

# Country profiles



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 8




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 9



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 10



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Australia



Australia ranks top 10, combining low mortality, rising morbidity, and strong system performance. Quality supports outcomes, though equity gaps and long-term care constraints remain.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 39


Rank: 21

### Mortality


Score: 85

Rank: 6

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	54	Quality:	54	Readiness:	50
Resources:	56	Effectiveness:	54	Innovation:	53
Efficiency:	51	Equity:	54	Resilience:	48



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 11




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 21



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



● Morbidity rate (YLDs) ● Mortality rate (YLLs)

## Health system performance

Rank: 5



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Austria



Austria ranks higher-mid tier, supported by strong system performance, particularly Quality. Moderate burden and weaker efficiency contribute to Capacity pressures.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 45


Rank: 14

### Mortality


Score: 60

Rank: 21

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	50	Quality:	64	Readiness:	51
Resources:	52	Effectiveness:	58	Innovation:	38
Efficiency:	47	Equity:	72	Resilience:	64



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 7




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 18



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



● Morbidity rate (YLDs) ● Mortality rate (YLLs)

## Health system performance

Rank: 4



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Belgium



Belgium ranks top 10, with moderate burden and strong system performance. Quality and Capacity support outcomes, amid weaker resilience and innovation scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 40


Rank: 18

### Mortality


Score: 70

Rank: 18

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>41</b>
Resources:	62	Effectiveness:	60	Innovation:	38
Efficiency:	46	Equity:	71	Resilience:	43



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 17




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 19



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 15



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Canada



Canada ranks mid-table but exhibits growth in both morbidity and mortality. Strong Readiness partially offsets weaker Quality, which includes equity gaps.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 37


Rank: 23

### Mortality


Score: 67

Rank: 20

### By top condition




### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	55	Quality:	47	Readiness:	59
Resources:	48	Effectiveness:	55	Innovation:	52
Efficiency:	67	Equity:	34	Resilience:	66



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 19




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 6



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 30



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Chile



Chile ranks mid-table, combining low mortality with rising morbidity and weaker system performance. Lower Readiness and Quality limit longer-term capability.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 69


Rank: 4

### Mortality


Score: 84

Rank: 8

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>24</b>
Resources:	40	Effectiveness:	58	Innovation:	4
Efficiency:	55	Equity:	43	Resilience:	43



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 29




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 2



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 38



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Colombia



Colombia ranks lower-mid tier, combining low burden with the weakest system performance. Low Quality and Readiness constrain outcomes, despite efficiency supporting Capacity.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 88


Rank: 2

### Mortality


Score: 93

Rank: 2

## By top condition



## By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>24</b>
Resources:	26	Effectiveness:	34	Innovation:	1
Efficiency:	68	Equity:	30	Resilience:	46



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 26




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 5



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 34



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Costa Rica



Costa Rica ranks lower-mid tier, combining low burden with weak system performance. Lower Readiness and equity constrain capability despite stronger effectiveness.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 68


Rank: 5

### Mortality


Score: 85

Rank: 5

### By top condition



### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>14</b>
Resources:	32	Effectiveness:	60	Innovation:	9
Efficiency:	49	Equity:	34	Resilience:	19



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 25




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 28



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 17



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Czechia



Czechia ranks lower-mid tier, combining higher burden with moderate system performance. Strong Quality supports access, while weaker Readiness reflects lower innovation and resilience scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 26


Rank: 29

### Mortality


Score: 49

Rank: 30

### By top condition




### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>28</b>
Resources:	45	Effectiveness:	43	Innovation:	8
Efficiency:	61	Equity:	92	Resilience:	47



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 20




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 22



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 18



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Denmark



Denmark ranks mid-table, combining moderate burden with mid-range performance. Strong Readiness supports future capability, while Quality and Capacity are more mixed.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 45


Rank: 15

### Mortality


Score: 59

Rank: 24

## By top condition




## By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>57</b>
Resources:	46	Effectiveness:	47	Innovation:	60
Efficiency:	50	Equity:	64	Resilience:	55



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 33




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 35



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



● Morbidity rate (YLDs) ● Mortality rate (YLLs)

## Health system performance

Rank: 32



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Estonia



Estonia ranks lower tier, combining higher burden with weaker system performance. Lower Capacity and Quality constrain delivery, though Readiness is supported by resilience scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 32


