- Pipeline maintenance: In circumstances where we need to vent residual methane from our pipelines and facilities for safety or maintenance purposes, ONEOK operations teams have adopted procedures to reduce the potential for significant methane emissions by decreasing pipeline pressure, hot tapping or performing a stoppage procedure prior to maintenance.*
- Voluntary monitoring: In 2024, we voluntarily increased deployment of facility-level OGI surveys at approximately 50 additional ONEOK facilities, allowing for quarterly monitoring to catch potential fugitive methane emissions and make repairs when needed. This increased monitoring and repairing resulted in an estimated fugitive emissions reduction of nearly 20,000 MT CO₂e.*

(7.61) Does your organization conduct leak detection and repair (LDAR) or use other methods to find and fix fugitive methane emissions from oil and gas production activities?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.61.1) Describe the protocol through which methane leak detection and repair or other leak detection methods, are conducted for oil and gas production activities, including predominant frequency of inspections, estimates of assets covered, and methodologies employed.

ONEOK is a pure play midstream service provider and does not engage in flaring from oil and gas production activities. We rely on multiple advanced methane detection technologies to find and repair methane leaks at the source. Several examples of these voluntary technologies in use include: • Advanced light detection and ranging (LiDAR) technology: Usually attached to helicopters or drones, LiDAR is used to perform aerial methane leak-detection surveys of our pipeline assets. The detailed data captured is used to identify, analyze and address leaks faster. • Optical Gas Imaging (OGI) technology: OGI infrared cameras are used to monitor for potential fugitive emissions from equipment and help identify potential repairs. This includes using OGI to comply with applicable regulations and also the voluntary use of OGI for surveys at selected ONEOK assets. • Satellite monitoring and hyperspectral imaging (HSI): Through an investment in a third-party company, ONEOK has access to a proprietary constellation of satellites utilizing HSI technology to monitor assets. Applications of the technology include methane detection, critical infrastructure monitoring, storm damage assessments, vegetation management and more. Also through our membership with the Interstate Natural Gas Association of America (INGAA), we have committed to leak detection and repair on all interstate natural gas transmission stations regardless of regulatory requirements.

(7.62) If flaring is relevant to your oil and gas production activities, describe your organization's efforts to reduce flaring, including any flaring reduction targets.

ONEOK does not operate production assets or otherwise have oil and gas production activities; therefore, flaring associated with oil and gas production activities is not relevant to our midstream facilities. However, we operate flares at some of our midstream facilities in the event of process disruptions or emergency events. We are required in some states to have regularly operating flares to reduce VOCs and other pollutants to the atmosphere. We also have utilized flares or similar combustion devices to help control methane as part of our voluntary GHG reduction target projects. Expansion of our natural gas gathering and processing and natural gas liquids infrastructure in the Williston Basin led to a significant reduction of third-party flared gas by our customers. Additional efforts to reduce emissions in 2024 included using "hot taps" when practical, instead of venting/flaring of pipeline segments when making connections.

(7.73) Are you providing product level data for your organization's goods or services?

Select from:

☒ No, I am not providing data

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☒ Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :EIA US Energy Information Administration

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

☒ Other, please specify :Renewable Natural Gas (RNG)

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

Natural gas produced from landfills, wastewater treatment and agricultural sources is a "drop-in" alternative to fossil fuel natural gas. We are supportive of the development of this industry and the low-carbon alternative it presents. We will continue to use our existing infrastructure to connect RNG production sites and facilitate the movement of RNG to markets and users on our system.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☒ End-of-life stage

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

Metered volumes connected ONEOK's pipelines which included six RNG facilities as of year-end 2024.

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Equivalent volume of Geologic Natural Gas

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-grave

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

The calculation is a measure of what would have been emitted from various landfill sites and dairy farm operations, had they not been captured from use.

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Water aspects

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Rainwater

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Small volume [rainwater]

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Rainwater is considered a minimal input source, which may have a contribution to surface brackish water contained in brine ponds at storage facilities.

Row 2

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Business activities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Hydrostatic testing water used during pressure tests of new pipelines and facility connects prior to service.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Small volume [rainwater]

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Construction of new pipelines and facility connects requires pressure testing prior to service. Hydrostatic testing utilizes municipality or private water and/or surface water sources to pressurize new pipe, and the water is often reutilized on various segments of pipe along a project. If the test water meets water quality parameters, it is discharged to land in accordance with local, state and federal regulations, with any necessary filtering and erosion prevention practices in place. This item is excluded from material disclosures due to the assumption of minimal consumption (or net loss) over the large number of hydrostatic tests performed each year.