Rank: 24

### Mortality


Score: 33

Rank: 35

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>50</b>
Resources:	39	Effectiveness:	52	Innovation:	21
Efficiency:	31	Equity:	45	Resilience:	78



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 28




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 26



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 24



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Finland



Finland ranks lower-mid tier, combining moderate burden with mid-range system performance. Strong Capacity supports provision, though weaker Quality, notably equity, limits outcomes.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 37


Rank: 22

### Mortality


Score: 50

Rank: 29

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>43</b>
Resources:	55	Effectiveness:	55	Innovation:	36
Efficiency:	47	Equity:	35	Resilience:	49



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 15




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 16



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 14



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# France



France ranks higher-mid tier, combining moderate burden with relatively strong system performance. Strong Readiness and resources scores offset lower efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 40


Rank: 17

### Mortality


Score: 71

Rank: 16

## By top condition



## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	48	Quality:	55	Readiness:	57
Resources:	54	Effectiveness:	54	Innovation:	59
Efficiency:	38	Equity:	58	Resilience:	55



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 24




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 34



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 8



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Germany



Germany ranks lower-mid tier, combining higher burden with strong system performance. Strong Readiness and Capacity support the system, though weaker effectiveness limits outcomes.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 23


Rank: 32

### Mortality


Score: 41

Rank: 34

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>77</b>
Resources:	58	Effectiveness:	35	Innovation:	81
Efficiency:	44	Equity:	75	Resilience:	72



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 36




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 32



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 37



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Greece



Greece ranks lower tier, combining higher burden with weak system performance. Quality and Readiness are low, while Capacity is limited by weak efficiency and resource gaps.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 15


Rank: 35

### Mortality


Score: 47

Rank: 31

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>31</b>
Resources:	41	Effectiveness:	33	Innovation:	22
Efficiency:	46	Equity:	41	Resilience:	41



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 34




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 37



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 26



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Hungary



Hungary ranks lower tier, combining higher burden with mid-range system performance. Strong Quality supports care, though weaker Capacity and Readiness reflect investment gaps.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 26


Rank: 28

### Mortality


Score: 10

Rank: 37

## By top condition



## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>19</b>
Resources:	33	Effectiveness:	56	Innovation:	8
Efficiency:	56	Equity:	76	Resilience:	31



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 12




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 7




## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 23



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Iceland



Iceland ranks higher-mid tier, combining low mortality with moderate morbidity and mid-range system performance. Capacity is strong, though weaker Quality and Readiness limit consistency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 61


Rank: 8

### Mortality


Score: 84

Rank: 7

## By top condition




## By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>42</b>
Resources:	50	Effectiveness:	46	Innovation:	40
Efficiency:	54	Equity:	55	Resilience:	44



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 13




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 8



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 22



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Ireland



Ireland ranks higher-mid tier, combining low burden with mid-range system performance. Strong equity supports outcomes, though Readiness is constrained by weaker resilience scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 56


Rank: 10

### Mortality


Score: 83

Rank: 10

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>42</b>
Resources:	41	Effectiveness:	53	Innovation:	58
Efficiency:	53	Equity:	62	Resilience:	27



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 6




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 1



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 28



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Israel



Israel ranks top 10, primarily due to a very low burden. Strong innovation contrasts with low resilience scores, while Capacity and Quality are limited.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 100


Rank: 1

### Mortality


Score: 100

Rank: 1

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>43</b>
Resources:	39	Effectiveness:	53	Innovation:	65
Efficiency:	55	Equity:	48	Resilience:	22



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 21




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 24



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 11



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Italy



Italy ranks mid-table, combining growth in both morbidity and mortality with strong system performance. Strong Quality partially offsets lower efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 23


Rank: 31

### Mortality


Score: 57

Rank: 25

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>41</b>
Resources:	53	Effectiveness:	65	Innovation:	35
Efficiency:	30	Equity:	67	Resilience:	48



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 14




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 29



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 2



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Japan



Japan ranks higher-mid tier, combining moderate mortality with higher morbidity and strong system performance. Capacity is strong, though effectiveness is more mixed.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 18


Rank: 34

### Mortality


Score: 51

Rank: 27

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>59</b>
Resources:	62	Effectiveness:	57	Innovation:	72
Efficiency:	50	Equity:	62	Resilience:	45



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 38




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 38



### Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 31



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Latvia



Latvia ranks lower tier, combining high mortality and morbidity with weak system performance. Quality and Readiness are low, though Capacity benefits from strong efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 26


Rank: 30

### Mortality


Score: 0

Rank: 38

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>36</b>
Resources:	36	Effectiveness:	47	Innovation:	8
Efficiency:	68	Equity:	36	Resilience:	65



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 37




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 36



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 33



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Lithuania



Lithuania ranks lower tier, combining high mortality and morbidity with weak system performance. Quality and Readiness are low, while Capacity is supported by moderate efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 20


Rank: 33

### Mortality


Score: 14

Rank: 36

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>33</b>
Resources:	47	Effectiveness:	27	Innovation:	19
Efficiency:	52	Equity:	59	Resilience:	48



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 3




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 3



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 13



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Luxembourg



Luxembourg ranks top 10, combining a notable drop in mortality with strong health performance. Strong Quality is supported by equity and trust, while Capacity is constrained by low efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 66


Rank: 7

### Mortality


Score: 88

Rank: 3

### By top condition




### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	47	Quality:	60	Readiness:	48
Resources:	56	Effectiveness:	54	Innovation:	50
Efficiency:	33	Equity:	70	Resilience:	46



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 31




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 11



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 36



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Mexico



Mexico ranks lower tier, combining relatively low burden with weak system performance. Capacity and Readiness reflect limited resources and innovation, despite strong efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 73


Rank: 3

### Mortality


Score: 75

Rank: 12

### By top condition



### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	38	Quality:	46	Readiness:	22
Resources:	24	Effectiveness:	45	Innovation:	6
Efficiency:	61	Equity:	48	Resilience:	38



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 2




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 20



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 1



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Netherlands



The Netherlands ranks top 10, combining moderate burden with very strong system performance. Exceptional Quality and high Readiness, though Capacity is more moderate.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 28


Rank: 27

### Mortality


Score: 67

Rank: 19

## By top condition



## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>61</b>
Resources:	47	Effectiveness:	75	Innovation:	71
Efficiency:	54	Equity:	74	Resilience:	51



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 16




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 14



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 20



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# New Zealand



New Zealand ranks mid-table, combining moderate burden with mid-range system performance. Strong Quality, though Readiness reflects lower resilience and innovation scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 39


Rank: 19

### Mortality


Score: 75

Rank: 14

### By top condition




### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>38</b>
Resources:	51	Effectiveness:	57	Innovation:	33
Efficiency:	44	Equity:	60	Resilience:	43



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 4




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 13



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 6



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Norway



Norway ranks top 10, combining moderate burden with strong system performance. Strong Capacity, supported by resources, drives performance, while resilience scores are more limited.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 48


Rank: 12

### Mortality


Score: 79

Rank: 11

## By top condition




## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	57	Quality:	58	Readiness:	45
Resources:	59	Effectiveness:	49	Innovation:	43
Efficiency:	53	Equity:	74	Resilience:	46



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 35




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 33



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 35



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Poland



Poland ranks lower tier, combining high burden with weak system performance. Quality and Readiness are low, while Capacity is partly supported by stronger efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 28


Rank: 26

### Mortality


Score: 43

Rank: 33

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>31</b>
Resources:	36	Effectiveness:	25	Innovation:	16
Efficiency:	59	Equity:	59	Resilience:	45



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 32




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 27



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 29



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Portugal



Portugal ranks lower tier, combining moderate burden with uneven performance. Strong Quality contrasts with weak Capacity and Readiness, driven by low efficiency and innovation.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 8


Rank: 37

### Mortality


Score: 55

Rank: 26

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>35</b>
Resources:	42	Effectiveness:	50	Innovation:	14
Efficiency:	36	Equity:	74	Resilience:	56



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 30




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 30



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 25



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Slovakia



Slovakia ranks lower-mid tier, combining higher burden with uneven system performance. Strong Capacity, supported by efficiency, contrasts with weak Readiness driven by low innovation.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 49