Row 3

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Specific groups, businesses, or organizations

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

Operational water data from businesses acquired

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Recent acquisition or merger

(9.1.1.5) Completion date of acquisition or merger

10/31/2024

(9.1.1.6) Data from the merger/acquisition will be incorporated in the next reporting year

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1.7) Percentage of water volume the exclusion represents

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.1.1.8) Please explain

Reporting Scope and Boundary This report primarily presents issues, impacts and data from the fiscal year ending Dec. 31, 2024. Information reflects all ONEOK-operated assets and ONEOK employees, unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023. Unless otherwise noted, data does not include the impact of Medallion Midstream (Medallion) or EnLink Midstream (EnLink) operations, which were acquired on Oct. 31, 2024, and Jan. 31, 2025, respectively. Significant acquisitions are included in ONEOK's reporting in the calendar year following the year acquired.

[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

(9.2.4) Please explain

ONEOK's gas processing plants and fractionating facilities monitor the water volume withdrawn along with the sourcing of the volumes. The water is either withdrawn from local wells in remote locations or is purchased from local water utilities.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Produced water associated with your oil & gas sector activities - total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Yearly

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Meter reading and estimation.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not monitored

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

9209

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Mergers and acquisitions

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1438

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Mergers and acquisitions

Total consumption

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

9209

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Mergers and acquisitions

[Fixed row]

(9.2.3) In your oil & gas sector operations, what are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed (by business division), how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals – midstream

(9.2.3.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

9209

(9.2.3.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.3.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.3.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.3.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Mergers and acquisitions

(9.2.3.6) Please explain

Reporting Scope and Boundary This report primarily presents issues, impacts and data from the fiscal year ending Dec. 31, 2024. Information reflects all ONEOK-operated assets and ONEOK employees, unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023. Unless otherwise noted, data does not include the impact of Medallion Midstream (Medallion) or EnLink Midstream (EnLink) operations, which were acquired on Oct. 31, 2024, and Jan. 31, 2025, respectively. Significant acquisitions are included in ONEOK's reporting in the calendar year following the year acquired.

Total discharges – midstream

(9.2.3.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

1438

(9.2.3.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.3.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.3.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Unknown

(9.2.3.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- ☒ Mergers and acquisitions

(9.2.3.6) Please explain

Reporting Scope and Boundary This report primarily presents issues, impacts and data from the fiscal year ending Dec. 31, 2024. Information reflects all ONEOK-operated assets and ONEOK employees, unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023. Unless otherwise noted, data does not include the impact of Medallion Midstream (Medallion) or EnLink Midstream (EnLink) operations, which were acquired on Oct. 31, 2024, and Jan. 31, 2025, respectively. Significant acquisitions are included in ONEOK's reporting in the calendar year following the year acquired.

Total consumption – midstream

(9.2.3.1) Volume (megaliters/year)

9209

(9.2.3.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ About the same

(9.2.3.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

- ☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.3.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

- ☒ Unknown

(9.2.3.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

- ☒ Mergers and acquisitions

(9.2.3.6) Please explain

Reporting Scope and Boundary This report primarily presents issues, impacts and data from the fiscal year ending Dec. 31, 2024. Information reflects all ONEOK-operated assets and ONEOK employees, unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023. Unless otherwise noted, data does not include the impact of Medallion Midstream (Medallion) or EnLink Midstream (EnLink) operations, which were acquired on Oct. 31, 2024, and Jan. 31, 2025, respectively. Significant acquisitions are included in ONEOK’s reporting in the calendar year following the year acquired.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

	Withdrawals are from areas with water stress
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:
☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

Surface water withdrawals include facility water retention ponds, brine ponds replenished with rainwater and permitted surface waterbody withdrawals.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

3028

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

68

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

639

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

ONEOK discharges to fresh surface water in accordance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

795

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

Recycled brackish water is used and stored as part of our underground storage operations.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters/year)

0

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :No change

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

ONEOK discharges water through POTW in certain regions, in accordance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations. No process water was discharged to POTW in 2024
[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Within your direct operations, indicate the highest level(s) to which you treat your discharge.

	Relevance of treatment level to discharge
Tertiary treatment	<div>Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown</div>

	Relevance of treatment level to discharge
Secondary treatment	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown
Primary treatment only	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant but volume unknown
Discharge to the natural environment without treatment	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
Discharge to a third party without treatment	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
Other	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

	Identification of facilities in the value chain stage
Direct operations	<i>Select from:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
Upstream value chain	<i>Select from:</i>

	Identification of facilities in the value chain stage
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, we have not assessed this value chain stage for facilities with water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities, and are not planning to do so in the next 2 years

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

21698000000

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

2356173.31

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Trends not assessed by these parameters. Typically, the product throughput is a better indicator of resource management efficiency related to the volumes of product processed.

[Fixed row]

(9.11) Do you calculate water intensity for your activities associated with the oil & gas sector?

Select from:

☒ No, and we have no plans to do so in the next two years

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

	Products and/or services classified as low water impact	Primary reason for not classifying any of your current products and/or services as low water impact
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, and we do not plan to address this within the next two years	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Important but not an immediate business priority

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Do you have any water-related targets?

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Why do you not have water-related target(s) and what are your plans to develop these in the future?

(9.15.3.1) Primary reason

Select from:

☒ Important but not an immediate business priority

[Fixed row]

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:

☒ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply

- ☒ Land/water protection
- ☒ Land/water management
- ☒ Species management
- ☒ Education & awareness

[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

Legally protected areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Yes (partial assessment)

(11.4.2) Comment

ONEOK tracks the percentage of land owned, leased and/or operated within areas of protected conservation status or endangered species habitat.

UNESCO World Heritage sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Not assessed

UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Not assessed

Ramsar sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Not assessed

Key Biodiversity Areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of ONEOK's project-planning process, environmental evaluations are conducted for select proposed projects, including asset installations or retirements. These evaluations identify High Conservation Value (HCV) factors within a proposed route, such as: • Threatened and endangered (T&E) species (federal and state listed) presence. • T&E species' critical habitat proximity. • Protected lands (state, federal and tribal). • Resources of historical or cultural significance. • Wetlands and waterbodies. • Land use (e.g., forests, farmland and rangeland). • Proximity to populated areas.

Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Yes

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of ONEOK's project-planning process, environmental evaluations are conducted for select proposed projects, including asset installations or retirements. These evaluations identify High Conservation Value (HCV) factors within a proposed route, such as: • Threatened and endangered (T&E) species (federal and state

listed) presence. • T&E species' critical habitat proximity. • Protected lands (state, federal and tribal). • Resources of historical or cultural significance. • Wetlands and waterbodies. • Land use (e.g., forests, farmland and rangeland). • Proximity to populated areas.
[Fixed row]

(11.4.1) Provide details of your organization's activities in the reporting year located in or near to areas important for biodiversity.

Row 1

(11.4.1.2) Types of area important for biodiversity

Select all that apply

- ☒ Legally protected areas
- ☒ Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1.4) Country/area

Select from:

- ☒ United States of America

(11.4.1.5) Name of the area important for biodiversity

ONEOK's environmental evaluations identify High Conservation Value (HCV) factors within a proposed route, such as: • Threatened and endangered (T&E) species (federal and state listed) presence. • T&E species' critical habitat proximity. • Protected lands (state, federal and tribal). • Resources of historical or cultural significance. • Wetlands and waterbodies. • Land use (e.g., forests, farmland and rangeland). • Proximity to populated areas.

(11.4.1.6) Proximity

Select from:

- ☒ Adjacent

(11.4.1.8) Briefly describe your organization's activities in the reporting year located in or near to the selected area

Identifying and supporting the unique needs of species in our operating areas is important to ONEOK's conservation strategy. Our environmental professionals work to help protect T&E species through compliance and thoughtful project planning. In 2024, ONEOK began working with the North Dakota Petroleum Council to develop a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for the Dakota skipper butterfly, working to balance economic development with species conservation. The plan supports landowners and communities while working to provide long-term benefits to the species and its habitat. As one of 10 participating companies, ONEOK has pledged funds to implement measures to monitor, minimize and mitigate impacts to the Dakota skipper. ONEOK voluntarily enrolled in Candidate Conservation Agreements with Assurances (CCAAs) for the lesser prairie chicken and monarch butterfly. CCAAs enable companies to take measures to stabilize and restore populations of rare or candidate species before they are listed under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), potentially preventing the need for listing by the USFWS. Identifying and supporting the unique needs of species in our operating areas is important to conservation at ONEOK. Our environmental professionals work to help us protect T&E species through compliance and project planning in line with our conservation strategy. ONEOK has an established history of collaborating with the USFWS and industry organizations to protect various species across our operating areas, including the American burying beetle.