Rank: 11

### Mortality


Score: 43

Rank: 32

### By top condition




### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>27</b>
Resources:	36	Effectiveness:	32	Innovation:	6
Efficiency:	81	Equity:	79	Resilience:	48



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 23




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 23



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 21



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Slovenia



Slovenia ranks mid-table, combining moderate burden with balanced system performance. Quality and Capacity are moderate, while Readiness reflects stronger resilience than innovation scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 31


Rank: 25

### Mortality


Score: 60

Rank: 23

## By top condition



## By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	48	Quality:	56	Readiness:	44
Resources:	44	Effectiveness:	48	Innovation:	27
Efficiency:	53	Equity:	71	Resilience:	61



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 5




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 4



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 16



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# South Korea



South Korea ranks top 10, combining low burden with strong system performance. Strong Readiness reflects innovation, while Capacity and Quality remain moderate.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 61


Rank: 9

### Mortality


Score: 87

Rank: 4

### By top condition




### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>58</b>
Resources:	45	Effectiveness:	57	Innovation:	70
Efficiency:	47	Equity:	56	Resilience:	47



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 10




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 17



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 9



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Spain



Spain ranks top 10, combining moderate burden with strong system performance. Strong Quality, while Readiness reflects lower innovation and resilience scores.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 39


Rank: 20

### Mortality


Score: 70

Rank: 17

## By top condition



## By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

Capacity:	50	Quality:	65	Readiness:	38
Resources:	50	Effectiveness:	60	Innovation:	32
Efficiency:	49	Equity:	74	Resilience:	44



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 9




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 15



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 7



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Sweden



Sweden ranks top 10, combining moderate burden with strong system performance. Strong Quality and resources support outcomes, while Capacity is constrained by low efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 43


Rank: 16

### Mortality


Score: 73

Rank: 15

### By top condition




### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	49	Quality:	64	Readiness:	47
Resources:	59	Effectiveness:	60	Innovation:	45
Efficiency:	33	Equity:	71	Resilience:	49



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 1




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 10



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 3



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Switzerland



Switzerland ranks top 10, combining low-moderate burden with strong system performance. Exceptional Quality, though Capacity is constrained by efficiency.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 46


Rank: 13

### Mortality


Score: 83

Rank: 9

### By top condition




### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>71</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>51</b>
Resources:	63	Effectiveness:	71	Innovation:	64
Efficiency:	23	Equity:	70	Resilience:	38



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 18




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 12



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 27



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# Turkey



Turkey ranks mid-table, combining low-moderate burden with uneven system performance. Strong efficiency offsets weak resources, while Quality remains limited.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 68


Rank: 6

### Mortality


Score: 75

Rank: 13

## By top condition



## By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>39</b>
Resources:	26	Effectiveness:	41	Innovation:	24
Efficiency:	93	Equity:	57	Resilience:	54



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 22




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 25



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



● Morbidity rate (YLDs) ● Mortality rate (YLLs)

## Health system performance

Rank: 12



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# UK



The UK ranks mid-table, combining moderate burden with relatively strong system performance. Strong Readiness contrasts with weaker Quality and Capacity.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 12


Rank: 36

### Mortality


Score: 60

Rank: 22

### By top condition



### By top condition




## Performance by pillar, scores

Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score	Pillar	Score
Capacity:	49	Quality:	53	Readiness:	64
Resources:	52	Effectiveness:	51	Innovation:	69
Efficiency:	45	Equity:	55	Resilience:	60



## Chronic Care Index

Rank: 27




## Chronic disease burden

Rank: 31



## Average growth rates of morbidity and mortality, 2014-2023



## Health system performance

Rank: 19



Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher values indicating stronger performance. Refer to [Data and methodology](#) for a full set of data sources, assumptions, and calculations.

# U.S.



The U.S. ranks lower-mid tier, combining higher burden with uneven system performance. Exceptional Readiness, driven by innovation and resilience, contrasts with weak Quality and Capacity.

## Disease burden by morbidity and mortality

### Morbidity

Score: 0


Rank: 38

### Mortality


Score: 50

Rank: 28

### By top condition



### By top condition



## Performance by pillar, scores

<b>Capacity:</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>Quality:</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Readiness:</b>	<b>82</b>
Resources:	49	Effectiveness:	52	Innovation:	88
Efficiency:	38	Equity:	38	Resilience:	76