(11.4.1.9) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities located in or near to the selected area could negatively affect biodiversity

Select from:

☒ Yes, but mitigation measures have been implemented

(11.4.1.10) Mitigation measures implemented within the selected area

Select all that apply

☒ Scheduling

☒ Restoration

☒ Site selection

☒ Project design

☒ Physical controls

☒ Abatement controls

☒ Operational controls

☒ Biodiversity offsets

(11.4.1.11) Explain how your organization's activities located in or near to the selected area could negatively affect biodiversity, how this was assessed, and describe any mitigation measures implemented

Habitat Restoration: We work closely with regulators to develop appropriate mitigation and conservation approaches that serve to reduce potential environmental impacts from our projects. Restoring habitats that may be affected during project construction remains a key focus for ONEOK. In 2024, we worked to restore nearly 2,100 acres of habitat related to pipeline projects completed during the year, by:

- *Determining the appropriate seed mixes suitable to the area.*
- *Properly segregating topsoil before construction to restore the right of way upon completion.*
- *Considering landowner concerns during restoration and communicating restoration expectations to contractors.*
- *Restoring land contours and elevations to preconstruction conditions.*

CONSERVATION STRATEGY In addition to taking steps to comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations, ONEOK's strategy of "avoid, minimize, mitigate" guides our approach to conservation and aims to protect biodiversity and ecosystems by avoiding impacts. When avoidance is not practicable, we take action to minimize and mitigate foreseeable impacts that may

occur. This framework helps us achieve our commitments to: • Maintain responsible and compliant capital-expansion processes characterized by informed site selection, high-quality construction processes, industry-recognized, best-management practices and a focus on restoration of affected habitats. • Assess environmental impacts of our current operations and evaluate opportunities for improvement. • Improve natural habitats and public use areas. Our conservation strategy is informed by detailed information-gathering processes that take place before activity begins. This includes extensive environmental review and permitting processes, including consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, state historic preservation offices and local floodplain administrators when applicable. As part of ONEOK's project-planning process, environmental evaluations are conducted for select proposed projects, including asset installations or retirements. These evaluations identify High Conservation Value (HCV) factors within a proposed route, such as: • Threatened and endangered (T&E) species (federal and state listed) presence. • T&E species' critical habitat proximity. • Protected lands (state, federal and tribal). • Resources of historical or cultural significance. • Wetlands and waterbodies. • Land use (e.g., forests, farmland and rangeland). • Proximity to populated areas.

[Add row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Methane emissions

☒ Base year emissions

☒ Progress against targets

☒ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)

☒ Year on year change in emissions intensity (Scope 1 and 2)

- ☑ Energy attribute certificates (EACs)
- ☑ Emissions breakdown by business division

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

- ☑ Attestation Standards (AT-C Section 105 & 210/205) established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA)

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Our review was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) in AT-C section 105, Concepts Common to All Attestation Engagements, and AT-C section 210, Review Engagements. Those standards require that we plan and perform the review to obtain limited assurance about whether any material modifications should be made to management's assertion in order for it to be fairly stated. The procedures performed in a review vary in nature and timing from, and are substantially less in extent than, an examination, the objective of which is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether management's assertion is fairly stated, in all material respects, in order to express an opinion. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Because of the limited nature of the engagement, the level of assurance obtained in a review is substantially lower than the assurance that would have been obtained had an examination been performed. We believe that the review evidence obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion. We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements related to the engagement. The firm applies the Statements on Quality Control Standards established by the AICPA.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

ONEOK-FY23-PwC-Limited-Assurance-Report-final-signed.pdf
 [Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

All information below reflects ONEOK-operated assets unless otherwise noted. Beginning in 2024, data includes the impact of Magellan Midstream Partners (Magellan) operations, which were acquired by ONEOK on Sept. 25, 2023. Prior years have not been restated to include the impact of the acquisition. Unless otherwise noted, data does not include the impact of EnLink Midstream (EnLink) or Medallion Midstream (Medallion) operations, which were acquired on Oct. 15,

2024 (EnLink controlling interest acquisition), and Oct. 31, 2024, respectively. The remaining EnLink acquisition was completed Jan. 31, 2025. ONEOK generally considers size, timing and data availability in determining whether to include data related to acquisitions in our sustainability reporting.

(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)

ONEOK-FY24-PwC-Limited-Assurance-Report.pdf
[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Ashley Zickefoose

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:
☒ Other C-Suite Officer
[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub website.

Select from:
☒ No

